

Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International

34(46B): 47-51, 2022; Article no.JPRI.89850

ISSN: 2456-9119

(Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919,

NLM ID: 101631759)

Occurrence of Perforated Appendicitis in Patients Subjected to Appendectomy for Acute Appendicitis

Mehwish Ali a**, Deen Muhammad a‡, Vijay Kumar bhand Karim Bux c†

^a Department of Surgery, Bolan Medical Complex Hospital Quetta, Pakistan.
^b Department of Surgery, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center, Karachi, Pakistan.
^c Department of Surgery, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Hyderabad, Pakistan.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2022/v34i46B36389

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89850

Original Research Article

Received 29 May 2022 Accepted 02 August 2022 Published 09 August 2022

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the frequency of perforated appendicitis among patients subjected to appendectomy for acute appendicitis.

Methodology: A cross-sectional research was performed at the Department of Surgery, Bolan Medical College, Quetta between June 2019 to March 2021. In this study a total of 195 patients were observed. All patients were subjected to detailed history and examination. Standard preoperative procedures were adopted. Data including age, gender, height, weight, BMI were recorded in the proforma.

Results: In this study mean age was 30 years with SD± 12.54. Sixty two percent of patients were male and 38% of patients were female. Nine percent of patients had perforated appendicitis while 91% of patients did not have perforated appendicitis. Sociodemographic such as gender and age did not significantly correlate with the incidence of perforation in patients with acute appendicitis.

Conclusion: Our study concludes that the frequency of perforated appendicitis was 9% among patients subjected to appendectomy for acute appendicitis.

^{*}Consultant Surgeon, FCPS II;

[‡] Professor:

⁺ Consultant Surgeon;

[†] Senior Registrar;

^{*}Corresponding author;

Keywords: Perforated appendicitis; acute; appendicitis.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most frequent gastrointestinal disorders to present to medical facilities is acute appendicitis, which is documented in roughly 250,000 and 40,000 instances annually in the US and England, respectively [1,2]. One of the most frequent cause of abdominal across all age groups and in around 10% of operations is acute appendicitis [3]. It disproportionately affects men, with a M:F ratio of 1.4:1 [1]. Appendectomy is the surgical method for treating appendicitis, or appendix inflammation [4]. Appendicitis is placed among one of the most common surgical emergencies having a 12-percent lifetime risk for males and a 25-percent lifetime risk for females [5]. Amyan, who was an English Army surgeon. was the first man to remove a ruptured appendix without using anesthetics [4,6]. The surgical treatment used to resolve difficult appendicitis (51 percent) is a complex appendectomy [7]. Perforated or gangrenous appendicitis with or localized diffused without or peritonitis falls under the category of difficult appendicitis peritonitis, fecal empyema, abscess development are also included in this group [9]. The most usual complicated appendicitis (31.3 percent and 14.9 percent) [10] is perforation of an inflamed appendix [10]. It impacts people between the ages of 10 and 30 and is associated with high global morbidity and mortality rate [5,11].

The goal of the current study is to determine the frequency of perforated appendicitis patients who undergo appendectomy. consequences can become more serious if the appendix is not treated or diagnosed in a timely manner. which can lead to additional inflammation and eventually necrosis of the inflamed appendix.

Moreover, once perforated, the complications rate is even worse due to fecal peritonitis which may be life threatening. This study will provide us with the latest and updated information about the local magnitude of perforated appendicitis among patients with acute appendicitis subjected to appendectomy. This updated information will be shared with other health professionals and surgeons for up gradation of their knowledge and practice. Furthermore this study will also help for future research on perforated appendicitis in patients with acute appendicitis and preventive strategies.

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

Cross-sectional research was performed at the Department of Surgery, Bolan Medical College, Quetta between June 2019 to March 2021. The ethical and institutional approval was obtained from the ethical review committee prior to data acquisition. The sample size was 195 patients, as calculated using select statistics software by keeping the proportion of perforated appendicitis during appendectomy to be 14.9%, [10], 95% confidence interval and 5% margin of error. A non randomized consecutive sampling technique was employed to recruit the patients.

All the individuals undergoing open appendectomy for acute appendicitis, between the ages of 18-65 years, irrespective of sex were included in the study. Patients who were on steroids for the last one month were excluded from the study.

All admitted patients underwent extensive physical examination, biochemical evaluation, and radiological investigations to confirm diagnosis. Before the data was collected.

Participants were given an explanation of the study's goals, benefits, and open appendectomy technique, and signed informed consent was acquired. All patients underwent thorough examinations and histories. Standard preoperative procedures were adopted. All of the procedures were carried out by a general surgeon fellow of CPSP who determined whether or not there was a perforated appendix. The patient's profoma asked for the patient's age, height, gender, name and BMI. The study's bias and effect modifiers were rigorously limited by enforcing an exclusion criterion.

SPSS version 22 was used for analyzing the data. Mean \pm SD were calculated for continuous variables such as height, BMI, eight, age and duration of appendicitis. Appendicitis duration, gender, age and BMI were used to stratify perforation in order to examine the effect modification. A p-value of 0.05 was deemed significant for post stratification chi-square test. Tables and charts were used to present all of the findings.

3. RESULTS

In this study, a total of 195 patients were enrolled. A mean age of 35 ± 12.54 years was

observed. The majority of the patients were male and aged between 31 to 40 years. Duration of appendicitis among 195 patients was analyzed as 113(58%) patients had appendicitis <24 hours while 82(42%) patients had appendicitis >24 hours. Mean duration of appendicitis was 24 hours with SD \pm 3.95 as illustrated in Table 1.

Stratification of perforated appendix with age, gender, duration of appendicitis, BMI is given in Table 2. The study did not reveal any correlation between sociodemographic features and perforation of appendix (p>0.05).

4. DISCUSSION

Around the world, acute appendicitis is still a frequent abdominal emergency. The variable nature of the disease and absence of diagnostic procedures makes it challenging to diagnose the disorder. Although several sophisticated diagnostic methods have been developed as a result of advances in the diagnostic area, the diagnosis of acute appendicitis still poses a challenge for the attending surgeon [12]. None of the tests, including CT, NMR or USG can definitively identify appendicitis. These analyses

Table 1. Demographics of the study participants (n=195)

Age (years)	35 ± 12.54		
<20 years	14 (7%)		
21-30 years	59 (30%)		
31-40 years	62 (32%)		
41-50 years	41 (21%)		
51-65 years	19 (10%)		
Gender			
Male	121 (62%)		
Female	74 (38%)		
Duration	24 ± 3.95		
≤24 hours	113 (58%)		
>24 hours	82 (42%)		
Weight (kg)	68 ± 10.07		
Height (meters)	1.5 ± 0.93		
BMI	25 ± 5.31		
< 25 Kg/m2	88 (45%)		
> 25 Kg/m2	107 (55%)		
Perforated Appendix	, ,		
Yes	18 (9%)		
No	177 (91%)		

Table 2. Association between demographics and incidence of perforated appendix

Parameter	Perforated Appendix		
	Yes	No	p-value
Age (years)			0.9962
<20 years	1 (5.56%)	13 (7.34%)	
21-30 years	5 (27.78%)	54 (30.51%)	
31-40 years	6 (33.33%)	56 (31.64%)	
41-50 years	4 (22.22%)	37 (20.9%)	
51-65 years	2 (11.11%)	17 (9.6%)	
Gender	,	, ,	0.9312
Male	11 (61.11%)	110 (62.15%)	
Female	7 (38.89%)	67 (37.85%)	
Duration			0.829
≤24 hours	10 (55.56%)	103 (58.19%)	
>24 hours	8 (44.44%)	74 (41.81%)	
Body Mass Index (BMI)			0.9512
< 25 Kg/m2	8 (44.44%)	80 (45.2%)	
>25 Kg/m2	10 (55.56%)	97 (54.8%)	

are either too expensive or time-consuming and call for more advanced tools and knowledge. Other investigating tools are either not trustworthy enough or not easily accessible [13,14].

At a time when medical research is progressing at an exponential rate, the diagnosis of acute appendicitis still relies on fundamental tests like the WBC count. Many eminent surgeons and medical professionals have been using various grading systems to combat this in an effort to reduce unfavorable appendectomy outcomes. There has been some remarkable development in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis over the past several decades, yet the percentage of normal appendices reported in various series varies from 8 to 33% [15,16].

Our study shows that the mean age was 30 years with SD \pm 12.54. Sixty two percent of patients were male and 38% patients were female. Nine percent of patients had perforated appendicitis while 91% of patients did not have perforated appendicitis.

Similar results were observed in another study conducted by Manan F et al. [17], in which A descriptive case series of 200 patients presented with acute appendicitis were studied for observing frequency of perforated appendicitis. Out of 200 patients (sample size), 16 (8%) cases were diagnosed as perforated appendicitis, gangrenous were found to be 16 (8%) cases, appendicular mass was recorded in 6 (3%) cases and remaining 162 (81%) cases were found to be acutely inflamed.

The perforation rate was 28.5 percent according to a research by Balogun OS et al. [18]. The vast majority of patients (71.1 percent) were male, and the peak age of onset was between 21 and 30 years. Merely 3 (5.1 percent) of the cohorts reported a history of recurring stomach pain. The majority of the patients (44.1%) and (42.4%) fell into the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) II and III classifications. Pelvic abscess (13.5%), wound dehiscence (15.2%) and surgical site infections (SSI) (18.6%) were the most frequent complications found. Male gender, comorbidities, and ASA score were statistically associated with a higher prevalence of SSI (P = 0.041, 0.037, and 0.03,respectively). The prevalence of pelvic abscess was not reduced by the use of a routine intraperitoneal drain following surgery for

ruptured appendicitis. In the population under there were no reported Retrospective research on 655 appendectomies by Njoku et al. [19] found 29 perforation instances, representing a perforation rate of 4.4 percent. Adeyanju and Adebiyi [20] reported a perforation rate of 7.2 percent; their investigation comprised total of 180 reported а appendectomies. A retrospective analysis of 142 appendectomies by Edino et al. [21] found 33 perforations appendiceal overall, perforation rate of 23.2%. In Ghana, Yeboa [22] reported 638 appendectomies: 249 of the patients involved appendiceal perforation, with a perforation rate of 39%. 28.5 percent of holes were perforated. Another study discovered a perforation rate of 28.5%. This is considerably less than the amount from Ghana that was cited [17-22] and much higher than what some researchers in Nigeria discovered. The variation observed in these retrospective investigations suggests a diverse referral pattern.

There were some limitations in the study. For instance, due to a small number of patients we could not generalize our findings to a larger population. Thus, further comprehensive multicenter studies are recommended.

5. CONCLUSION

Our study concludes that the frequency of perforated appendicitis was 9% among patients subjected to appendectomy for acute appendicitis. We did not find any association of age, gender, duration of illness, and body mass index with perforation of the appendix. We recommend that multicenter research should be conducted to further explore the subject.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patients' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Sulu B. Demographic and epidemiologic features of acute appendicitis, appendicitis

 a collection of essays from around the world, Dr. Anthony Lander (Ed.), ISBN. 2012;978-53.
- Bhangu A, Søreide K, Di Saverio S, 2. Assarsson JH. Drake FT. Acute appendicitis: modern understanding of pathogenesis, diagnosis, and 2015: management. The Lancet. 386(10000):1278-87.
- 3. Anwar WM, Abid I. Validity of total leucocytes count and neutrophil count (differential leucocytes count) in diagnosing suspected acute appendicitis. PAFMJ. 2012;3:34-48.
- Mollie F, Samuel Q, Belle SK., Natalie M, Chad GB, Greg WC, et al. The global incidence of appendicitis: A systematic review of population-based studies. Annals of Surg. 2017;266(2):237–41.
- 5. Dian A, Ali A, Azam FU, Khan MM. Perforated appendix-our local experience. Rawal Med J. 2011;36(2):97-9.
- 6. Kim M, Kim SJ, Cho HJ.. Effect of surgical timing and outcomes for appendicitis severity. Ann Surg Treat Res. 2016;91(2):85–9.
- Svensson JF, Patkova B, Almström M, Naji H, Hall NJ, Eaton S, et al. Nonoperative treatment with antibiotics versus surgery for acute nonperforated appendicitis in children: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Annals of surgery. 2015;261(1):67-71.
- 8. Zhu JH, Li W, Yu K, Wu J, Ji Y, Wang JW. New strategy during complicated open appendectomy: Convert open operation to laparoscopy. WJG. 2014;20(31):10938.
- Hosseini M, Tizmaghz A, Shabestanipour G, Aein A, Otaghvar HA. The Frequency of Different Clinical Presentation of Appendicitis, Complications and Prognosis in Elderly. Annual Research & Review in Biology. 2014;4(24):4381.
- 10. Bliss LA, Yang CJ, Kent TS, Ng SC, Critchlow JF, Tseng JF. Appendicitis in the

- modern era: universal problem and variable treatment. Surgical endoscopy. 2015;29(7):1897-902.
- 11. Lee SL, Ho HS. Acute appendicitis: is there a difference between children and adults? Am Surg 2013;72:409-13.
- Cope SZ. Can we improve diagnosis of acute appendicitis?. BMJ 2000;321:907-8.
- 13. Amer S. Protocol based diagnosis of appendicitis. Pak J Surg. 2004;18:41-5.
- Nautiyal H, Ahmad S, Keshwani NK, Awasthi DN. Combine use of modified Alvarado score and USG in decreasing negative appendicectomies. Indian J Surg. 2010;72:46-2.
- 15. Phophrom J, Trivej T. The modified Alvarado score versus the Alvarado score for the diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis. 2005;26:69-72.
- Khan S1. Elevated serum bilirubin in acute appendicitis: A new diagnostic tool. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ). 2008 Apr-Jun;6(2):161-5.
- 17. Manan F, Khan SM, Jan WA. Frequency of perforated appendix in cases of acute appendicitis. KJMS. 2013;6(2)302-6
- Balogun OS, Osinowo A, Afolayan M, Olajide T, Lawal A.Acute Perforated Appendicitis in Adults: Management and Complications in Lagos, Nigeria. Ann Afr Med. 2019 Jan-Mar;18(1): 36–41.
- Njoku TA, Okobia MN. Perforated appendicitis: Risk factors and outcomes of management. Niger J Surg Sci. 2006; 16:76–7.
- 20. Adeyanju MA, Adebiyi A. An audit of appendicitis at a tertiary centre in Lagos, Nigeria. J Sci Res Stud Nigerian. 2015; 2:126–34.
- 21. Edino ST, Mohammed AZ, Ochichia O, Anumah M. Appendcitis in Kano, Nigeria: A 5 year review of pattern, morbidity and mortality. Ann Afr Med. 2004;3:38–41.
- 22. Ohene-Yeboah M, Togbe B. An audit of appendicitis and appendicectomy in Kumasi, Ghana. West Afr J Med. 2006; 25:138–43.

© 2022 Ali et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89850