

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 22, Page 200-214, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.109389 ISSN: 2320-7035

A Review on Eco-Friendly Pesticides and Their Rising Importance in Sustainable Plant Protection Practices

Neelendra Singh Verma ^{a++}, Dinesh Kumar Kuldeep ^{b#*}, Monika Chouhan ^{a#}, Rajkumar Prajapati ^{a†} and Satish Kumar Singh ^{c‡}

 ^a Department of Agronomy, Jawahar Lal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (MP), India.
 ^b Department of Horticulture, Jawahar Lal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (MP), India.
 ^c Agriculture Mechanization Division, ICAR- Central Institute of Agricultural Engineering, Bhopal (MP), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i224126

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/109389

Review Article

Received: 13/09/2023 Accepted: 17/11/2023 Published: 18/11/2023

ABSTRACT

The increasing environmental and health concerns associated with conventional pesticides have catalyzed a significant shift toward the development and application of eco-friendly pesticides within the agricultural sector. It proceeds to trace the historical transition from chemical-based to eco-friendly pest control methods, outlining key milestones and driving forces behind this shift. A diverse range of eco-friendly pesticides, including biological, botanical, and mineral types, are then

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 22, pp. 200-214, 2023

⁺⁺ Senior Research Fellow;

[#] PhD Research Scholar;

[†] Young Professional;

[‡] Senior Research Fellow;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: dineshkuldeep88@gmail.com;

explored, with an emphasis on their unique modes of action and comparative efficacy to synthetic alternatives. It also addresses the multitude of benefits these pesticides present, from reducing environmental contamination and preserving biodiversity to offering economic advantages and enhancing public health and safety. Case studies illustrating successful implementation across various climates and crops underscore the practicality and adaptability of eco-friendly pesticides. It also critically assesses the challenges that currently hinder broader adoption, including questions regarding efficacy, economic and regulatory hurdles, and logistical constraints. It then projects into the future, speculating on innovative research directions, policy initiatives, and educational strategies that could support the growth and integration of eco-friendly pesticides into mainstream agriculture. The potential for nanotechnology and genetic engineering to revolutionize pesticide application and crop resistance is particularly highlighted, along with anticipated developments in global policies and regulatory frameworks. It also offers targeted policy recommendations to support the research and development of eco-friendly pesticides and best practices for their integration into farming and agribusiness operations, emphasizing the importance of IPM and collaborative knowledge-sharing networks. The synthesis of current knowledge with future perspectives provides valuable insights for stakeholders across the agricultural sector, advocating for informed decisions and strategic actions that align with environmental sustainability and human health objectives. Through such multidimensional analysis, the review articulates a clear vision for the role of eco-friendly pesticides in the evolution of sustainable agricultural systems.

Keywords: Eco-friendly pesticides; plant protection; integrated pest management; environmental sustainability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Eco-friendly pesticides, often termed as 'green pesticides', mark a significant departure from the conventional chemical-based agents that have long dominated the agricultural sector. The fundamental distinction between eco-friendly pesticides and their traditional counterparts lies not only in their chemical composition but also in their operational ethos. Conventional pesticides, which are predominantly synthetic, have been the linchpin of pest management strategies for decades. Their deployment has been increasingly questioned due to their broadspectrum activity, which inadvertently targets non-pest species and contributes to biodiversity loss, pollution, and health hazards [1]. In stark contrast, eco-friendly pesticides are specifically designed to minimize environmental footprint and human health risks. They are often derived from natural substances, such as plant extracts, microbial agents, or minerals, that offer targeted action against pests while being benign to other organisms and ecosystems [2]. The composition and inherent nature of eco-friendly pesticides are reflective of their origins-rooted in ecological balance rather than chemical warfare against pests. For instance, microbial pesticides make use of pathogens specific to pests, thereby causing little to no harm to other species. An illustrative example is Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt), a bacterium whose toxin is lethal to certain insect larvae but harmless to other fauna [3]. Similarly,

botanical pesticides like pyrethrin, derived from chrysanthemum flowers, are effective insecticides that rapidly degrade in the environment, diminishing the potential for extended environmental contamination [4]. This directed approach of eco-friendly pesticides represents a new paradigm in pest control that aligns with the principles of sustainability and ecological stewardship [5]. The surge in the importance of sustainable plant protection is underpinned by the mounting evidence of the detrimental impacts of traditional pesticides. The widespread environmental implications of these chemicals are well-documented. svnthetic ranging from the contamination of water bodies leading to aquatic toxicity, to the biomagnification of persistent organic pollutants in food chains, the concerning decline in pollinator and populations [6]. The recognition of these negative outcomes has galvanized a global movement towards more sustainable agriculture practices, wherein the health of the environment and the wellbeing of human populations are prioritized [7]. With the objectives of this review clearly outlined, we delve into a critical examination of the development of eco-friendly pesticides. This includes an exploration of their historical context, a review of their diverse types, and an assessment of their burgeoning role in modern agriculture. Further, the review evaluates the effectiveness and efficiency of these eco-friendly alternatives. This is crucial as the agricultural sector is under increasing pressure to meet the

global food demands of a burgeoning population while mitigating the adverse environmental impacts of farming practices [8]. Finally, the review aims to provide insights into the future trajectory of plant protection practices. Given the evolving landscape of agricultural technologies and the shifting regulatory paradigms, it becomes imperative to discuss how eco-friendly pesticides can be seamlessly integrated into sustainable agriculture to safeguard future food security and environmental health [9].

2. HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

The chronicle of pesticides in agriculture is a testament to humanity's relentless pursuit of crop protection. Historical records illustrate the use of Sulphur compounds in ancient Mesopotamia and herbal preparations in classical Chinese and Indian agriculture, underscoring early efforts to combat pests [10]. The 20th century marked a turning point with the synthesis of Dichloro-Diphenyl-Trichloroethane (DDT) and its widespread application during and post-World War II, which heralded the modern age of synthetic pesticides [11]. The prolific use of DDT and other synthetic compounds such as organophosphates and carbamates brought about unprecedented increases in agricultural productivity. Yet, it was not long before the deleterious effects of these chemicals on the environment and human health came to light, catalyzing the environmental movement of the 1960s and 1970s. Pioneering works like Rachel Carson's "Silent Spring" raised public awareness about the ecological and health implications of indiscriminate pesticide use. leading to stricter regulations and a rethinking of pest control strategies [12].

3. SHIFT FROM CHEMICAL TO ECO-FRIENDLY PESTICIDES

3.1 Early Signs and Drivers for Change

The transition towards eco-friendly pesticides can be traced back to several key factors. Scientific research began to uncover the persistence of chemical residues in the environment and their biomagnification in food chains [13]. The resurgence of pest populations due to the development of resistance to synthetic pesticides necessitated alternative approaches [14]. Socioeconomic drivers also played a critical role. The burgeoning organic farming movement of the late 20th century, with its emphasis on natural inputs and processes, created a demand for pesticides that were not only effective but also compliant with organic standards [15]. Additionally, consumer awareness and advocacy groups pushed for more environmentally benign pest control options [16].

3.2 Milestones in the Development of Eco-Friendly Pesticides

The development of eco-friendly pesticides is marked by several milestones. The rediscovery and utilization of neem-based biopesticides can be highlighted as a pivotal point, with ancient practices being validated by modern science, underscoring the efficacy of azadirachtin, a key compound in neem [17]. The introduction of Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) crops, engineered to express a pest-resistant toxin, represented a landmark innovation, combining principles of biological control and genetic engineering [10]. The earlv 21st century witnessed rapid advancements in biotechnology, paving the way for RNA interference (RNAi) technologies to create targeted, species-specific pesticides, reducing non-target effects [19]. Regulatory agencies, such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the United States, began granting registrations to biopesticides at a higher rate, acknowledging their safety profile and effectiveness [20].

4. TYPES OF ECO-FRIENDLY PESTICIDES

4.1 Biological Pesticides

Biological pesticides, or biopesticides, are derived from natural materials such as animals, plants, bacteria, and certain minerals. These are considered more environmentally friendly because they tend to be less toxic than conventional pesticides and usually target specific pests, reducing harm to beneficial insects, animals, and people.

4.2 Microbial Pesticides

Microbial pesticides consist of a microorganism (e.g., a bacterium, fungus, virus or protozoan) as the active ingredient. They are very selective, typically affecting only the target pest and closely related organisms. For instance, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, or Bt, is one of the most well-known microbial pesticides and has been used against a range of caterpillars, beetles, and fly larvae with great effectiveness [21].

Verma et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 22, pp. 200-214, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.109389

Microbial Agent	Target Pests	Mode of Action	Examples
Bacteria	Caterpillars, beetles, mosquitoes	Producing toxins that disrupt the gut lining of the insects, leading to starvation or infection	Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt), Bacillus subtilis
Fungi	Aphids, whiteflies, thrips, mites	Parasitizing the insect directly, invading through the cuticle, and proliferating inside the host	Beauveria bassiana, Metarhizium anisopliae
Viruses	Caterpillars, moth larvae	Infecting and replicating within the cells of the host, causing disease and death	Baculoviruses (e.g., Helicoverpa armigera nucleopolyhedrovirus)
Protozoa	Various soil- dwelling insects	Infecting the host, often disrupting their digestive processes or reproductive capabilities	Nosema locustae (against grasshoppers)
Nematodes	Soil-dwelling insects, root weevils, cutworms	Entering through body openings or directly through the cuticle, releasing symbiotic bacteria into the host's bloodstream	Steinernema spp., Heterorhabditis spp.

Table 2. Eco-Friendly biochemical pesticides in integrated pest management [23,24]

Category	Active Ingredient	Target Pests	Mode of Action	Examples of Biochemical Pesticides
Plant Growth Regulators	Auxins, Gibberellins, Cytokinins	Weeds, insect larvae	Inhibit or stimulate plant or insect growth, affecting reproduction or physical development	Trinexapac-ethyl (for growth regulation in turfgrasses)
Insect Sex Pheromones	Species- specific pheromones	Moths, beetles, and other insects	Disrupt mating patterns and suppress populations	Pheromone traps for codling moths in apple orchards
Herbicidal Soaps	Fatty acid salts	Soft-bodied insects, mosses, algae	Disrupt cell membranes, causing desiccation and death	Ammoniated soap of fatty acids for controlling aphids and whiteflies
Insecticidal Soaps	Potassium salts of fatty acids	Soft-bodied insects	Penetrate and disrupt insect cell membranes, leading to dehydration and death	Potassium fatty acid salts for aphid and mite control
Mineral- based agents	Kaolin Clay, Sulfur	Various insects and fungal diseases	Create a barrier or unfavorable environment, or act as a fungicide	Kaolin clay as a protective film against insect damage
Botanical Extracts	Pyrethrins, Azadirachtin	Broad range of insects	Interfere with nervous system or act as growth regulators	Neem oil containing azadirachtin for pest control on various crops

4.3 Biochemical pesticides

Biochemical pesticides include substances that control pests by non-toxic mechanisms.

Pheromones that interfere with mating, as well as various scented plant extracts that attract insect pests to traps, fall under this category. Because of their natural origin and specific modes of action, these substances are often less detrimental to non-target species and the environment [22].

4.4 Plant-incorporated Protectants

Plant-incorporated protectants (PIPs) are pesticidal substances produced by plants and the genetic material necessary for the plant to produce the substance. For example, scientists can use biotechnology to introduce a gene for a beneficial trait into a plant, such as resistance to a pest. The resultant GMO crops can dramatically reduce the need for sprayed chemical pesticides [25].

5. BOTANICAL PESTICIDES

Botanical pesticides are naturally occurring chemicals extracted from plants known to have insecticidal properties.

5.1 Neem Oil and Other Plant Extracts

Neem oil, derived from the seeds of the neem tree (*Azadirachta indica*), contains various compounds with insecticidal and medicinal

properties. It acts as an anti-feedant, repellent, and egg-laying deterrent, affecting over 400 species of insects [28].

5.2 Essential Oils as Natural Insecticides

Essential oils, concentrated liquids containing volatile aroma compounds from plants, have been explored for their insecticidal and repellent properties. Various essential oils from plants like eucalyptus, citronella, and peppermint have shown efficacy against a broad spectrum of pests [29].

6. MINERAL PESTICIDES

Mineral pesticides comprise inorganic substances that control pests.

6.1 Diatomaceous Earth

Diatomaceous earth, made from the fossilized remains of diatoms, a type of hard-shelled algae, is a fine powder that causes insects to dehydrate and die. It is used against a variety of pests, including bed bugs, cockroaches, and various insects [31].

Table 3. Plant-Incorporated Protectants (PIPs): Characteristics and regulatory aspects [26,27]

PIPs Category	Source of Genetic Material	Method of Gene Introduction	Target Pests	Crop Examples	Regulatory Aspects
Bacterial Derivatives	Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) genes	Transgenic techniques	Bollworms, corn rootworms, and other pests	Bt Corn, Bt Cotton	Regulated by EPA, USDA, and other national bodies depending on the region
Viral Derivatives	Plant viruses	Transgenic or Cisgenic techniques	Virus- infected insects	Virus- resistant Papaya	Subject to safety and environmental assessments
RNA Interference	Plant or synthetic RNA sequences	Transgenic techniques or gene editing	Insects that ingest the RNAi molecules	RNAi modified Crops	Emerging regulatory frameworks
Enzyme Inhibitors	Genes coding for specific enzyme inhibitors	Transgenic techniques	Insects affected by the enzymes	Crops with modified enzyme pathways	Strict safety evaluations required
Growth Regulators	Plant growth hormone genes	Transgenic techniques	Pests sensitive to growth disruptions	Modified Brinjal (Eggplant)	Requires food safety and environmental impact studies

Verma et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 22, pp. 200-214, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.109389

Botanical Pesticide	Source Plant	Active Compound(s)	Target Pests	Mode of Action	Usage Notes
Pyrethrin	Chrysanthemum spp.	Pyrethrins	Wide range of insects	Contact insecticide; affects insect nervous system	Rapidly degrades in sunlight; minimal toxicity to mammals
Neem	Azadirachta indica	Azadirachtin	Insects, mites, nematodes	Inhibits growth, feeding, and reproduction	Low toxicity to non-target organisms; used in integrated pest management (IPM)
Rotenone	Derris spp., Lonchocarpus spp.	Rotenoids	Beetles, aphids, caterpillars	Inhibits cellular respiration	Toxic to fish and other aquatic life; use has declined due to toxicity concerns
Nicotine	Nicotiana spp.	Nicotine	Sucking insects	Neurotoxin; mimics acetylcholine	Highly toxic; largely replaced by neonicotinoids
Sabadilla	Schoenocaulon spp.	Veratridine and other alkaloids	Thrips, caterpillars, leafhoppers	Disrupts nervous system	Low mammalian toxicity; limited use due to rapid degradation
Ryania	Ryania speciosa	Ryanodine	Caterpillars, beetles	Disrupts calcium channels in muscle cells	Moderate toxicity to mammals; slow action
Quassia	Quassia amara	Quassin	Flies, mosquitoes, aphids	Deterrent and growth inhibitor	Low toxicity; can also be used as a feeding deterrent

Table 4. Botanical	pesticides in	eco-friendly	pest manag	gement [30]
--------------------	---------------	--------------	------------	----------	-----

6.2 Sulfur and Other Minerals

Sulfur is one of the oldest known pesticides and is particularly effective against fungi and mites. Other minerals, such as copper, have also been used as fungicides and bactericides in agriculture for centuries [32].

7. OTHER INNOVATIONS

Recent advancements in eco-friendly pest control technologies have shown great promise.

7.1 RNA Interference-Based Pesticides

RNA interference (RNAi) is a natural cellular process that uses the organism's own genetic material to suppress or "silence" gene expression. Scientists are developing RNAibased pesticides that target specific genes in pests, thus providing a method to control them without harming other organisms [34].

7.2 Pheromone Traps and Insect Growth Regulators

Pheromone traps use synthetic copies of the natural chemicals insects use to communicate to attract pests. Insect growth regulators (IGRs) are chemicals that mimic hormones in young insects, disrupting their growth and development. Both methods offer targeted approaches to pest management without the broad-spectrum effects of conventional pesticides [35].

8. MECHANISMS OF ACTION

Understanding the mechanisms by which ecofriendly pesticides exert their effects is essential for their development and application. Unlike their synthetic counterparts, which often have broad-spectrum activity and can affect a wide range of non-target species, eco-friendly options tend to be more selective and specific in their action.

8.1 How Eco-Friendly Pesticides Work

Eco-friendly pesticides deploy a variety of mechanisms to deter, incapacitate, or kill pests. Their modes of action can be highly specific, often exploiting unique biological pathways and behaviors of target pests.

9. TARGETING SPECIFIC PESTS

One of the primary advantages of eco-friendly pesticides is their ability to target specific pests with minimal impact on non-target species. This specificity can be achieved through various means:

- **Biochemical affinity**: Some biopesticides work by binding to specific receptors present only in target pests. For instance, *Bacillus thuringiensis* produces proteins that are toxic to specific insects when ingested, affecting their digestive systems and leading to their death. The specificity is due to the presence of receptors in the insect gut that are not found in non-target organisms [36].
- Behavioral manipulation: Pheromones and other semiochemicals can attract pests to traps or disrupt their mating patterns. The specificity of these compounds is dictated by the unique communication channels within insect species [37].
- Plant defense induction: Some ecofriendly pesticides work by enhancing the plant's own defense mechanisms. Harpin proteins, for example, can trigger systemic acquired resistance in plants, which equips them to resist a broad range of pathogens and insect pests [38].

10. NATURAL PREDATORS AND CONTROL AGENTS

The use of natural predators and parasitoids forms a significant part of biological control strategies within eco-friendly pest management. By introducing or encouraging the proliferation of a pest's natural enemies, biological control agents can suppress pest populations without the need for chemical intervention. For example, lady beetles (*Coccinellidae*) and lacewings (*Chrysopidae*) are natural predators of aphids and other small insects and are often used in agricultural settings [39].

11. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS WITH SYNTHETIC PESTICIDES

Comparing the action of eco-friendly pesticides with synthetic chemicals reveals distinct differences, particularly in terms of selectivity, environmental impact, and resistance management.

11.1 Selectivity and Non-Target Effects

While synthetic pesticides often affect a wide range of organisms, including beneficial insects, birds, and mammals, eco-friendly pesticides tend to have a narrower range of action, reducing the likelihood of non-target effects. For example, the neonicotinoid class of insecticides has been associated with negative impacts on pollinators such as bees, whereas eco-friendly options like neem oil target specific feeding pathways in pests without harming pollinators [40].

11.2 Resistance Management

Pest resistance to synthetic pesticides has become a significant problem in agriculture. Eco-friendly pesticides, on the other hand, often have unique and complex modes of action that make it more difficult for pests to develop resistance. Strategies like rotation and the use of multiple crop types of biopesticides in tandem can prevent the buildup of resistant pest populations [41].

12. BENEFITS OF ECO-FRIENDLY PESTICIDES

Eco-friendly pesticides offer numerous benefits over their synthetic counterparts. Their implementation in agricultural practices can lead to environmental, economic, and social advantages, providing a holistic approach to sustainable agriculture.

13. ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS

The environmental impact of pesticides has been a concern for decades. Eco-friendly pesticides mitigate many of these concerns through their sustainable and less toxic approach.

Mineral Pesticide	Source Mineral	Active Ingredient	Target Pests	Mode of Action	Usage Notes
Diatomaceous Earth	Diatomite (fossilized diatoms)	Silica dust	Insects with exoskeletons (e.g., beetles, mites)	Mechanical insecticide; damages exoskeleton, causing desiccation	Non-toxic; safe for use around humans and animals; limited efficacy in high humidity
Sulfur	Naturally occurring sulfur	Elemental sulfur	Fungi, mites, and some insects	Fungicide and miticide; inhibits respiration	Low toxicity; may burn plants in hot weather; widely used in organic farming
Borates	Boron compounds (e.g., boric acid)	Boron	Cockroaches, termites, ants, and other pests	Stomach poison; affects metabolism	Low toxicity to mammals; used as a wood preservative and household pesticide
Copper Compounds	Copper ores (e.g., malachite, azurite)	Copper salts (e.g., copper sulfate, copper hydroxide)	Fungi and bacteria	Fungicide and bactericide; denatures proteins and enzymes	Care is required due to the potential to accumulate in soil and toxicity to aquatic life
Lime Sulfur	Calcium polysulfide	Calcium and sulfur	Fungi, mites	Fungicide and insecticide; reacts to form sulfur dioxide	Caustic and can cause skin burns; less commonly used due to potential risks
Kaolin Clay	Kaolin clay deposits	Aluminum silicate	Insects, such as beetles and mites	Barrier and repellent; forms a protective film	Non-toxic; can also protect against sunburn and heat stress on plants

Table 5. Applications of mineral pesticides in sustainable agriculture [33]

13.1 Reduced Chemical Runoff and Soil Contamination

Eco-friendly pesticides typically break down more quickly in the environment than synthetic chemicals, reducing the risk of runoff into waterways and soil contamination. For example, the use of microbial pesticides like Bacillus thuringiensis is known to be safe for the environment as these microbes are naturally occurring and do not leave harmful residues [42].

13.2 Conservation of Biodiversity

The selective nature of eco-friendly pesticides spares non-target organisms, preserving the biodiversity that is essential for ecological balance. For instance, botanical pesticides, such as pyrethrin extracted from chrysanthemum flowers, are toxic to insects but are less harmful to birds and mammals [43]. Biodiversity conservation also helps maintain a balanced ecosystem which contributes to the natural pest regulation [44].

14. ECONOMIC BENEFITS

Adopting eco-friendly pesticides can have significant long-term economic benefits for farmers and the agricultural industry as a whole.

14.1 Cost-Effectiveness in the Long Term

While the initial investment in eco-friendly pesticides may be higher, their use can lead to cost savings over time. The reduction in pest

resistance development means that farmers can use the same biopesticides effectively for longer periods without the need for increasingly potent chemical solutions [45]. The enhanced quality of produce and the reduced need for chemical handling and storage can also result in cost savings [46].

14.2 Supporting Organic and Non-Gmo Farming

The global market for organic and non-GMO products is growing, and eco-friendly pesticides support these farming practices. They enable farmers to meet the stringent standards required for organic certification, which in turn can command higher prices in the marketplace [47].

15. SOCIAL AND HEALTH BENEFITS

Beyond environmental and economic factors, the social and health benefits of eco-friendly pesticides are perhaps the most immediately impactful.

15.1 Minimizing Health Risks for Consumers and Farmworkers

The reduction in toxic chemical use associated with eco-friendly pesticides diminishes the exposure risk to farm workers and has been linked to lower incidences of certain health issues in these populations [48]. Consumers also benefit from lower pesticide residues in food, aligning with increasing public health recommendations to reduce exposure to synthetic chemicals [49].

15.2 Community Acceptance and the Social License to Operate

Community acceptance is critical for the sustainable operation of agricultural enterprises. The use of eco-friendly pesticides can improve the relationship between agriculture and the public by addressing environmental and health concerns, thereby maintaining the social license to operate. This can be particularly important in areas where agriculture is a major part of the community and the local economy [50].

15.3 Case Studies and Application Examples

The transition to eco-friendly pesticides has been documented in various contexts globally.

16. SUCCESS STORIES IN VARIOUS CROPS AND CLIMATES

The adaptability of eco-friendly pesticides across diverse climates and crops is one of their most compelling attributes.

16.1 Temperate Climates

In temperate regions, where temperature fluctuations can be significant, eco-friendly pesticides have shown promising results. For instance, the use of pheromone mating disruption in apple orchards has been effective in controlling codling moth populations in the Pacific Northwest of the United States. Studies have documented not only the effectiveness of these methods but also the positive environmental impacts, such as the reduction in non-target organism harm [51].

16.2 Tropical Climates

Tropical climates, with their high biodiversity and intense pest pressures, present unique challenges for pest management. Biocontrol methods using entomopathogenic fungi have been successfully used in Brazil to control sugar cane pests, reducing the need for chemical insecticides while maintaining yield [52].

16.3 Challenges Overcome in Implementation

While the benefits are significant, the adoption of eco-friendly pesticides is not without challenges. These case studies highlight the obstacles encountered and the strategies used to overcome them.

16.3.1 Farmer education and adaptation

Adopting new practices requires changes in farmer behavior and education. In Vietnam, initiatives to transition to biopesticides for rice pest management involved extensive farmer training programs. These programs highlighted not only the application methods but also the economic and health benefits, leading to widespread adoption and a significant reduction in chemical pesticide use [53].

16.3.2 Integration into existing farming practices

Integrating eco-friendly pesticides into existing farming systems can be challenging. In Kenya,

push-pull technology, which uses strategically planted crops to repel and attract pests away from the main crop, has been incorporated into maize farming practices. This method required alignment with traditional farming practices, but once integrated, it proved effective in pest control and improved soil fertility [54].

17. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

The adoption of eco-friendly pesticides is not without its hurdles. While the benefits are substantial, there are efficacy concerns, economic and regulatory hurdles, and technical challenges that need to be addressed to increase their application in sustainable agriculture.

17.1 Efficacy Concerns

One of the primary reservations about ecofriendly pesticides concerns their efficacy, especially when compared to conventional chemical pesticides.

17.1.1 Consistency in performance

The performance of eco-friendly pesticides can be influenced by a variety of environmental factors, making their effectiveness more variable. For example, certain biopesticides may be highly effective under specific conditions but less so under others, necessitating more precise application strategies [55].

17.1.2 Speed of action and residual effect

Chemical pesticides are often valued for their quick action and lasting residual effects, characteristics that many eco-friendly alternatives lack. Biological control agents, for instance, might take longer to establish and exert control over pest populations [56].

17.2 Economic and Regulatory Hurdles

The path to market for eco-friendly pesticides is often strewn with economic and regulatory obstacles.

17.2.1 Cost of development and registration

The development and registration of new pesticides, including eco-friendly options, can be a costly and lengthy process, with expenses often running into millions of dollars. This high cost can deter investment in eco-friendly pesticide development [57].

17.2.2 Market acceptance and consumer preferences

Consumer preferences and market acceptance can also pose challenges. While there is a growing demand for sustainable products, ecofriendly pesticides must compete with wellestablished chemical pesticides that are often less expensive due to economies of scale [58].

18. TECHNICAL AND LOGISTICAL CHALLENGES

There are several technical and logistical challenges associated with the use of eco-friendly pesticides.

18.1 Shelf Life and Storage Conditions

Many eco-friendly pesticides have shorter shelf lives and may require specific storage conditions to maintain their efficacy, which can pose challenges in terms of logistics and farm-level management [59].

18.2 Application Techniques

The application of eco-friendly pesticides often requires specialized knowledge and techniques. For example, the use of beneficial insects as a pest control method requires an understanding of the pests' and predators' life cycles and the optimal conditions for the predators to thrive [60].

18.3 The Future of Eco-Friendly Pesticides in Sustainable Agriculture

18.3.1 Research trends and innovations

Emerging technologies and scientific advancements promise to bolster the development and efficacy of eco-friendly pesticides.

19. NANOTECHNOLOGY IN PESTICIDE DELIVERY

Nanotechnology offers new avenues for pesticide delivery, potentially increasing the effectiveness of eco-friendly formulations. Nanoencapsulated biopesticides can improve stability, reduce degradation, and enhance targeted delivery, minimizing environmental impact and reducing the quantities required for pest control [61].

19.1 Genetic Engineering for Pest-Resistant Crops

Genetic engineering holds the potential for developing crops with inherent pest resistance, which could significantly reduce the need for external pesticide applications. For instance, gene editing techniques such as CRISPR/Cas9 allow for precise alterations in plant DNA, providing a promising avenue for the development of pest-resistant varieties [62].

19.2 Policy and Regulatory Developments

Effective policy and regulatory frameworks are critical in shaping the future use of eco-friendly pesticides.

19.2.1 Government incentives and subsidies

Governments play a crucial role in promoting eco-friendly pesticides through incentives and subsidies. Such policies can lower the economic barriers to entry for new eco-friendly products, encouraging their adoption and supporting research and development [63].

19.2.2 International regulations and agreements

International agreements and regulatory standards also influence the adoption of ecofriendly pesticides. The Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants, for example, has been instrumental in phasing out the use of certain harmful pesticides, paving the way for eco-friendly alternatives [64].

19.2.3 Education and awareness

Education and awareness are vital to ensuring the successful implementation and acceptance of eco-friendly pesticides.

19.3 Extension Services and Farmer Training

Extension services play a vital role in transferring knowledge about eco-friendly pesticides to farmers, including best practices for application, safety, and environmental impacts. These services need to be robust and well-funded to reach a broad audience [65].

19.4 Public Education Campaigns

Public education campaigns can raise awareness about the benefits of eco-friendly pesticides and sustainable agriculture practices. By informing consumers and creating demand for sustainably produced food, these campaigns can drive market changes [66].

20. IMPLICATIONS FOR POLICY AND PRACTICE

20.1 Policy Recommendations

Policymakers have a significant role in steering the agricultural sector towards more sustainable practices through strategic initiatives and support mechanisms.

20.1.1 Incentives for research and development

It is essential to foster innovation in eco-friendly pesticides through financial incentives. This can involve direct funding for R&D projects, tax breaks for companies investing in sustainable solutions, or public-private partnerships that leverage both government and industry strengths [67]. Funding could also support independent efficacy and impact assessments, which are crucial for developing products that meet farmers' needs while ensuring environmental safety [68].

20.1.2 Support for transitioning to ecofriendly practices

Policies must also provide robust support systems for farmers transitioning to eco-friendly practices. This could include subsidies for ecofriendly products, technical assistance, or risksharing mechanisms that alleviate the financial burdens of transitioning [69].

20.2Best Practices for Farmers and Agribusiness

For the agricultural sector, adopting best practices is vital for the sustainable integration of eco-friendly pesticides.

20.2.1 Integrated Pest Management (IPM)

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies, which combine biological, cultural, physical, and chemical tools in a way that minimizes economic, health, and environmental risks, should be the cornerstone of pest control practices [70]. Adoption of IPM can be encouraged through extension services, which provide training and resources for farmers to implement these strategies effectively [71].

20.2.2 Collaboration and knowledge sharing

Encouraging collaboration and knowledge sharing within the agricultural community can accelerate the adoption of eco-friendly practices. This involves creating networks and forums where farmers, agronomists, researchers, and industry representatives can exchange information on best practices, innovations, and case studies demonstrating the successful application of eco-friendly pesticides [72].

21. CONCLUSION

The transition towards eco-friendly pesticides represents a crucial advancement in sustainable agriculture. aligning with environmental imperatives and consumer health concerns. The scientific community has substantiated the efficacy of these substances, offering promising alternatives to traditional chemical pesticides. Integrating innovations such as nanotechnology genetic engineering, while navigating and regulatory, economic, and technical challenges, remains pivotal. Policy frameworks must evolve to incentivize research and support adoption, and farmers require training in best practices like Integrated Pest Management (IPM). As this review highlights, only through collaborative efforts and informed policy actions can the potential of eco-friendly pesticides be fully realized, ensuring the protection of crops, conservation of ecosystems, and the well-being of future generations.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Nagel P, Peveling R. Environment and the sterile insect technique. In Sterile Insect Technique CRC Press. 2021;753-780.
- Kumar V. (2015). A review on efficacy of biopesticides to control the agricultural insect's pest. International Journal of Agricultural Science Research. 2015;4(9): 168-179.
- Belousova ME, Malovichko YV, Shikov A. E, Nizhnikov AA, Antonets KS. Dissecting the environmental consequences of Bacillus thuringiensis application for naturalecosystems. Toxins. 2021;13(5):35 5.

- Galadima M, Singh S, Pawar A, Khasnabis S, Dhanjal DS, Anil AG, Singh J. Toxicity, microbial degradation and analytical detection of pyrethroids: A review. Environmental Advances. 2021;5, 100105.
- 5. Fenibo EO, Ijoma GN, Matambo T. Biopesticides in sustainable agriculture: Current status and future prospects. New and Future Development in Biopesticide Research: Biotechnological Exploration, 2022;1-53.
- Naidu R, Biswas B, Willett IR, Cribb J, Singh BK, Nathanail CP, Aitken RJ. (2021). Chemical pollution: A growing peril and potential catastrophic risk to humanity. Environment International. 2021;156:106616.
- Gann GD, McDonald T, Walder B, Aronson J, Nelson CR, Jonson J, Dixon K. International principles and standards for the practice of ecological restoration. *RestorationEcology*.2019;27(S 1):S1-S46.
- Giller KE, Delaune T, Silva JV, Descheemaeker K, van de Ven G, Schut AG, Van Ittersum MK. The future of farming: Who will produce our food?. Food Security. 2021;13(5):1073-1099.
- Rowan NJ, Murray N, Qiao Y, O'Neill E, Clifford E, Barceló D, Power DM. Digital transformation of peatland eco-innovations ('Paludiculture'): Enabling a paradigm shift towards the real-time sustainable production of 'green-friendly'products and services. Science of the Total Environment. 2022;838:156328.
- 10. Schlegel RH. History of plant breeding. CRC Press; 2017.
- Doggett SL, Miller DM, Lee CY. (Eds.). Advances in the biology and management of modern bed bugs. John Wiley & Sons; 2018.
- 12. Springer N. An analysis of rachel carson's silent spring. CRC Press; 2017
- Sehrawat A, Phour M, Kumar R, Sindhu S. S. Bioremediation of pesticides: An ecofriendly approach for environment sustainability. Microbial Rejuvenation of Polluted Environment: 2021;1:23-84.
- 14. Hawkins NJ, Bass C, Dixon A, Neve P. The evolutionary origins of pesticide resistance. Biological Reviews. 2019;94(1): 135-155.
- 15. Durham TC, Mizik T. Comparative economics of conventional, organic, and

alternative agricultural production systems. Economies. 2021;9(2):64.

- 16. Ricci EC, Banterle A, Stranieri S. Trust to go green: an exploration of consumer intentions for eco-friendly convenience food. Ecological Economics. 2018;148:54-65.
- 17. Hefferon K. *Let Thy Food Be Thy Medicine:* Plants and Modern Medicine. Oxford University Press. USA; 2012
- Mohankumar S, Ramasubramanian T. (2014). Role of genetically modified insectresistant crops in IPM: Agricultural, ecological and evolutionary implications. In Integrated pest management .Academic Press. 2017;371-399
- 19. Chakrabarti SK, Sharma S, Shah MA. Potato Pests and Diseases: A Global Perspective. In Sustainable Management of Potato Pests and Diseases Singapore: Springer Singapore. 2022;1-23.
- Chandler D, Bailey AS, Tatchell GM., Davidson G, Greaves J, Grant WP. The development, regulation and use of biopesticides for integrated pest management. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2011;366(1573):1987-1998.
- 21. Hernández-Fernández J. Bacillus thuringiensis: A natural tool in insect pest control. In The handbook of microbial bioresources. Wallingford UK: Cabi. 2016;121-129.
- 22. Ahmed N, Alam M, Saeed M, Ullah H, Iqbal T, Al-Mutairi KA, Salman M. Botanical insecticides are a non-toxic alternative to conventional pesticides in the control of insects and pests. Global Decline of Insects. 2021;1-19.
- 23. Damalas CA, Koutroubas SD. Botanical pesticides for eco-friendly pest management: Drawbacks and limitations. Pesticides in Crop Production: Physiological and Biochemical Action. 2020;181-193.
- Olaiya CO, Gbadegesin MA, Nwauzoma A.
 B. Bioregulators as tools for plant growth, development, defence and improvement. *African* Journal of Biotechnology. 2013;12(32):4987-4999.
- 25. Mannion AM, Morse S. Biotechnology in agriculture: Agronomic and environmental considerations and reflections based on 15 years of GM crops. Progress in Physical Geography. 2012;36(6):747-763.
- 26. Pierce AA., Milewski EA, Wozniak CA. Federal regulation of plant-incorporated

protectants in the United States: implications for use of bioengineered pesticides in forest restoration. New Forests. 2023;54(4):739-749.

- 27. Gatehouse AJ. Prospects for using proteinase inhibitors to protect transgenic plants against attack by herbivorous insects. Current Protein and Peptide Science. 2011;12(5):409-416.
- 28. Mondal E, Chakraborty K. Azadirachta indica-A tree with multifaceted applications: An overview. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. 2016;8(5):299.
- 29. Said-Al Ahl, HA., Hikal WM, Tkachenko K G. Essential oils with potential as insecticidal agents: A review. *Int. J. Environ.* Plan. Manag. 2017;*3*(4):23-33.
- Damalas CA, Koutroubas SD. Botanical pesticides for eco-friendly pest management: Drawbacks and limitations. Pesticides in Crop Production: Physiological and Biochemical Action, 2020;181-193.
- 31. Sarwar M. Inorganic insecticides used in landscape settings and insect pests. Chemistry Research Journal. 2016;1(1):50-57.
- 32. Hassan AS. Inorganic-based pesticides: a review article. Egypt Sci J Pestic. 2019;5: 39-52.
- Manjaiah KM, Mukhopadhyay R, Paul R, Datta SC, Kumararaja P, Sarkar B. Clay minerals and zeolites for environmentally sustainable agriculture. In *Modified clay and* zeolite nanocomposite materials Elsevier. 2019;309-329.
- 34. Kola VSR, Renuka P, Madhav MS, Mangrauthia SK. Key enzymes and proteins of crop insects as candidate for RNAi based gene silencing. Frontiers in Physiology. 2015;6:119.
- 35. Kumar B, Omkar. Insect pest management. Springer Singapore. 2018; 1015-1078.
- 36. Argôlo-Filho RC, Loguercio LL. Bacillus thuringiensis is an environmental pathogen and host-specificity has developed as an adaptation to human-generated ecological niches. Insects. 2013;*5*(1):62-91.
- Howse P, Stevens JM, Jones OT. Insect pheromones and their use in pest management. Springer Science & Business Media; 2013.
- Khursheed A, Rather MA, Jain V, Rasool S, Nazir R, Malik NA, Majid SA. Plant based natural products as potential

ecofriendly and safer biopesticides: A comprehensive overview of their advantages over conventional pesticides, limitations and regulatory aspects. Microbial Pathogenesis. 2022;105854.

- Obrycki JJ, Harwood JD, Kring TJ, O'Neil RJ. Aphidophagy by Coccinellidae: application of biological control in agroecosystems. BiologicalControl. 2009;5 1(2):244-254.
- 40. Giunti G, Benelli G, Palmeri V, Laudani F, Ricupero M, Ricciardi R, Campolo O. Nontarget effects of essential oil-based biopesticides for crop protection: Impact on natural enemies, pollinators, and soil invertebrates. Biological Control. 2022;105071.
- 41. McGrath MT, Homa K. Biopesticides in vegetable and herb disease management. In *Handbook of Vegetable and Herb Diseases* Cham: Springer International Publishing. 20231-49.
- 42. Raymond B, Federici BA. In defence of Bacillus thuringiensis, the safest and most successful microbial insecticide available to humanity—a response to EFSA. *FEMS* Microbiology Ecology. 2017;93(7):fix084.
- 43. Schleier III JJ, Peterson RK. Pyrethrins and pyrethroid insecticides. Green Trends In Insect Control. 2011;11:94-131.
- 44. Altieri M, Nicholls C. Biodiversity and pest management in agroecosystems. CRC Press; 2018.
- 45. Chandler D, Bailey AS, Tatchell GM, Davidson G, Greaves J, Grant WP. The development, regulation and use of biopesticides for integrated pest management. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences . 2011;366(1573):1987-1998.
- 46. Popp J, Pető K, Nagy J. Pesticide productivity and food security. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2013;33, 243-255.
- 47. Reganold JP, Wachter JM. Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. Nature Plants. 2016;2(2):1-8.
- 48. Pathak VM, Verma VK, Rawat BS, Kaur B, Babu N, Sharma A, Cunill JM. Current status of pesticide effects on environment, human health and it's eco-friendly management as bioremediation: А comprehensive review. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2022;2833
- 49. Benbrook C, Kegley S, Baker B. Organic farming lessens reliance on pesticides and

promotes public health by lowering dietary risks. Agronomy. 2021;11(7):1266.

- 50. Luke H, Brueckner M, Emmanouil N. Unconventional gas development in Australia: A critical review of its social license. The Extractive Industries and Society. 2018;5(4):648-662.
- 51. Kaul V, Shankar U, Khushu MK. Biointensive integrated pest management in fruit crop ecosystem. *Integrated Pest Management:* Innovation-Development Process: Volume. 2009;1:631-666.
- 52. Bueno VHP, Parra JRP, Bettiol W, Lenteren JV. Biological control in Brazil. In Biological control in Latin America and the Caribbean: its rich history and bright future Wallingford UK: CABI. 2020;78-107
- 53. Möhring N, Ingold K, Kudsk P, Martin-Laurent F, Niggli U, Siegrist M, Finger R. Pathways for advancing pesticide policies. Nature Food. 2020; 1(9):535-540.
- 54. Altieri MA, Nicholls CI, Montalba R. (). Technological approaches to sustainable agriculture at a crossroads: An agroecologicalperspective. Sustainability. 2 017;9(3):349.
- 55. Gill HK, Garg H. Pesticide: Environmental impacts and management strategies. *Pesticides-Toxic Aspects*, 2014;8(187):10-5772.
- Khater HF. Ecosmart biorational insecticides: alternative insect control strategies. Insecticides-Advances in Integrated Pest Management. 2012;17-60.
- 57. Butu M, Rodino S, Butu A. Biopesticide formulations-current challenges and future perspectives. In *Biopesticides*. Woodhead Publishing. 2022;19-29.
- 58. Mawar R, Manjunatha BL, Kumar S. Commercialization, diffusion and adoption of bioformulations for sustainable disease management in Indian arid agriculture: Prospects and challenges. Circular Economy and Sustainability. 2021;1:1367-1385.
- 59. Tsolakis N.K, Keramydas CA, Toka AK, Aidonis DA, lakovou ET. Agrifood supply chain management: A comprehensive hierarchical decision-making framework and a critical taxonomy. Biosystems Engineering. 2014;120:47-64.
- 60. Karuppuchamy P, Venugopal S. Integrated pest management. In *Ecofriendly pest management for food security* Academic Press. 2016;651-684.
- 61. Abdollahdokht D, Gao Y, Faramarz S, Poustforoosh A, Abbasi M,

Asadikaram G., Nematollahi MH. Conventional agrochemicals towards nano-biopesticides: An overview on recent advances. Chemical And Biological Technologies In Agriculture. 2022;9(1):1-19.

- 62. Karmakar S, Das P, Panda D, Xie K, Baig MJ, Molla KA. A detailed landscape of CRISPR-Cas-mediated plant disease and pest management. Plant Science. 2022;323:111376.
- Zhang W, Chintagunta PK, Kalwani MU. Social media, influencers, and adoption of an eco-friendly product: Field experiment evidence from rural China. Journal of Marketing. 2021;85(3):10-27.
- 64. Lallas PL. The Stockholm Convention on persistent organic pollutants. American Journal of International Law, 2001;95(3): 692-708.
- Adkins H, Beyer B, Blankinship P, Lewandowski P, Oprea, A., & Stubblefield, A. Building secure and reliable systems: best practices for designing, implementing, and maintaining systems. O'Reilly Media;2020.
- 66. O'Rourke D. Market movements: Nongovernmental organization strategies to influence global production and

consumption. Journal of Industrial Ecology. 2005;9(1-2):115-128.

- 67. Polzin F. Mobilizing private finance for lowcarbon innovation–A systematic review of barriers and solutions. *Renewable and Sustainable* Energy Reviews. 2017;77: 525-535.
- 68. Glasson J, Therivel, R. Introduction to environmental impact assessment. Routledge; 2013.
- 69. Caniels MC, Romijn HA. Strategic niche management: towards a policy tool for sustainable development. Technology Analysis and Strategic Management. 2008;20(2):245-266.
- Deguine JP, Aubertot JN, Flor RJ, Lescourret F, Wyckhuys KA, Ratnadass A. Integrated pest management: good intentions, hard realities. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 2021;41(3):38.
- 71. Peshin, R., Jayaratne KSU, Sharma R. IPM Extension: A global overview. Integrated pest management. 2014;493-529.
- 72. Chowdhury SK. A Sustainable and ecofriendly alternative to traditional pesticides in agricultural crops. Dr. Reema Bora, 2023;84.

© 2023 Verma et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/109389