



Soil Related Issues of South Gujarat, India

L. M. Patil ^{a+++*}, K. G. Patel ^{b#}, Neethu T. M. ^{b†}, J. M. Patel ^{c‡}
and V. R. Naik ^{d^}

^a KVK-Bharuch, BAIF Campus, Chaswad, India.

^b Department of Soil Science & Agril. Chemistry, NAU, Navsari, India.

^c Soil and Water Management Research Institute, NAU, Navsari, India.

^d NAU, Navsari, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i183451

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103381>

Review Article

Received: 12/05/2023

Accepted: 19/07/2023

Published: 07/08/2023

ABSTRACT

The soil related issues have been ever since farming on soils initiated. But, it is critically intensified in recent decades because of growing population which posing serious threat to the sustained agricultural production and food security. South Gujarat faces formidable challenge with its lengthy coastal line, salt affected soils, high rainfall areas, hilly undulated territory, irrigated plains and highly industrialize. These challenges will be much severe in the next decades due to issues related to land resources and declining water availability. The South Gujarat has 21.01 lakh ha total geographical area (10.75% of state TGA) which sustains 122.90 lakh populations *i.e.* 20.36% of the Gujarat state. More than 25 per cent land of total geographical area of south Gujarat is affected with various soil issues which are comparatively much higher than the state (15.95%). The issues

⁺⁺Scientist (Soil Science);

[#]Professor and Head;

[†]Asst. Professor;

[‡]Research Scientist;

[^]Associate Director of Research;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: lalit.patil@baif.org.in;

are related to soil degradation mainly by soil erosion through water, followed by excessive salinity, decline in the above ground biomass resulted from deforestation/overgrazing and human interventions that include mining, industrial activities and urbanization. The present paper delivers a brief account of soil issues and causes with special reference to the South Gujarat.

Keywords: Soil erosion; salinity; nutrient imbalance; soil contamination.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 South Gujarat

The South Gujarat is one of the wettest regions of India. It comprises of seven districts viz. Bharuch, Narmada, Navsari, Surat, Tapi, The Dangs and Valsad. Geographically, it is located between longitude 72°54' East and latitude 20°57' North. The area of south Gujarat can be broadly categorized into two major agro-climatic zones viz., South Gujarat heavy rainfall zone with annual rainfall of 1592 - 2534 mm in 65 to 95 rainy days and South Gujarat medium rainfall zone with 31-71 rainy days and annual rainfall of 798 - 1655 mm. The total geographical area of South Gujarat is 23.81 lakh hectares (12.14% of state) covering 122.90 lakh population i.e. 20.36% of the Gujarat state with occupying too much higher population density (513 persons/km) than the Gujarat state as whole (308.2 persons/km).

“At the national level, although key portion of the South Gujarat is grouped under coastal ecosystem with sub humid climate however, at block level remarkable deviations in climatic parameters are observed. Excluding, Nandod of Narmada and Nizar and Uchhal of Tapi district, entire eastern belt is sub-humid (moist/dry) and the South eastern part comprising Dharmpur and Kaparada blocks of Valsad as well as Gandevi block of Navsari district are categorized as humid. Almost all blocks of Bharuch are categorized into semiarid (dry/moist). This

diversity is also apparent in rainfall pattern in South Gujarat as Dharampur and Kaparada blocks get 2384 mm and that of Jamusar block get only 773 mm of rainfall” [1]. “Paddy and sugarcane in addition to mango, sapota and banana are the major crops of South Gujarat due to constant irrigation facility and heavy rainfall zone. The cereal crops which involves mainly paddy are covers 35 per cent South Gujarat. It is followed by pulses and sugarcane. The 11 per cent area is primarily occupied by fruit crops, which include mango, sapota, and banana. The cultivation of cotton is limited to Bharuch and Narmada districts, with a 13 per cent area in South Gujarat.” [1].

1.2 Soils of South Gujarat- In general

The soils of south Gujarat extensively vary in their characteristics. The district wise distribution of the soils of South Gujarat is given in the Table 1. “A deep black cotton soil occupies more than 50 per cent area in both the zones. Although, the soils as per crop based grouping under deep black cotton soils, but, these soils are not true Vertisols except some pockets in Bharuch district. However, in rest of the South Gujarat, the soils belong to Inceptisols having *Vertic* as characteristics horizon with predominance of montmorillonite silicate clay mineral. As a result of high clay content (> 40%) that too with montmorillonitic silicate mineral, these soils exhibit cracking, gillgai formation etc. properties” [2].

Table 1. Agro-climatic zone distribution of the soils

| Agro-climatic Zone | Types of soil | Approx Area ('000 ha) | % Total Area |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| South Gujarat Heavy Rainfall (Navsari, Surat, The Dangs and Valsad) | Deep Cotton Black Soil | 483.7 | 55 |
| | Laterite soils | 159.5 | 18 |
| | Hilly and forest soils | 109.6 | 12 |
| | Coastal alluvial soils | 136.7 | 15 |
| | Saline/alkaline soils | 15.4 | 00 |
| South Gujarat Medium Rainfall (Bharuch, Narmada and Tapi) | Deep Cotton Black Soil | 542.0 | 53 |
| | Coastal alluvial soils | 290.6 | 28 |
| | Saline/alkaline soils | 79.2 | 08 |
| | Hilly and forest soils | 117.2 | 11 |

Source: The joint report by Navsari campus, GAU and NBSSLUP, Udaipur (2000)

Table 2. Degraded and wastelands statistics of Gujarat and South Gujarat

| Class | Area ('000 ha) | |
|---|----------------|---------------|
| | Gujarat | South Gujarat |
| Exclusively water erosion (>10 t/ha/yr) | 979 | 529 |
| Water erosion under open forest | 32 | 18 |
| Exclusively saline soils | 1495 | 55 |
| Eroded saline soils | 4 | 0 |
| Saline soils under open forest | 60 | 0 |
| Exclusively sodic soils | 545 | 0 |
| Mining/Industrial waste | 13 | 5 |
| Waterlogged area (Permanent) | 0 | 0 |
| Total of degraded & wasteland | 3128 | 607 |
| Normal agricultural lands, water-bodies, rivers, lakes and habitats etc | 16485 | 1806 |
| Total Geographical Area | 19613 | 2414 |

Source: NBSSLUP, Nagpur (1994) and author's own calculations based on data from the sources

2. MAJOR SOIL RELATED ISSUES OF SOUTH GUJARAT

2.1 Issues Related to Soil Physical Properties

2.1.1 Soil erosion

“Soil erosion has both on-site and off-site negative impacts and badly disturbs both environment and economy. Erosivity, erodibility and land use management practices play important role in describing status of soil erosion. Topography controls soil movement in a watershed and the areas generally covered by large proportion vegetation are at a lower risk of soil erosion” [3].

The western hilly undulated tract of south Gujarat covering 4.77 lakh hectare cultivated land are prone to soil erosion with the tune of 5 to 40 t ha⁻¹ soil loss annually. It consist Kaprada and Dharmapur block of Valsad; Vansda block of Navsari; Dolvan, Songadh and Uchhal block of Tapi; Mandvi and Umarpada of Surat; Netrang and Jhagdia of Bharuch; Dediypada, Sagbara and Tilakwada of Narmada and the entire Dang district. The joint report by Navsari Campus, GAU and NBSSLUP, Udaipur (table 3) categorized soil related issues of South Gujarat according to the physiographic locations. The piedmont slope soils of both the zone of south Gujarat have shallow depth, highly erosive, high permeability [2].

The most of the area under study in the Dangs district falls in moderate to very severe erosion class. The soils having the erosion classes varying widely ranged from e1 (slight/ sheet erosion) to e4 (very severe/ big gully). The erosion classes can be attributed to steep slopes

(> 5%) and shallow to very shallow depth (< 22.5 cm) of soils in the region [4]. These findings are also in agreement with Shinde *et al.* [5] who reported “during quantitative assessment of soil loss in Ambika watershed of the Dangs district that nearly 80% of the watershed area is affected by moderately high to very high soil erosion (>15 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). The annual average soil loss for the entire watershed was estimated as 22.41 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. A huge portion of the watershed was affected by sheet and rill erosion causing low agricultural productivity”. Lakkad *et al.* [6] carried out study to identify erosion susceptible area for the sub-watershed in Narmada district. The findings indicated that nearly 3500 ha *i.e.* 45% of total study area having annual soil loss from 10 to 80 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. On average cumulative soil erosion was lowest for evergreen forest (*i.e.* 5.16 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) followed by mixed forest (*i.e.* 21.87 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹), land under cultivation (*i.e.* 33.28 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹), deciduous forest (*i. e.* 45.75 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹), pasture (*i.e.* 51.42 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) and maximum for wasteland without vegetation / low density inhabited area (*i.e.* 64.64 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). Soil erodibility factor plays central role and principal factor for inter-rill and rill erosion. Soil erodibility is defined as the susceptibility of soil particles to be detached and become available for erosion by wind, water, or ice. In another study by Lakkad *et al.* [7] estimated soil erodibility factor for soil erosion modeling of the study area in Narmada district. The average erodibility assessed for clay loam (53.36% area) and clay soil (46.61%) was 0.236 and 0.177 respectively. Tiwari *et al.* [8] conducted detailed soil survey and described soil characteristics of Valia taluka of Bharuch district. He reported about 50% area affected with moderate to severe soil erosion and imperfect drainage. In general, soils with low clay contents are less cohesive

and naturally unstable; as a result, such soils are more susceptible to wind and water erosion. Compared to soils with more silt particles, soils with higher sand and clay fractions are less prone to runoff. Silt particles are more easily detached as they are not readily aggregated. The risk of runoff is lower in soils with significant amounts of sand because they have proportionally wider pores that allow water to pass through quickly.

High rainfall (1500–2000 mm), an undulating topography with little vegetation, steep slopes (5–20%), and shallow soil depth (22.5 cm) are the primary causes of soil erosion in the eastern belt of south Gujarat.

2.1.2 Inadequate soil moisture retention and compaction

It is apparent that more the gravels/coarse fragments compared to silt and/or clay in the soils, lower the moisture holding capacity of soil. The pedons in the Dangs district contained >35% of gravels/coarse fragments (>2 mm) and pedons mean moisture water holding capacity (MWHC) value varied from 39.45 to 42.28% [9]. They also reported that soils at higher elevation or at upper pediment, showed higher values of bulk density contrary to those at lower most

elevation or at slightly flat land. This might be due to clogging of pores by dispersed clays in sub-soil layers and leaching loss of clay particle attributed to illuviation of upper surface in The Dang district. High bulk density is an indicator of soil compaction and low soil porosity. Descriptive analysis of South Gujarat zone soil by Patel et al., [10] revealed the maximum values of bulk density were 1.76 gm /cm³ (pre-monsoon), 1.07 gm /cm³ (post-monsoon) and 1.48 gm /cm³ (summer) indicating low organic matter content in majority of soils. The similar trend was revealed for porosity, water holding capacity and moisture content. The coastal salt affected soils in Valsad and Surat districts are medium to heavy in texture with permeability is low to very low however coastal soils in Bharuch district are heavier and clay in texture throughout the depth [11]. Heavy soils comprise of few large pores and less total pore volume and, hence have a greater density and compacted.

2.2 Issues Related to Soil Chemical Properties

2.2.1 Salinity and sodicity

The increase in irrigation area has been one of the significant approaches in attaining self-reliance in the food production. In most of the

Table 3. Agro climatic zone wise soil related issues of south Gujarat

| Agro climatic Zone | Physiographic Locations | Pre- dominant sub order association | Issues |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Heavy Rainfall Zone | Piedmont slope and plain | Ochrepts | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Shallow depth, ➤ Highly erosive, ➤ Low to moderate MHC, ➤ High permeable |
| | Mid alluvial plains | Ochrepts Usterts | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Severe cracking, ➤ Low to very low permeability, ➤ Poor internal drainage, ➤ Secondary salinization |
| | Coastal alluvial plains | Aquepts Ochrept | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Highly dispersive, ➤ Poor drainage ➤ Low permeability, mild cracking |
| Medium Rainfall Zone | Piedmont slope and valley plains | Ochrepts | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Highly erosive, ➤ Low to medium MCH ➤ Highly permeable ➤ Secondary salinization |
| | Alluvial plains | Usterts Ustochrepts | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Prone to erosive, ➤ Moderate to poor drainage ➤ Medium to low permeability |
| | Coastal alluvial plains | Aquepts Ochrepts | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Highly dispersive, ➤ Poor drainage ➤ Low permeability, mild cracking |

expansion, the area is enhanced under canal irrigation that causing the soil deterioration through salt accumulation. These soils contain excess amount of either soluble salts or exchangeable sodium or both reducing crop yields. Such soils are classified into saline, sodic and saline-sodic subjected to the physiochemical properties and the nature of the salts. The major multipurpose project Ukai-Kakrapar is built on river Tapi with command area of 3.42 lakh ha area of South Gujarat. The command area of Sardar Sarovar covers 1.22 lakh ha in Bharuch and Narmada districts of South Gujarat. An experiment on pre and post monsoon changes in soil properties of five blocks of Navsari district of South Gujarat showed the salinity and ESP was higher in soils during pre-monsoon with 0.64 to 2.15 dSm⁻¹ and 12.67 to 25.41, respectively. The overall ESP of Navsari district was reported high (Fig. 1).

The slight declined in the sodicity of soils in post-monsoon period as a consequence of washing out of part of Na⁺ ion from the exchange complex due to precipitation [12].

The chemical properties of irrigated and rainfed cotton growing soils of South Gujarat and their rating showed that about 42% of rainfed soils came under 'strongly alkaline' to 'very strongly alkaline' category, Nearly 90% irrigated and 71% rainfed soils belonged to 'medium' salinity class. The ESP of majority of irrigated and rainfed soils were in the range between 5 -15. The cause for higher percentage of rainfed soils with higher pH range was primarily due to higher surface

evaporation under rainfed condition along with higher organic acids under irrigated condition arising from incorporation of larger quantity of organic matter by the farmers [13]. Vasu et al. [14] undertook characterization and classification of coastal region soils of Valsad district of South Gujarat and reported the soil reaction varied from slightly alkaline to strongly alkaline and electrical conductivity (EC) varied from 0.2 to 4.4 dSm⁻¹ in surface horizons indicating saline soils, and it could be because of the tidal deposits of salts and further accumulation triggered by the high evapotranspiration in the summer period under semi-arid conditions. They also revealed The ESP of these soils varied from 1.1 to 47.8 indicating the high concentration of exchangeable Na.

In Bara tract, it was recorded that only 40 per cent of surface soils are free from salinity (<2 dS m⁻¹), 49% soils are saline (2-4 dS m⁻¹) and only 11% soils are having salinity > 4.0 dS m⁻¹. In the sub-surface 10 per cent have salinity < 2 dS m⁻¹, 15 per cent between 2-4 dS m⁻¹ and 75 per cent greater than 4 dS m⁻¹ [15], the cause of such conditions as the sub-soil salts are very hard to leach down more because of very low saturated hydraulic conductivity and presence of high saline groundwater table conditions.

2.2.2 Nutrient Imbalance / Nutrient depletion

A balanced nutrient supply is essential for attaining high crop yields, but excess and/or imbalanced nutrient inputs may pose threat on the environment, human health and ecosystems.




Fig. 1. Changes in pre and post monsoon Na concentration in five blocks of Navsari district




Fig. 2. Per cent area of surface soils of Bara tract of south Gujarat affected by soil salinity
Table 4. Percentage area falls in deficit and near to deficit situation for available S, DTPA extractable Zn and Fe

| District | Available S | | DTPA Extractable Zn | | DTPA Extractable Fe | |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| | Deficit | Near to deficit | Deficit | Near to deficit | Deficit | Near to deficit |
| Bharuch | 11.23 | 88.77 | 42.23 | 33.77 | 9.66 | 6.68 |
| Dangs | 36.63 | 62.67 | 27.93 | 38.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Narmada | 53.76 | 28.16 | 36.40 | 33.14 | 34.12 | 2.66 |
| Navsari | 19.50 | 80.32 | 15.78 | 7.15 | 4.42 | 0.82 |
| Surat | 35.07 | 64.9 | 34.81 | 17.97 | 0.00 | 0.43 |
| Tapi | 5.07 | 88.06 | 56.13 | 23.26 | 0.01 | 2.04 |
| Valsad | 11.28 | 80.58 | 8.36 | 6.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Average | 24.65 | 70.49 | 31.66 | 22.94 | 6.89 | 1.80 |

A GPS-referenced representative surface soil samples were collected randomly from farmer's field covering nine talukas (Bharuch, Ankleshwar, Valia, Vagra, Jambusar, Amod, Hansot, Netrang and Jhagadia) of Bharuch district at 0-22.5 cm depth by Patel *et al.* [16] and revealed out of 135 surface soil samples, 80.74% samples were deficient in available N, 45.92% samples were deficient in available P₂O₅ and 49.62% samples were deficient in available S content. This wide variation in nutrients content is might be due to variation in soil properties *viz.*, pH, texture and agronomic practices. On categorization of soils of South Gujarat in deficit and near to deficit class for available S, DTPA extractable Zn and Fe (Table 4) Ramani *et al.* [17] reported about 25, 32 and 7% samples were deficit and 71, 23 and 2 % were near to deficit for available S, DTPA extractable Zn and Fe, respectively. Due to heavy rainfall, soils rich in iron oxide and aluminum complex are left behind while lime and silica are washed away, resulting in the availability of sufficient Fe in the soils of the hilly eastern strip of south Gujarat.

2.2.3 Toxic Accumulation in soil

The main industrial belts in the South Gujarat are located at Vapi, Valsad, Navsari, Ankleshwar,

Bharuch and Surat which are dominated by chemicals and pharmaceutical industries, glass industries, industrial equipment and electronics manufacturing industries, in addition to some industries of textile, paper, plywood, paper and food products and. The release of by-products or wastes by these industries into the rivers streams and rivulets is the leading cause for the higher concentration of heavy metals especially the toxic metals in the areas. The soils in the vicinity of Surat industrial area were significantly contaminated with metals especially Ba (471.7 mg/kg), Cu (137.5 mg/kg), Cr (305.2 mg/kg) Co (51.3 mg/kg) and V (380.6 mg/kg) at levels far beyond the background concentration in soil which result from much localized additions or accidental leakages of highly concentrated pollutant materials [18]. A significant enrichment of heavy metals like As and Pb in Ankleshwar area reported by Shirke and Pawar [19] which are influenced anthropologically including industrial and urban area. It is observed that heavy metals like Zn, Ni, Cu, and Mo show a positive correlation with the silt size fraction. In the same way, Pb, Cr, As and Mn show positive correlation with clay size fraction. High correlation with chemical properties like EC and CEC suggests that higher ionic conductivity soils have high heavy metal content. They also




Fig. 3. Categorization of soil samples of Valsad, Surat, Dangs and Navsari district for organic carbon content (% of total)

observed the highest concentration of Mo and Cu in the soil samples of the lower stretch as it is openly in contact with the highly toxic and polluted industrial effluent.

2.3 Issues Related to Soil Biological Properties

Soil biological properties are one of the most crucial components to evaluate the functional stability of agro ecosystems in response to environmental degradation. The correlation of soil organic carbon and biological properties allows the biological properties to be successfully projected. The soil organic carbon (SOC) in Bharuch, Surat and Narmada districts of South Gujarat varied from 2.70-9.42 g kg⁻¹. The findings also revealed about 62% soils under low category followed by medium (about 36%) for SOC content in rainfed soils of South Gujarat. The dissimilarity in SOC content in both irrigated and rainfed soils might be attributed to application of varying quantity of various sources of organic matters by farmers, differences in tillage operation/ cultivation by the farmers and variation in rate of organic matter decomposition due to deviations in temperature and precipitations Bambhaneeya *et al.* [13]. A summarized report of the soil health card mission stated 91% soils of Valsad and about 25% soils of Surat district have “low” soil organic carbon. However, soils of Dangs and Navsari have high content of organic carbon (Fig. 3) [20].

Kikani [21] observed comparatively higher microbial load present in Bardoli soils followed by soils of Vyara and Navsari from different locations. It might be attributed to varying physico-chemical properties of soils, existing cropping system, irrigation facilities and management practices followed by farmers in the area.

3. CONCLUSION

The soils of South Gujarat extensively vary in their characteristic. In broad, the soil of eastern hilly belt of both the agro climatic zones of south Gujarat are shallow in depth and vulnerable to medium to high soil erosion however the soils of mid plain are heavy in texture, cracking and poor in drainage which accentuate the secondary salinization issues predominantly in canal command areas. The soils are poor in drainage, highly dispersive and salt affected in coastal belts of both the heavy and medium rainfall zones. The soils of south Gujarat are also facing a nutrient imbalance, nutrient depletion and toxic accumulation scenarios contribute to food insecurity and environmentally unsustainable growth.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Naik VR, Patel RB, Prajapati DR, Patel KK, Patil RG. Water Management Research in South Gujarat uploaded on NAU website; 2012.
2. Anonymous. Natural Resources of Gujarat. A joint report by NAU & NBSS&LUP; 2000.
3. Prasannakumar V, Vijith H, Abinod S, Geetha N. Estimation of soil erosion risk within a small mountainous sub-watershed in Kerala, India, using Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) and geo-information technology. *Geoscience Frontiers*. 2012;3(2):209-215.

4. Das A, Shinde RD. Soil resources characterization, land capability and suitability of soils in hilly undulating terrain- A case study. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research, 2014;4(6):171-184.
5. Shinde V, Singh M, Nandgude S, Bharti B. Modelling the effect of conservation measures on potential soil erosion: A USLE and GIS approach. Current Science. 2020;119(6):984-991.
6. Lakkad AP, Patel DP, Nayak D, Shrivastava PK. Preparation of erosion susceptibility map of Dhaman Khadi Sub-Watershed in Eastern Gujarat Using ArcGIS Interface. Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2016;8(4):2196-2202.
7. Lakkad AP, Patel DP, Sondarva KN, Satashiya KF, Shrivastava PK. Estimation of soil erodibility factor for soils of Dediapada taluka of Narmada district in Gujarat. International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology. 2016;5(6): 3765–3773.
8. Tiwari G, Jangir A, Sharma RP, Dash B, Naitam RK, Malav LC, Paul R, Chandran P and Singh SK. Characterization and Evaluation of Land Resources of Valia Block, Bharuch District, Gujarat. Agropedology. 2018;28(02):97-106.
9. Prasad R, Das A, Kumar JP, Minz A. Soil Moisture, available water and Physical Properties of soils of Some Selected Pedons at Undulated Hilly Terrain of Dangs District, South Gujarat International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7:827-837.
10. Patel MP, Gami B, Patel B. Seasonal impact on physical-chemical properties of Soil in North and South Gujarat IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2015;8(6):26-36.
11. Chinchmalatpure AR. Assessment and management of natural resources of coastal Gujarat towards enhancing productivity and ensuring food security. Journal of the Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research. 2018;36(2):27-39.
12. Das A, Zambre SS. Seasonal variation in salinity/ sodicity development in soils of Navsari district (Gujarat) as influenced by varying quality of irrigation water. International Journal of Research in Applied, Natural and Social Sciences. 2016;4(6):1-12.
13. Bambhaneeya SM, Das A, Zinzala VJ, Tripathi S, Durani A. Chemical properties of cotton growing soils and their rating in different talukas of South Gujarat. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017;5(6):1413-1421.
14. Vasu D, Humadevi K, Neha G, Tiwary P, Chandran P, Singh SK. Characterization and classification of soils of Valsad taluka, Valsad district, Gujarat. Agropedology. 2019;29(2):86-96.
15. Chinchmalatpure AR, Nayak AK, Rao GG. Land use planning of the Bara tract area under Sardar Sarovar Canal Command of Gujarat State. Indian Farming. 2010;60:15-18.
16. Patel KH, Patel DJ, Italia AP, Singh N, Patel DD. Assessment of Macronutrients Status and their Correlation with Some Soil Properties in Soils of Bharuch District of Gujarat. Trends in Biosciences. 2018;11(41):4131-4134.
17. Ramani VP, Kumar D, Pandya CB, Patel RA, Kathiriya KB. A book on Importance of secondary and Micro-nutrients in Gujarat agriculture and its management AAU, Anand; 2019.
18. Krishna AK, Govil PK. Soil contamination due to heavy metals from an industrial area of Surat, Gujarat, Western India. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2007;124: 263–275.
19. Shirke KD, Pawar NJ. Appraisal of Heavy Metals in Soil/Sediments with Relation to their Physico-chemical Properties from Ankleshwar Industrial Area, South Gujarat. Journal Geographical Studies. 2018;2(2): 1-11.
20. Anonymous. A Soil Health Card Mission report by Gol; 2020.
21. Kikani PS. M. Sc. (Agri) Thesis submitted to Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari; 2021.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103381>