

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international* <www.sciencedomain.org>

Physics Behind Modification of the Nuclear Lifetime by the γ**-ray Boomerang Effect**

Il-Tong Cheon[∗]1,[2](#page-0-0)

¹*Department of Physics, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, Korea.* ²*The Korean Academy of Science and Technology, 7-1 Gumi-dong, Bundang, Seongnam-shi, Kyunggi-do 463-808, Korea.*

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/PSIJ/2015/13628 *Editor(s):* (1) Felix A. Buot, Center of Computational Materials Science, George Mason University University Drive, Fairfax, Virginia, USA. (2) Christian Brosseau, Department of Physics, Universit de Bretagne Occidentale, France. *Reviewers:* (1) Anonymous, University of Kerbala, Iraq. (2) Anonymous, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=679id=33aid=6335

Short Communication

> *Received: 26 August 2014 Accepted: 20 September 2014 Published: 17 October 2014*

Abstract

Physics is revealed hidden behind modification of nuclear lifetime by γ -ray boomerang effect. It is deeply involved in the proper quantum mechanical processes described by probability amplitude.

Keywords: Nuclear lifetime, Gamma absorption and emission, Nuclear energy level and width, Sb, Cs energy level width, Mossbauer measurement ¨

PACS numbers: 21.10.Tg-Lifetime, 23.20.Lv-γ transitions and level energies, 25.20.Dc-Photon absorption and scatterings

1 Introduction

During last few years, an idea was presented to change the nuclear lifetime by setting metallic γ -ray reflectors around the radioactive nucleus [1-11].

**Corresponding author: E-mail: itcheon1@gmail.com*

The process proposed is that the nucleus in the excited state drops to the ground state by emitting a γ -ray in a recoilless way and this emitted γ -ray is forced by reflectors to return to the source for reexciting it.

Radioactive nuclei form an ensemble in the source. They are randomly emitting γ -rays and drop to the ground state. These γ -rays hit against the metallic reflectors and, then, return to the source. The returned γ -rays can be reabsorbed by some of the original source nuclei which are already in the ground state.

Such a process is repeated many times and number of nuclei both in the excited state and the ground state become half-and-half at a certain time, $\tilde{\tau}_{1/2}$, which is actually the nuclear lifetime. If those reflectors are not applied, $γ$ -rays do not return to the source. Therefore, the moment that a half of nuclei are in the ground state and another half of them stay still in the excited state is defined as a half-life, $\tau_{1/2}$, which is a usual definition. Experiment is carried out practically to measure the half-width of the decay spectrum.

In our previous investigations, it turned out that $\tilde{\tau}_{1/2}$ was larger than $\tau_{1/2}$ in a few percent [6-11], depending on the temperature, material of the reflectors and separation distance between the source and reflectors.

By such an analysis, we would not be able to see clearly physics hidden behind the process of modification of the nuclear lifetime. Accordingly, one should analyze the case of a single radioactive nucleus as a γ-ray source. Although the experiment with a single nucleus could not be practically possible, it would be important to clarify physics behind.

In this case, the statistical treatment mentioned above cannot be applicable. Therefore, the process should be described by the probability amplitude which plays a key role in the Quantum Mechanics.

In the purely "classical" process, the radioactive nucleus emits a γ -ray after passing a lifetime and drops into the ground state. The emitted γ -ray is reflected by the reflector and returns to the nucleus which is already in the ground state. Suppose that this returned γ -ray is reabsorbed by the nucleus with 100 % and, thus it is excited again. Then, it emits a γ -ray after passing the lifetime.

By such a process, the lifetime cannot be modified at all, because the γ emission-absorption processes do not play any role to built-up the width of the excited state.

However, the situation is different in Quantum Mechanics. The nuclear state described by the probability amplitude is hardly identified as the excited state or the ground state. It shares both states at the same time. And the γ -ray emission and absorption take place in such a vague situation, i.e. probability amplitude. And, a critical time, when the probability for the nucleus to stay in both states becomes fifty-fifty percent, is just the nuclear half-life.

2 Theoretical Equations

The spectrum of the excited state of the nucleus is generally given by the Breit-Wigner formula

$$
|\phi(E)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\hbar^2}{(E - E_e)^2 + (\frac{\Gamma}{2})^2},
$$
\n(2.1)

where E_e is the excited energy and Γ is the width of the state. The formula (2.1) is obtained by an absolute square of the amplitude

$$
\phi(E) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{i\hbar}{(E - E_e) + i\frac{\Gamma}{2}}.
$$
\n(2.2)

The Fourier transform of this amplitude (2.2) can be easily found as

$$
\psi(t) = \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(E_e - i\frac{\Gamma}{2}\right)t\right]
$$

= $\exp(-i\kappa t),$ (2.3)

where

$$
\kappa = \frac{E_e}{\hbar} - i\frac{\lambda}{2} \tag{2.4}
$$

with $\lambda=\frac{\Gamma}{\hbar}$. The amplitude, $\phi(E)$, is conversely the Fourier transform of the amplitude, $\psi(t)$, as well. Notice that $|\psi(t)|^2$ gives a decay curve of the radioactive nucleus, namely

$$
|\psi(t)|^2 = \exp(-\lambda t). \tag{2.5}
$$

Equation (2.3) can also be written in a differential form

$$
d\psi(t) = -i\kappa\psi(t)dt.
$$
\n(2.6)

Let *l* be the distance between the nucleus and the reflector, then, $t_0 = 2l/c$ is the time for a round trip of the γ -ray. For the time interval $0 \le t \le (t_0 - \epsilon)$ (later a tiny quantity goes to 0, $\epsilon \to 0$), the emitted γ -ray cannot return to the nucleus. Thus, the integration of Eq.(2.6) over this time interval yields

$$
\psi(t_0) = \psi(0) \exp(-i\kappa^{(0)} t_0), \tag{2.7}
$$

where $\psi(0)=1$ and $\kappa^{(0)}$ is given as Eq.(2.4), i.e.

$$
-i\kappa^{(0)} = -i\frac{E_e}{\hbar} - \frac{\lambda^{(0)}}{2}.
$$
 (2.8)

For the time interval, $t_0 \le t \le (2t_0 - \epsilon)$, the first γ -ray can return to the nucleus for exciting it. Then, the differential equation is given as

$$
d\psi(t) = -i\kappa^{(1)}\psi(t)dt,\tag{2.9}
$$

where the superscript of $\kappa^{(1)}$ indicates the first returned γ -ray which is partially absorbed by the nucleus with a certain rate q and, thereby, the nucleus is partially excited again. $\kappa^{(1)}$ is, of course, the same form as Eq.(2.8) obtained by replacing the superscript (0) by (1) but $\lambda^{(1)}$ differs from $\lambda^{(0)}$ by

$$
\lambda^{(1)} = \lambda^{(0)}(1 - q). \tag{2.10}
$$

Namely, the decay constant $\lambda^{(1)}$ is smaller than $\lambda^{(0)}$ by $\lambda^{(0)}q$ because the nucleus is partially excited. The integration of Eq.(2.9) over that time interval yields

$$
\psi(2t_0) = \psi(t_0) \exp(-i\kappa^{(1)}t_0)
$$

= $\psi(0) \exp[-i(\kappa^{(0)} + \kappa^{(1)})t_0].$ (2.11)

For the time interval, $mt_0 \le t \le ((m+1)t_0 - \epsilon)$, $mth \gamma$ -ray returns. Then, the differential form of the probability amplitude is written down as

$$
d\psi(t) = -i\kappa^{(m)}\psi(t)dt.
$$
\n(2.12)

Integrating this equation over that time interval, we obtain

$$
\psi((m+1)t_0) = \psi(mt_0) \exp(-i\kappa^{(m)}t_0).
$$
\n(2.13)

By iteration, we find

$$
\psi((m+1)t_0) = \psi(0) \exp\left(-i \sum_{s=0}^{m} \kappa^{(s)} t_0\right),
$$
\n(2.14)

where

$$
-i\sum_{s=0}^{m} \kappa^{(s)} = -i\frac{E_e}{\hbar}(m+1) - \frac{\lambda^{(0)}}{2}\sum_{s=0}^{m} (1-q)^s.
$$
 (2.15)

14

Here we used

$$
\lambda^{(m)} = \lambda^{(0)} (1 - q)^m.
$$
 (2.16)

Then, the summation can easily be calculated as

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{m} (1-q)^s = \frac{1 - (1-q)^{m+1}}{q}.
$$
\n(2.17)

Taking $(m + 1)t_0 = t$ into account, we rewrite Eq.(2.14) as

$$
\psi(t) = \psi(0) \exp\left[-i \left(\frac{E_e}{\hbar} - i \frac{\lambda^{(0)}}{2} \frac{1 - (1 - q)^{m+1}}{(m+1)q} \right) t \right],
$$
\n(2.18)

where $\psi(0) = 1$. When a new notation

$$
\lambda^{(0)} \frac{1 - (1 - q)^{m+1}}{(m+1)q} = \tilde{\lambda},\tag{2.19}
$$

is introduced, Eq.(2.18) appears

$$
\psi(t) = \exp\left(-i\frac{E_e}{\hbar}t\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2}t\right). \tag{2.20}
$$

Noticed that the Fourier transform of Eq.(2.20) is

$$
\phi(E) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{i\hbar}{(E - E_e) + i\frac{\hbar\bar{\lambda}}{2}},
$$
\n(2.21)

where $\hbar\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\Gamma}$. It is the same form as Eq.(2.2) except Γ is replaced by $\tilde{\Gamma}$. The absolute square of Eq.(2.21) gives the Breit-Wigner formula for the excited state, width of which, Γ˜, is narrower than Γ.

The modified nuclear lifetime, $\tilde{\tau}_{1/2}$, is defined by the strict time when the probability $\mid \psi(t) \mid^2$ becomes a half, i.e.

$$
|\psi(\tilde{\tau}_{1/2})|^2 = \frac{1}{2},\tag{2.22}
$$

namely, the left-hand side is expressed as $\exp(-\tilde{\lambda}\tilde{\tau}_{1/2})$ by Eq.(2.20) and, therefore, taking logarithm of both sides, we find

$$
\tilde{\tau}_{1/2} = \frac{\ln 2}{\tilde{\lambda}}.\tag{2.23}
$$

By Eq.(2.19), we obtain

$$
\frac{1 - (1 - q)^{m+1}}{(m+1)q} \tilde{\tau}_{1/2} = \tau_{1/2},
$$
\n(2.24)

where $\frac{\ln 2}{\lambda^{(0)}}=\tau_{1/2}$ is used. It can easily be seen that $\tilde\tau_{1/2}\to\tau_{1/2}$ for $m\to0.$ Remind that $t=(m+1)t_0$ and $ct_0 = 2l$. Thus, when the time, t, becomes $\tilde{\tau}_{1/2}$, i.e. $t \to \tilde{\tau}_{1/2}$, number of round trips of the γ -ray during $\tilde{\tau}_{1/2}$ is

$$
(m+1) = \frac{c}{2l}\tilde{\tau}_{1/2}.
$$
 (2.25)

With this equation, Eq.(2.24) can be rewritten as

$$
(1-q)^{\frac{c}{2l}\tilde{\tau}_{1/2}} = 1 - \left(\frac{c}{2l}\tau_{1/2}\right)q.
$$
 (2.26)

Logarithm of Eq.(2.26) yields

$$
\tilde{\tau}_{1/2} = \left(\frac{2l}{c}\right) \frac{\ln[1 - \left(\frac{c\tau_{1/2}}{2l}\right)q]}{\ln(1-q)}.\tag{2.27}
$$

This is exactly the same result as obtained in ref. [8].

3 Experimental Work

Usually, actual experiments are unable to carry out with a single nucleus. Therefore, they are performed with an ensemble of nuclei. But the results obtained after statistically working out all data are identical to those found with a single nucleus. This is just the substance of the Quantum Mechanics.

Remark that all the processes should take place in a recoilless way. Therefore, the source must be embedded in a compound and materials of high Debye temperature have to be chosen as the reflectors because the atomic oscillation on the surface of reflectors should be suppressed as much as possible to remove the recoil effects. In addition, it is better to carry out the experiment at low temperature.

If the experiment is successful to observe a longer half-life of the nucleus and, equivalently, a narrower spectrum of the decay curve, it happens to confirm the validity of quantum mechanical description with the probability amplitude, namely the statistical property in microscopic world.

4 Results and Discussion

The theoretical predictions for 121 Sb($\frac{7^+}{2}$, 37.133 keV) and 133 Cs($\frac{5^+}{2}$, 81 keV) are given in refs.[6-11]. The sources 121 Sb and 133 Cs were embedded in the compounds $ZnSb$ and $CsTiO₃$, respectively, and those reflectors were Pt and Au. Temperature was set at 4.2K. Our predictions were small, say a few percents. However, even if the effects are rather small, the results are very important because the fundamental physics is involved as mentioned above.

There are two ways for measurement. One of them is to measure the decay curve. In this case, the background, particularly ambiguity of tail part should be drastically reduced. The other one is Mössbauer method. For this case, the source and absorber should be carefully prepared to remove even small fraction of recoil effects. Moreover, it would require experimental skillfulness and elaboration. Nevertheless, a challenge to the nuclear lifetime measurement must be extremely important to confirm characteristic property of physics explained above.

On the other hand, there are many practical applications. Longer lifetime implies narrower width of the spectrum. Thus, it makes possible more precise measurement of the gamma-ray spectrum. Yet, it might give a clue to solve the nuclear waste problem. Although radiation period of radioactive material would become longer, amount of radiation could be reduced. In addition, isotopes for medical purpose can be saved longer and, thereby, economical merit is not small. Therefore, scientists are highly expected to pay their attention to such a beneficial aspect as well.

5 Conclusion

The nuclear half-life is definitely constructed through the processes in the probability amplitude. Its observable quantity, namely the nuclear half-life, is given by a square of the probability amplitude. In the intermediate state, the nucleus is simultaneously in the ground state and excited state. This is the quantum mechanical statement. As long as quantum mechanical concept is valid, modification of the nuclear lifetime should be observed.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Dr. Chang Ho Hyun, Daegu University for his help in preparation of manuscript in Latex version.

Competing Interests

The author declares that no competing interests exist.

References

[1] Cheon II-T. Measurement of the Width of the First Excited State in 133. J. Phys. Soc. Japan. 2001;70:3193.

[2] Cheon Il-T, Jeong MT. Change of Nuclear Lifetime. J. Korean Phys. Soc. 2003;43:S87.

[3] Cheon Il-T. Modification of the Nuclear Lifetime. Prog. Theor. Phys. 2004;Supplement 154:387.

[4] Cheon Il-T, Jeong MT. Measurement of the Nuclear lifetime altered by two Parallel Plates. J. Korean Phys. Soc. 2005;46:397.

[5] Cheon II-T, Jeong MT, Yu BG. Lifetime of the First Excited State of ¹³³. J. Korean Phys. Soc. 2005;47:944.

[6] Cheon Il-T. Modification of the nuclear energy level width. Europhys. Lett. 2005;68:900; ibid. 2005;72(6):1056.

[7] Cheon II-T, Jeong MT. Effects of γ -ray scattered backward by metals on the nuclear energy level width. J. Korean Phys. Soc. 2006;49:66.

[8] Cheon Il-T. The Modified Decay Width of the Radioactive Nucleus. Eur. Phys. J. 2007;A33:213.

[9] Cheon Il-T. A possible method to make the gamma-ray spectrum narrower. J. Korean Phys. Soc. 2008;53:1126.

[10] Cheon Il-T, Ju Hahn Lee. How is it possible to change the nuclear lifetime? J. Korean Phys. Soc. 2011;59:1536.

[11] Cheon II-T. Effects of the γ -boomerang process on the nucear lifetime. Rad. Phys. and Chem. 2012;81:1867.

——— c *2015 Cheon; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=679&id=33&aid=6335