



Botanicals as Quorum Quenching Molecules and Their Effect in Plant Growth Promoting Traits in *Pantoea*, *Paenibacillus*, and *Rhizobium*

S. Madhan ^a, R. Anandham ^{a*}, D. Balachandar ^a,
I. Johnson ^b and S. Vincent ^c

^a Department of Agricultural Microbiology, AC&RI, TNAU, Coimbatore-03, India.

^b Department of Plant Pathology, AC&RI, TNAU, Coimbatore-03, India.

^c Department of Crop Physiology, AC&RI, TNAU, Coimbatore-03, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i193641

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105710>

Original Research Article

Received: 24/06/2023

Accepted: 29/08/2023

Published: 30/08/2023

ABSTRACT

Quorum sensing is a cell-to-cell communication mechanism that was reported in *Rhizobium mayense* S11R1, *Pantoea dispersa* YBB19B, and *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* YBB20 by the presence of N-acyl homoserine lactones. This study explored possible mechanisms for expressing plant growth-promoting traits mediated through the quorum-sensing molecules. To prove the above hypothesis, a quorum quenching approach was carried out to study the regulation of plant growth-promoting traits of three isolates using botanicals like *Curcuma longa* (Turmeric) and *Andrographis paniculata* (Nilavembu) extracts (500, 1000, and 1500 ppm). At 1500 ppm, indole acetic acid production was completely inhibited in all three isolates. Extracellular Polymeric Substances (EPS) production was significantly reduced in *Pantoea dispersa* YBB19B (24 µg/mL)

*Corresponding author: E-mail: anandhamranga@gmail.com;

when treated with nilavembu extract. Biofilm-forming ability was weakened to a greater extent in *Pantoea dispersa* YBB19B and *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* YBB20 when treated with nilavembu extract. Hence, it is concluded that plant growth promotion traits in the selected bacteria are mediated through quorum sensing.

Keywords: Quorum sensing, cell-to-cell communication, quorum quenching, nilavembu, turmeric, plant growth promoting traits.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quorum sensing (QS), a cell-to-cell communication mechanism based on generating and detecting autoinducer or QS molecules, was first identified as a mode of communication among bacterial populations. This process allows bacteria to keep track of the cell density and plan behavioral changes [1]. Gram-negative bacteria often rely on producing autoinducers such as cyclodipeptides and N-acyl homoserine lactones (AHL). Numerous QS-regulated genes, such as those involved in virulence factors, biofilm formation, chemotaxis, and many others, are activated or deactivated by the perception of QS molecules in bacteria [2]. One of the most significant and investigated classes of QS compounds is AHL. This group's molecules comprise a homoserine lactone ring and an acyl side chain with four to eighteen carbons. A hydroxyl or ketone group may be substituted for the hydrogen at the C-3 position of the acyl chain to change its length [3,4]. The lactone ring, amide group, and fatty acid chain length work together to establish the specificity of the cell-to-cell contact and recognition of the AHL by its corresponding receptor [5]. A mechanism that involves disrupting these AHL molecules is called quorum quenching [6]. These molecules may be chemicals like salicylic acid [7] and gallic acid [8], enzymes like lactonase, acylase, and oxidoreductase [9], physical parameters like pH and temperature [10] botanicals like neem leaf extract [11] and turmeric extract. These quorum-quenching molecules inhibit IAA production [12], extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) synthesis, and biofilm formation [13] and also adversely affect their symbiotic relationship with their eukaryotic hosts [14]. No evidence exists that quorum sensing promotes plant growth in rhizobial and nonrhizobial endophytes. This study demonstrated how the botanicals, turmeric, and nilavembu extracts act as quorum-quenching molecules and affect plant growth-promoting traits in RE and NRE.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions

Rhizobial and non-rhizobial endophytes isolated from groundnut nodules [15] were used in this study. Rhizobial endophyte *Rhizobium mayense* S11R1 and nonrhizobial endophytes *Pantoea dispersa* YBB19B and *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* YBB20 were grown in Yeast Extract Mannitol Agar medium at 30 ± 2 °C, respectively.

2.2 Screening of Rhizobial and Non-rhizobial Endophytes at Different Concentrations of Quorum Quenching Molecules

The rhizobial and nonrhizobial endophytes screened for tolerance levels of botanicals viz., nilavembu extract and turmeric extracts at 500, 1000, and 1500 ppm. These bacterial isolates were grown in their broth and kept for 24 h at 30°C in 120 rpm shaking condition. Regarding optical density, growth was measured in a spectrophotometer (M/s. Shimadzu, Japan) at 660nm.

2.3 Plant Growth Promoting Traits

2.3.1 IAA production

The rhizobial and nonrhizobial endophytes were evaluated for IAA production at different levels of botanicals, viz., nilavembu extract and turmeric extract at 500, 1000, and 1500 ppm. These bacterial isolates were grown in liquid YEM medium added with 0.1% tryptophan at shaking condition (120 rpm) at 30 ± 2 °C for 48 hours. After incubation, the broths were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant was collected. 2 mL of Salkowski reagent (1 ml of 0.5 M FeCl₃ dissolved in 50 ml of 35% perchloric acid) and 50 µL of 0.1 mM orthophosphoric acid were added to the 500 µL of the supernatant and incubated in the dark for 30 minutes. The IAA production was confirmed by the appearance of pink to red after incubation. The color intensity

was measured at 530 nm [16] spectrophotometrically (M/s. Shimadzu, Japan). IAA was used to generate a standard curve and expressed in µg/mL.

2.3.2 Extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) production

EPS production was examined at different concentrations of botanicals viz., nilavembu extract and turmeric extracts at 500, 1000, and ppm in RE and NRE in 30 ml of liquid YEM medium in shaking condition of 120 rpm at 30 °C for 24 hours. The ethanol precipitation method was followed to extract the EPS. The culture broth was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant was carefully transferred into fresh tubes, and equal 96% cold ethanol (v/v) was added. They were allowed to precipitate at °C in overnight. Then, the samples were centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 20 minutes. Finally, the pellets obtained were dissolved in distilled water. 1 mL of EPS extract was transferred to fresh tubes, 1 ml of 5% phenol solution, and 5 mL of pure sulphuric acid were added [17] and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. Then, brown color intensity was measured spectrophotometrically at 492 nm. Standard graphs were plotted with D-glucose concentration from 0 to 100 g /mL, and the concentration of total EPS content was determined [18].

2.3.3 Estimation of biofilm formation

RE and NRE were grown in different levels of botanicals, viz., nilavembu extract and turmeric extract, at 500, 1000, and 1500 ppm for biofilm formation assay. To 150 µL of liquid YEM medium, they were supplemented with botanicals at 500, 1000, and 1500 ppm in 96 healthy microtiter plates. 10 µL of 24-hour culture (1×10^8 cfu/mL) of RE and NRE was inoculated and incubated for two days. After incubation, the microtiter plates were washed with sterile distilled water and dried. 150 µL of 1% crystal violet solution is added to the dried plate and kept for 45 minutes. Then, plates were again rinsed with distilled water 2-3 times. The purple ring formation on the edge of the wells indicates the biofilm formation. 200 µL of 95% ethanol was added to the wells, and the purple color intensity was measured spectrophotometrically at 590 nm [19].

2.4 Statistical Analysis

All the experiments were carried out in triplicates, and the data was presented with mean and

standard error. The experimental data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's Multiple Range Test using SPSS software to identify the significant difference between the treatments at the 5% level. Wherever statistical significance was observed, the critical difference was worked out at a 5% probability level, and the values were furnished in respective tables.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Ability to Grow in Different Levels of Quorum Quenching Molecules

Bacterial culture growth was greatly retarded with increasing concentrations of botanicals as quorum quenching molecules. The results revealed that at higher concentrations, these molecules were highly toxic to bacterial isolates tested in this study.

3.2 Plant Growth Promoting Traits

3.2.1 IAA production

At 1500 ppm of both turmeric and nilavembu extract, *Rhizobium mayense* S11R1, *Pantoea dispersa* YBB19B, and *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* YBB20 were unable to produce indole acetic acid. Maximum production of indole acetic acid was reported in *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* YBB20 (47 µg/mL), followed by *Rhizobium mayense* S11R1 (41 µg/mL) and *Pantoea dispersa* YBB19B (36 µg/mL) without inhibitors. At 1000 ppm of turmeric extract, maximum production was recorded in *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* YBB20 (0.6 µg/mL), followed by *Rhizobium mayense* S11R1 (0.4 µg/mL) and at 1000 ppm of nilavembu extract *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* YBB20 recorded the maximum IAA (3 µg/mL).

3.2.2 EPS production

Increasing turmeric and nilavembu extract concentrations significantly reduced Exo Polymeric Substance production. The maximum production of EPS was recorded in *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* YBB20 (91 µg/mL), followed by *Pantoea dispersa* YBB19B (82 µg/mL) and *Rhizobium mayense* S11R1 (68 µg/mL) under normal conditions. At 1500 ppm, the maximum production was recorded in *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* YBB20 (32 µg/mL), followed by *Rhizobium mayense* S11R1 (27 µg/mL) and *Pantoea dispersa* YBB19B (24 µg/mL).

Table 1. Growth of rhizobial and non-rhizobial endophytes under different concentrations of botanicals

| Concentration of Botanicals (ppm) | <i>Pantoea dispersa</i> YBB19B | <i>Paenibacillus illinoisensis</i> YBB20 | <i>Rhizobium mayense</i> S11R1 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Without inhibitor | 1.503±0.022 ^a | 0.822±0.005 ^f | 0.915±0.019 ^c |
| Turmeric extract 500 | 0.669±0.016 ^f | 0.248±0.004 ^j | 0.61±0.006 ^g |
| 1000 | 0.596±0.002 ^g | 0.213±0.004 ^k | 0.435±0.006 ⁱ |
| 1500 | 0.416±0.005 ⁱ | 0.113±0.001 ⁿ | 0.24±0.001 ^j |
| Nilavembu extract 500 | 1.061±0.004 ^b | 0.178±0.002 ^l | 0.885±0.021 ^d |
| 1000 | 0.538±0.003 ^h | 0.168±0.001 ^l | 0.413±0.002 ⁱ |
| 1500 | 0.426±0.006 ⁱ | 0.14±0.002 ^m | 0.086±0.002 ⁿ |

Values are mean ± standard error with three replicates. Means followed by the same letter do not differ by DMRT at a 5% probability.

Table 2. IAA Production of the rhizobial and non-rhizobial endophytes under different concentrations of botanicals

| Concentration of Botanicals (ppm) | <i>Pantoea dispersa</i> YBB19B | <i>Paenibacillus illinoisensis</i> YBB20 | <i>Rhizobium mayense</i> S11R1 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Without inhibitor | 36.892±0.001 | 47.96±0.012 | 41.086±0.01 |
| Turmeric extract 500 | 36.892±0.007 | 21.408±0.001 | 15.064±0.004 |
| 1000 | ND | 0.626±0.007 | 0.440±0.001 |
| 1500 | ND | ND | ND |
| Nilavembu extract 500 | 1.193±0.001 | 19.688±0.001 | 27.752±0.007 |
| 1000 | ND | 3.666±0.001 | ND |
| 1500 | ND | ND | ND |

Values are mean ± standard error with three replicates. Means followed by the same letter do not differ by DMRT at a 5% probability.

Table 3. EPS production of the rhizobial and non-rhizobial endophytes under different concentrations of botanicals

| Concentration of Botanicals (ppm) | <i>Pantoea dispersa</i> YBB19B | <i>Paenibacillus illinoisensis</i> YBB20 | <i>Rhizobium mayense</i> S11R1 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Without inhibitor | 82.02±0.011 ^b | 91.42±0.004 ^a | 68.22±0.018 ^d |
| Turmeric extract 500 | 62.92±0.007 ^e | 75.72±0.008 ^c | 47.72±0.005 ^g |
| 1000 | 56.02±0.015 ^f | 66.32±0.013 ^d | 40.32±0.005 ^{hi} |
| 1500 | 47.02±0.004 ^g | 47.52±0.011 ^g | 38.82±0.007 ^{ji} |
| Nilavembu extract 500 | 75.82±0.007 ^c | 45.92±0.005 ^g | 41.02±0.006 ^{hi} |
| 1000 | 27.92±0.001 ^l | 41.82±0.004 ^h | 36.62±0.002 ^j |
| 1500 | 24.42±0.001 ^m | 32.62±0.001 ^k | 27.12±0.005 ^l |

Table 4. Biofilm formation of the rhizobial and non-rhizobial endophytes under different concentrations of botanicals

| Concentration of Botanicals (ppm) | <i>Pantoea dispersa</i> YBB19B | <i>Paenibacillus illinoisensis</i> YBB20 | <i>Rhizobium mayense</i> S11R1 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Without inhibitor | Strong | Moderate | Moderate |
| Turmeric extract 500 | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate |
| 1000 | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate |
| 1500 | Weak | Weak | Weak |
| Nilavembu extract 500 | Moderate | Weak | Moderate |
| 1000 | Weak | Weak | Moderate |
| 1500 | Negative | Negative | Weak |

*Biofilm formation -OD590nm; Strong->0.3; Moderate-0.2-0.29; Weak-0.1-0.19; Negative -<0.1

3.2.3 Biofilm formation

Under normal conditions, *Pantoea dispersa* YBB19B exhibited more robust biofilm formation and showed moderate biofilm formation at 1500 ppm concentration of both extracts. At 1500 ppm, all three isolates showed weaker or harmful biofilm-forming ability.

4. DISCUSSION

It was reported that these three isolates have quorum sensing ability and synthesize the autoinducers. The quorum sensing compounds produced by *Pantoea dispersa* YBB19B were C6, C7, C8 and 3-oxo-C14 HSL, *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* YBB20 were C6, C7, 3-hydroxy-C8 and 3-oxo-C14 HSL and *Rhizobium mayense* S11R1 were C6, C7 and 3-hydroxy-C8 HSL (Unpublished data). These autoinducers will induce plant growth-promoting traits like indole acetic acid [20], extracellular polymeric substances [21], and biofilm formation [22]. Indole acetic acid belongs to the auxin class of phytohormone that promotes root length, cell elongation, and cell division. In *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* AttM lactonase enzyme, a quorum quenching molecule that degrades N-acyl homoserine lactones and significantly reduces the indole acetic acid production [12]. Similarly, in our study, the higher concentration of the botanicals significantly reduced the IAA production at 1500 ppm. Extracellular polymeric substances produced by the plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria help them escape abiotic stresses like drought, salinity, heavy metal pollution, and biotic stresses [23] and protect them from toxic substances [24]. During waste water treatment, a bacterial strain was discovered with quorum quenching ability, significantly reducing the extracellular polymeric substances by 37% [25]. In this study, 1500 ppm of the botanicals reduced the EPS production in *Pantoea dispersa* YBB19B (24 µg/mL). Biofilm formation is essential for plant growth, promoting rhizobacteria in the colonization of roots, nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization, indole acetic acid-like substance, and organic acid production [26]. Quorum-quenching enzymes like lactonase, acylase, and oxidoreductase could disrupt the N-acyl homoserine lactones, thereby preventing biofilm formation [13]. In this study, biofilm formation was significantly weakened by increasing the concentration of quorum-quenching botanicals.

5. CONCLUSION

In this study, it is understood that the turmeric and nilavembu extracts, which act as quorum quenching molecules, disturbed the quorum sensing systems, thereby inhibiting the plant growth-promoting traits when compared with normal conditions of the plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). Hence, it is concluded that in this study's selected RE and NRE, plant growth promotion is mediated through quorum sensing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A brief acknowledgement section may be given after the conclusion section just before the references. The acknowledgments of people who provided assistance in manuscript preparation, funding for research, etc. should be listed in this section. All sources of funding should be declared as an acknowledgement. Authors should declare the role of funding agency, if any, in the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript. If the study sponsors had no such involvement, the authors should so state

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Wu S, Liu J, Liu C, Yang A, Qiao J. Quorum sensing for population-level control of bacteria and potential therapeutic applications. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*. 2020;77:1319-1343.
2. Bellezza I, Peirce MJ, Minelli A. Cyclic dipeptides: From bugs to brain. *Trends Mol. Med*. 2014;20:551-558.
3. Whitehead NA, Barnard AML, Slater H, Simpson NJL, Salmond GPC. Quorum-sensing in Gram-negative bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol*. 2001;25:365-404.
4. Marketon MM, Gronquist MR, Eberhard A, González JE. Characterization of the *Sinorhizobium meliloti* sinR/sinI locus and the production of novel N-acyl homoserine lactones. *J. Bacteriol*. 2002;184:5686-5695.

5. Churchill MEA, Chen L. Structural basis of acyl-homoserine lactone-dependent signaling. *Chem. Rev.* 2011;111:68–85.
6. Dong YH, Gusti AR, Zhang Q, Xu JL, Zhang LH. Identification of quorum-quenching N-acyl homoserine lactonases from *Bacillus* species. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2002;68:1754–1759.
7. Delago A, Mandabi A, Meijler MM. Natural quorum sensing inhibitors—small molecules, big messages. *Isr. J. Chem.* 2016;56:310–320.
8. Nazzaro F, Fratianni F, Coppola R. Quorum sensing and phytochemicals. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences.* 2013;14:12607-12619.
9. Fetzner S. Quorum quenching enzymes. *J. Biotechnol.* 2015;201:2–14.
10. Delalande L, Faure D, Raffoux A, Uroz S, Angelo-Picard CD, Elasri M, Carlier A, Berruyer R, Petit A, Williams P, Dessaux Y. N-hexanoyl-L-homoserine lactone, a mediator of bacterial quorum-sensing regulation, exhibits plant-dependent stability and may be inactivated by germinating *Lotus corniculatus* seedlings. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 2005;52:13–20.
11. Mishra R, Gwalani K, Nashikkar N, Bundale, S. Antibacterial and anti-quorum sensing studies of extracellularly synthesized silver nanoparticles from *Azadirachta indica* (Neem) leaf extract. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Res. Asia.* 2022; 19:1065–1078.
12. Boyer M, Bally R, Perrotto S, Chaintreuil C, Wisniewski-Dyé F. A quorum-quenching approach to identify quorum-sensing-regulated functions in *Azospirillum lipoferum*. *Res. Microbiol.* 2008;159:699–708.
13. Paluch E, Rewak-Soroczyńska J, Jędrusik I, Mazurkiewicz E, Jermakow K. Prevention of biofilm formation by quorum quenching. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2020;104:1871–1881.
14. Gao M, Chen H, Eberhard A, Gronquist MR, Robinson JB, Connolly M, Teplitski M, Rolfe BG, Bauer WD. Effects of AiiA-mediated quorum quenching in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* on quorum-sensing signals, proteome patterns, and symbiotic interactions. *Mol. plant-microbe Interact.* 2007;20:843–856.
15. Preyanga R, Anandham R, Krishnamoorthy R, Senthilkumar M, Gopal NO, Vellaikumar A, Meena S. Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) nodule rhizobium and passenger endophytic bacterial cultivable diversity and their impact on plant growth promotion. *Rhizosphere.* 2021; 17:100309.
16. Patten CL, Glick BR. Role of pseudomonas putida indoleacetic acid in development of the host plant root system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2002;68:3795–3801.
17. Masuko T, Minami A, Iwasaki N, Majima T, Nishimura SI, Lee YC. Carbohydrate analysis by a phenol–sulfuric acid method in microplate format. *Anal. Biochem.* 2005;339:69–72.
18. Du Bois M, Gilles KA, Hamilton JK, Rebers PAT, Smith F. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Anal. Chem.* 1956;28:350–356.
19. Djordjevic D, Wiedmann M, McLandsborough LA. Microtiter plate assay for assessment of *Listeria monocytogenes* biofilm formation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2002;68:2950–2958.
20. Jung BK, Ibal JC, Pham HQ, Kim MC, Park GS, Hong SJ, Jo HW, Park CE, Choi SD, Jung Y, Tägele SB, Shin JH. Quorum sensing system affects the plant growth promotion traits of *Serratia fonticola* GS2. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020;11:536865.
21. Pellock BJ, Teplitski M, Boinay RP, Bauer WD, Walker GC. A LuxR homolog controls production of symbiotically active extracellular polysaccharide II by *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *J. Bacteriol.* 2002;184:5067–5076.
22. Alagely A, Krediet CJ, Ritchie KB, Teplitski M. Signaling-mediated cross-talk modulates swarming and biofilm formation in a coral pathogen *Serratia marcescens*. *ISME J.* 2011;5:1609–1620.
23. Morcillo RJL, M. Manzanera. The effects of plant-associated bacterial exopolysaccharides on plant abiotic stress tolerance. *Metabolites.* 2021;11:337.
24. Miquel S, Lagrèfeuille R, Souweine B, Forestier C. Anti-biofilm activity as a health issue. *Front. Microbiol.* 2016;7:592.
25. Dong X, Zhu R, Li Y, Qin J, Ge S. Characterization of an indigenous quorum quenching bacterium and its effect on the SMP, EPS and microbial community of sludge mixture during wastewater

- treatment. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation*. 2020;152:104995.
26. Seneviratne G, Weerasekara M, Seneviratne K, Zavahir JS, Kecskés ML, Kennedy IR. Importance of biofilm formation in plant growth promoting rhizobacterial action. *Plant growth Heal. Promot. Bact.* 2011;81–95.

© 2023 Madhan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105710>