



Effect of Organic and Inorganic Manure on Yield, Quality Trait and Economics of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) under Indo Gangetic Plain of Eastern Uttar Pradesh

Abhishek Tiwari ^{a*}, Raj Kumar ^b, Ravindra Sachan ^a, Himanshu Tiwari ^c and Saurabh Raj Pandey ^d

^a Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, (U.P.) -208027, India.

^b Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India.

^c Department of Agronomy, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda, (U.P.), India.

^d Department of Agronomy, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2022/v44i330804

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/84858>

Received 20 January 2022

Accepted 26 March 2022

Published 29 March 2022

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during 2018-19 at Main Experiment Station Vegetable Farm of Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) with a view to find out the effect of different combination of organic manures on yield, tuber quality and net income of potato. The 7 treatment combinations consisted of T₁: Absolute Control, T₂: FYM 30t ha⁻¹ + PSB, T₃: Poultry manure 5t ha⁻¹ + PSB, T₄: Vermicompost 7.5t ha⁻¹ + PSB, T₅: FYM 10t ha⁻¹ + Poultry manure 1.7 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 2.5 t ha⁻¹, T₆: 67% N through Urea and 33% N through FYM + PSB, T₇: Farmer practices FYM 15t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 1t ha⁻¹ + PSB were tested in randomized block design with 3 replication on the basis of experiment result it was revealed that treatment T₆ shows better tuber yield (38.41 t ha⁻¹), tuber yield grade wise i.e. 0-25g (1.93 t ha⁻¹), 25-

*Corresponding author: E-mail: abhishektiwari208002@gmail.com;

50g (11.52 t ha⁻¹), 50-75g (14.58 t ha⁻¹) and >75g (10.37 t ha⁻¹) respectively, grade size of tuber per hill 0-25 (0.300 kg), 25-50 (0.800 kg), 50-75 (1.2 kg) and > 75 (1.2 kg), dry matter (17.63%), protein content of tuber (3.0 %) and protein yield (1152.30 kg ha⁻¹). Higher values of economics viz., gross return (307280 ≠ ha⁻¹), net return (218331 ≠ ha⁻¹) and B:C ratio (2.45) in potato were observed with the application of 67% N through Urea and 33% N through FYM + PSB except cost of cultivation.

Keywords: Potato; poultry manure; protein; tuber and vermicompost.

1. INTRODUCTION

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is an herbaceous annual plant and belongs to the family solanaceae. It is popularly known as “The King of Vegetable”. The edible part of the potato is modified underground. It originated in South America and brought to India in the 16th century by the Portuguese and occupies the largest area under any single vegetable crop in the world. It is called “poor man friend”. For vegetable purposes it is one of the most popular crops in the country [1].

India is the second largest producer of potato of world production (contributing 11%) after China with the production of 50.38 million tonnes from an area of 1.843 mha. The total area under potato cultivation is 19.30 mha and total production is 398.19 million tonnes with 20.50 tonnes productivity. Whereas in India, total area is 1.843 mha and production is 50.33 million tonnes with 27.31 tonnes ha⁻¹ productivity. The contribution of U.P, alone in area, production and productivity is 0.614 million ha, 15.56 million tonnes 22.7 tonnes ha⁻¹ [2] respectively. Potatoes are one of the most efficient food crops, which produce more dry matter, dietary fiber, quality protein, mineral & vitamin than wheat, maize & rice per unit area. Potatoes contain approximately 20.6% carbohydrate, 2.1% protein, 0.3% fat, 1.1% crude fiber and 0.9% ash, and contain a good amount of essential amino acids like leucine, tryptophan and isoleucine etc [3].

Continuous Use of chemical fertilizers had increased the crop yield, but caused many environmental problems including soil, air and water pollution and finally human health hazards and making the crop productivity unsustainable [4]. Use of organic manure reduces the ill effect of chemical farming. Their use enriches soil organic carbon, supplies all required plant nutrients and improves physical, chemical and biological properties of soil. Sarkar et al. [5].

Organic manures (FYM, vermicompost, poultry manure and biofertilizer) is the source of primary,

secondary and micronutrient to the plant growth and constant source of energy for heterotrophic microorganism, which help in increasing availability of nutrient, quality and quantity of crop produce [6]. As a single source capable of supplying the required amount of plant nutrients, integrated use of all sources of plant nutrient is a must to supply balanced nutrition to the crop [7].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Soil of the Experimental Field

The experimental field is silt loam in texture, alkaline in reaction (pH 8.10), low in organic carbon (0.30%), low in available N (140kg ha⁻¹), medium in available P (15.3 kg ha⁻¹), and high in available K (240 kg ha⁻¹).

2.2 Land Preparation

Land preparation was started after harvesting of the Kharif crop. One ploughing was done by disc plough followed by two ploughing by tractor drawn cultivator and planking was done invariably after each ploughing to get the fine seed bed. The clods were broken and planked to level the field properly so as to facilitate the layout and sowing operation. Layout was carefully done as per technical programme of the experiment.

2.3 Layout and Design of the Experiment

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The total numbers of unit plots were 21. The size of a unit plot was 4.8 m X 4.0 m. The width of the main irrigation channel is 1.5 m and the width of the sub-irrigation channel is 1.0 m.

2.4 Treatments of the Investigation

The experiment consisted of organic manure viz. farmyard manure, poultry manure, and vermicompost alone and with combination of biofertilizer (phosphorus solubilizing bacteria)

were applied to potato as per the treatments details. The treatments used for the experiment were as follows: T₁: Absolute Control, T₂: FYM 30t ha⁻¹ + PSB, T₃: Poultry manure 5t ha⁻¹ + PSB, T₄: Vermicompost 7.5t ha⁻¹ + PSB, T₅: FYM 10t ha⁻¹ + Poultry manure 1.7 t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 2.5 t ha⁻¹, T₆: 67% N through Urea and 33% N through FYM + PSB, T₇: Farmer practices FYM 15t ha⁻¹ + Vermicompost 1t ha⁻¹ + PSB.

2.5 Preparation of Seed Materials

Potato tubers were taken out from cold storage and kept in the shed for 7 days before planting to accelerate the sprouting. The seeds were treated with bio-fertilizer (PSB) to use in respective treatments for sowing (seeds are inoculated with PSB through jiggery). This solution was poured on sprouted tubers kept on a polythene sheet. These treated tubers were mixed thoroughly by hand and dried in shade before planting.

2.6 Planting of seed Tubers

The seed tubers were planted on 16 November 2018 in rows in furrows made with the help of the country plough. The unit plot size was 4.8 m X 4.0 m and the seed tubers were planted in furrows at a distance of 60 cm from row to row and 20 cm from tuber to tuber. The depth of the planting was approximately 7 cm. Immediate after planting the seed tubers were covered with soil.

2.7 Intercultural Operations

Earthing and weeding operations were done at 30 and 35 DAP (Days after planting), using spade manually so that the furrow turns into ridges. The first irrigation was given at 2-3 DAP and then the irrigations were given at an interval of 8-10 days. All 4-5 irrigations were given to the crop during the crop growth period. Cutting of whole plants (aerial vegetative part of potato plant) from ground level of the tubers. Haulm

cutting was done manually prior to 10-15 days before harvesting of potato tubers.

2.8 Protein Content in Tuber

The total nitrogen of the samples was determined by the Kjeldahl apparatus as described by Jackson [8]. Then the percentage of protein in tuber was calculated by multiplying the factor 6.25. The protein yield (kg ha⁻¹) was obtained by the following formula:

$$\text{Protein yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{Protein content (\%)} \times \text{Yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} / 100$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield

Among the different treatments combination data revealed that maximum tuber yield (38.41 t ha⁻¹), yield of tuber in each grade is 0-25g (1.93 tha⁻¹), 25-50g (11.52 tha⁻¹), 50-75g (14.58 tha⁻¹) and >75g (10.37 tha⁻¹) and weight of each grade per hill is 0-25 (0.300 kg), 25-50 (0.800 kg), 50-75 (1.2 kg) and >75 (1.2 kg) recorded in treatment T₆[67% N through Urea and 33% N through FYM + PSB]. Similar finding were reported by Jaipaul et al., [9], Ahmed et al., [10] and Choudhary et al., [11].

3.2 Quality Traits

3.2.1 Dry matter, Protein content in tuber (%) and Protein Yield (kg ha⁻¹)

Maximum dry matter (17.63%), protein content (3.0 %) and protein yield (1152.30 kg ha⁻¹) were found in the treatment T₆[67% N through Urea and 33% N through FYM + PSB] while minimum content of dry matter (17.37%) and protein content (2.68 %) were recorded in the treatment T₁[Absolute Control]. These result are accordance with the finding of Kumar et al., [12] and Islam and Nahar [13].

Table 1. Effect of different combinations of organic manure on tuber yield (t ha⁻¹), tuber yield (t ha⁻¹) grade wise, weight of each grade tuber/hill

Treatments	Tuber yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Tuber yield grade wise (t ha ⁻¹)				Weight (kg) of tuber grade /hill			
		0-25	25-50	50-75	>75	0-25	25-50	50-75	>75
T ₁	10.25	0.93	3.95	4.38	3.95	0.240	0.300	0.320	0.640
T ₂	27.80	1.43	8.35	10.55	7.47	0.170	0.630	0.700	0.900
T ₃	29.62	1.50	8.87	11.26	7.99	0.200	0.735	0.900	0.865
T ₄	29.01	1.45	8.70	11.05	7.81	0.200	0.730	0.900	0.848
T ₅	30.58	1.54	9.18	10.98	8.87	0.250	0.700	1.100	0.950
T ₆	38.41	1.93	11.52	14.58	10.37	0.300	0.800	1.200	1.200
T ₇	26.28	1.52	9.07	9.53	3.56	0.250	0.700	0.800	0.450
SEm±	1.32	0.07	0.40	0.51	0.35	0.0025	0.0031	0.0138	0.0096
C.D. at 5%	2.90	0.15	0.88	1.12	0.77	0.0078	0.0095	0.0423	0.0294

Table 2. Effect of different treatments on dry matter, protein content in tuber (%) and protein yield (kg ha⁻¹)

Treatments	Dry matter (%)	Protein Content (%)	Protein Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	17.37	2.68	274.70
T ₂	17.53	2.81	781.18
T ₃	17.53	2.87	850.09
T ₄	17.53	2.81	815.18
T ₅	17.57	2.87	877.64
T ₆	17.63	3.0	1152.30
T ₇	17.46	2.76	725.32
SEm±	0.05	0.01	0.03
C.D. at 5%	0.11	0.02	0.07

Table 3. Effect of different treatments combination of organic manure on economics of potato

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (₹ha ⁻¹)	Gross return (₹ha ⁻¹)	Net return (₹ha ⁻¹)	B:C ratio
T ₁	80398	102500	22102	0.27
T ₂	99398	278000	178602	1.79
T ₃	85148	296200	211052	2.47
T ₄	118898	290100	171202	1.43
T ₅	101173	305800	204627	2.02
T ₆	88949	307280	218331	2.45
T ₇	95398	262800	167402	1.75

3.3 Economics

The higher gross return (₹307280), net return (₹218331) were obtained with treatment T₆ [67% N through Urea and 33% N through FYM + PSB] while B:C ratio 2.47 under treatment T₃ [Poultry manure 5t ha⁻¹ + PSB]. The application of organic manures with inorganic nitrogen fertilizer provide higher gross return, net return as compared to application of organic manures alone. Similar findings were reported by Verma et al., [14], Raghav et al., [15] and Lal and Khurana [16].

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of the result it may be concluded that integrated nutrient management (67% N through Urea and 33% N through FYM + PSB) was found to have better treatment on yield, quality and economics. So farmers should be suggested for better yield, quality and profit in potato cultivation by using balanced use of organic manure along with inorganic fertilizers so that soil health can also be sustained by balanced fertilization.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ferdoushi SN, Farooque AM, Alam MS. Effects of organic and inorganic fertilizer management practices and mulch on the growth and yield of potato. *Journal of Agroforestry and Environment*. 2010;3(2);175-178.
2. Anonymous. Global Potato Conclave Roadmap for a Better World MahatmaMandir, Gandhinagar, Gujrat, India 28-31 January 2020.
3. Khurana PSM, Naik PS. The potato Production and utilization in sub-tropics, *The Potato: An overview* (Edited by SM Paul Khurana, JS Minas and SK Pandey). 2003;1-14. Mehta Publication, New Delhi.
4. Eid RA, Sedra A, Attia M. Influence of nitrogen fixing bacteria incorporation with organic and inorganic fertilizer on growth, flower yield and chemical composition of celosis argotia. *World J. Agri. Sci.* 2006; 2(4):450-458.
5. Sarkar A, Sarkar S, Zaman. Growth and yield of Potato as Influenced by Combination of Organic Manure and Inorganic Fertilizers. *Potato Journal*. 2011;38 (1):78-80.
6. Roy R, Singh K. Effect of INM on sequential productivity, economics and nutrient uptake of rice potato cropping

- system. Indian Jr. of Agril. Sc. 2014;84(9):1096-1101.
7. Banerjee H, Sarkar S, Ray K, Rana L, Chakraborty A. Integrated nutrient management in potato based cropping system in alluvial soil of west Bengal. Ann. Plant Soil Res. 2016;18(1): 8-13.
 8. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis". Prentice hall India Pvt.Ltd., New Delhi;1973.
 9. Jaipaul, Sharma S, Sharma AK. Effect of organic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of potato under rainfed conditions of Central Himalayan region of Uttarakhand. Potato Journal 2011;38(2):176-181.
 10. Ahmed F, Mondat MA, Akter B. Organic fertilizer effect on potato tuber production in sandy loam soil. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2019;29(3):1-11.
 11. Choudhary AK, Rahi Shakuntla, Singh, Yadav DS. Effect of vermicompost and bio-fertilizers on productivity and profitability in potato in North-Western Himalayas. Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences. 2010;2:18-21.
 12. Kumar Manoj, Baishaya LK, Ghosh DC, Gupta VK. Yield and quality of potato tubers as influenced by nutrient sources under rainfed conditions of Meghalaya. Indian Journal of Agronomy .2011;56:260-266
 13. Islam MR, Nahar BS. Effect of organic farming on nutrient uptake and quality of potato. Journal of Environmental Science & Natural Resources. 2012;5(2):219-214.
 14. Verma SK, Asati BS, Tamrakar SK, Nanda HC, Gupta CR. Effects of organic components on growth, yields and economics returns in potatoes. Potato Journal 2011;38:51-55.
 15. Raghav M, Kumar T, Kamal S. Effect of organic sources on growth, yield and quality of potato. Annals of Horticulture. 2008;1(1):67-70.
 16. Lal M, Khurana SC. Effect of organic sources, biodynamic compost and biofertilizers on growth and yield of potato, grown in potato-onion-guar sequence. Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2007;36:142-144.

© 2022 Tiwari et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/84858>*