



Composted Poultry Waste Use Intensity among Arable Farmers in Ogun State, Nigeria: Implication for Climate Change

D. A. Babalola^{1*}, S. U. Isitor² and J. O. Kio³

¹Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, Babcock University, Ilishan-Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria.

²Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, Landmark University, Omu Aran, Kwara State, Nigeria.

³Department of Nursing Science, Babcock University, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author DAB designed the study, designed the questionnaire and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors SUI and JOK made contribution to literature searches. Author SUI made added input in result discussion. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJAST/2016/20774

Editor(s):

(1) Rares Halbac-Cotoara-Zamfir, Hydrotechnical Engineering Department, "Politehnica" University of Timisoara, Romania.

Reviewers:

(1) Mohammed Suleiman, Umaru Musa Yar'adua University, Katsina, Nigeria.

(2) Tunira Bhadauria, Kanpur University, Uttar Pradesh, India.

(3) Vladimir Filipovic, Institute for Medicinal Plant Research "Dr Josif Pančić", Belgrade, Serbia.

Complete Peer review History: <http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/11526>

Original Research Article

Received 8th August 2015
Accepted 11th September 2015
Published 24th September 2015

ABSTRACT

Aims: Increasing food production through improving land productivity, while conserving the environment and preventing climate change is pertinent to policy makers. This study assessed the predictors of composted poultry waste use intensity (CUI) among arable farmers and its implication for climate change.

Study Design: Survey design was used. Primary data was collected from surveyed participants.

Place and Duration of Study: Study was conducted in Ogun state, south-west Nigeria between August 2014 and April 2015.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: akindan15@yahoo.com;

Methodology: multistage sampling technique was used to select 180 farmers. They were interviewed using well structured questionnaire. Descriptive statistics and the ordinary least square regression model were used in analyzing the data collected.

Results: Most of the farmers had below secondary education (57%), did not participate in cooperative (51%), had poor access to extension education (62%) and sourced compost too far from farm. Mean age and years of experience were 45 ± 9.99 and 10.5 ± 6.10 years respectively. Average farm size and income were 2.68 ± 1.44 and ₦ 107,315 (approx. \$537) respectively. About 78% of the respondents use both composted and untreated poultry manure while 38 % use only composted poultry manure which protects both the soil and the environment. Most of the farmers perceived vulnerability of their farms to degradation (53%) hence the need for conservation. The regression result showed that factors which increased CUI among farmers were farmers' education ($P < 0.05$), access to credit ($P < 0.01$), farm income ($P < 0.01$), cooperative participation ($P < 0.05$), perceive efficacy of compost ($P < 0.05$), number of quality contacts with extension agents ($P < 0.01$), enterprise combination by keeping poultry birds ($P < 0.01$) and male farmers ($P < 0.01$) while factors which decreased CUI included household size ($P < 0.01$), farm size ($P < 0.01$), access to fertilizer subsidy, especially for inorganic fertilizers ($P < 0.05$) and distance to the source of compost ($P < 0.01$).

Conclusion: Policies to improve organic fertilizer subsidy, extension services and cooperatives for educating farmers on the advantages of intensifying the use of composted poultry manure, especially as regards climate change and public health should be put in place.

Keywords: Compost; arable crop; poultry; climate change.

1. INTRODUCTION

Meeting and bridging the demand and supply gap in a sustainable manner has been the challenge for food crop production in sub-Saharan Africa (including Nigeria) especially with increasing population and food insecurity [1]. The population of sub-Saharan African is expected to increase to about a billion by 2020 [2]. Increasing food production through improving land productivity, while conserving the environment and preventing climate change has become a pressing concern for policy makers because agricultural activities, especially waste management, have been identified as a major cause of greenhouse gas emission which leads to climate change [3]. In Nigeria, many lands are gradually losing their capability to support efficient production due to overwork, indiscriminate disposal of solid waste and degradation. One of the panaceas to land productivity problem is the use of fertilizers especially organic fertilizers. Most Nigerian farmers apply less than recommended quantity of fertilizers per hectare on their crop [1,4]. What farmers do to compensate and boost yield is to open up more lands for cultivation but this has become difficult with population explosion and pressure on land for alternative uses.

There is a nexus between stability of food supply and environmental conditions [5]. Stability of food supply requires best management practices

(BMP) in both crop and livestock management (especially waste management as highlighted in this study). Improper management practices will adversely affect the environment (climate change), which in return will lead to degradation and ill health for livestock and man and consequently dwindled food supply and food insecurity. There has been some progress in the area of soil fertility management in sub-Saharan Africa during the past few decades [1] but there is yet need to focus on the interactions between farming practices and environmental conditions as highlighted in this study.

Liquidity constraint has often been cited as the major factor influencing the intensity of farmers' utilization of inorganic fertilizers for arable cropping [6]. Although efforts are being made by government to ameliorate this problem by way of fertilizer subsidy, much of these efforts have been sabotaged by political influence and bureaucratic bottlenecks [1]. Furthermore, continuous application of the inorganic fertilizers even when available, may lead to reduction in productivity of clay soils which dominates Africa [4,7]. Adeyinka et al. [8] postulated that continuous application of inorganic fertilizers can lead to water pollution or toxicity and the death of aquatics can lead to the emission of greenhouse gasses. There is therefore the growing need to intensify the use of the cheaper and more available organic fertilizers. The most available form of organic manure in Ogun state is the

compost from poultry litters due to the fact that poultry business is widely practiced across the state [9]. Waste production can be as much as 25,000 kilograms from each poultry house of approximately 2000 birds [5]. When properly treated and produced, composted poultry wastes have many advantages to public health and stemming climate change. For instance the production process eliminates waste materials that would have constituted a nuisance to the environment, increasing microbial contamination and emission of greenhouse gases such as nitrogen oxide (N₂O), ammonia (NH₃), carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) [10]. These emissions result in climate change with secondary impact on human health, land degradation, increased frequency of fires, poverty and malnutrition [11]. This has a lot of policy implication especially in achieving the millennium development goals (MDGs). It is against this backdrop that this study assessed the predictors of composted poultry waste use intensity among arable farmers in Ogun state and its implication for climate change. The *a priori* expectation is that with increasing use of poultry waste for compost, there will be a decline in indiscriminate disposal of poultry waste which in turn will cause a decline accumulation of greenhouse gases in the environment.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out in Ogun state, south west Nigeria. Ogun state's contribution to the poultry business is one of the largest in Nigeria [12]. The climate in the area favours the cultivation of arable crops like maize, cassava, fruits and vegetables. The multistage sampling technique was used to select the respondents for this study. Two hundred arable crop farmers were originally selected and questionnaire administered to them but 180 responses were found useful for analysis. The distribution of the questionnaire is as presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Distribution of questionnaire to respondents

Sampled local govt areas	Distributed	Retrieved
Ado- Odo/ Otta	70	65
Ifo	70	60
Ikenne	60	55
Total	200	180

Source: Field Survey, 2014

Data collected were analyzed using descriptive statistics and the ordinary least square regression model. Following Maiangwa et al. [13]; Olayide et al. [14]; Martey et al. [15] and Akpan et al. [16], Compost (composted poultry waste) use intensity (CUI) was defined as

$$CUI = \frac{\text{Quantity of compost used by ith farmer measured in Kg}}{\text{Area of land cultivated by ith farmer measured in hectare}} \quad (1)$$

The implicit compost use intensity function for the regression analysis is given as:

$$CUI = f (AGE, EDU, GEN, CRE, FAM, SCL, HHS, INC, SUB, EFF, EXT, POU, COP, DIS, e) \quad (2)$$

Where:

- AGE = Age of farmers (in years)
- EDU = Years of formal education of farmers
- GEN = Gender of farmer head (male = 1; female = 0)
- CRE = Access to needed credit (access = 1; otherwise = 0)
- FAM = Farm size (hectare)
- SCL = Scale of production (commercial = 1; otherwise = 0)
- HHS = Household size
- INC = Total monthly farm income (in N where N1~0.01USD)
- SUB = Access to fertilizer subsidy (access = 1; otherwise = 0)
- EFF = perceived efficacy of compost (high = 1; low = 0)
- EXT = Contact with extension agent (frequency)
- POU = Keeping of poultry farm (yes = 1; no = 0)
- COP = Cooperative participation (yes = 1; no = 0)
- DIS = Distance of farm to point of collection of compost (Km)
- e = error term

Following Olayemi [17], the relationship between the endogenous variable and each of the exogenous variables were examined using linear, exponential, semi-logarithm and double-logarithm functional forms. The lead equation was chosen based on the value of the coefficient of determination (R²), statistical significance and economic theory. The equations of the functional forms are specified as follow:

$$\text{CUI} = b_0 + b_1\text{AGE} + b_2\text{EDU} + b_3\text{GEN} + b_4\text{CRE} + b_5\text{FAM} + b_6\text{SCL} + b_7\text{HHS} + b_8\text{INC} + b_9\text{SUB} + b_{10}\text{EFF} + b_{11}\text{EXT} + b_{12}\text{POU} + b_{13}\text{COP} + b_{14}\text{DIS} + e \quad (3)$$

Exponential function:

$$\text{Ln CUI} = b_0 + b_1\text{AGE} + b_2\text{EDU} + b_3\text{GEN} + b_4\text{CR} + b_{14}\text{DIS} + e \quad (4)$$

Semi log function:

$$\text{CUI} = \text{ln}b_0 + b_1\text{lnAGE} + b_2\text{lnEDU} + b_3\text{lnGEN} + b_4\text{lnCRE} + b_5\text{lnFAM} + b_6\text{lnSCL} + b_7\text{lnHHS} + b_8\text{lnINC} + b_9\text{lnSUB} + b_{14}\text{lnDIS} + e \quad (5)$$

Double log function:

$$\text{Ln CUI} = \text{ln}b_0 + b_1\text{lnAGE} + b_2\text{lnEDU} + b_3\text{lnGEN} + b_4\text{lnCRE} + b_5\text{lnFAM} + b_6\text{lnSCL} + b_7\text{lnHHS} + b_8\text{lnINC} + b_9\text{lnSUB} + b_{14}\text{lnDIS} + e \quad (7)$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Descriptive Result of Farmers' Personal Information

Results in Table 2 shows that 57% of the farmers had below secondary education. They were mostly male most of the farmers (73%) are male and mean age and years of experience were 45 ± 9.99 and 10.5 ± 6.10 years respectively. Average farm size was 2.68 ± 1.44 which is below standard commercial scale of 3 hectares and above [18]. Size of land and level of education has great implication on the adoption of conservation measures by farmers. Fawole and Fasina [19] reported that farmers with bigger farm sizes are more likely to use commercially produced organic fertilizer which have been found to be environmentally friendly. Majority of the farmers (51%) do not participate in any cooperatives. Cooperatives often function to facilitate input sourcing for member farmers and information dissemination [15]. More than half of the farmers (52%) perceived land degradation as a problem threatening productivity in the area of study. This result is consistent with the work of Babalola [18] who identified vulnerability of substantial lands in Ogun state to degradation. Continuous tillage, indiscriminate use of fertilizers and incidence of climate change have been suspected as part of the cause of degradation [3].

Majority of the farmers (62%) reported that they have poor access (in terms of frequency of visits) to extension service. This, coupled with poor participation in cooperatives may largely be the reason why up to 78% of the farmers still used the untreated form of poultry waste. They did not have adequate information of the implication of this practice on the soil and the environment. This result is consistent with past findings [1, 12]. Most of them (51%) source their supply of compost from poultry farms far away from their farms. Average farm income per season was ₦ 107,315 (approx. \$537). If farming households (average 7 members), with majority having farming as only source of income (59%), were to live solely on the farm income for a minimum cropping season of 4 months, individual member of the household will be living below poverty line of \$1 per day. Although 51% had access to credit facility, up to 49 percent still lacked access.

3.2 Factors Influencing Composted Poultry Manure Use Intensity

The data on the determinants of composted poultry manure use intensity (CUI) was analyzed using double-logarithmic regression equation as lead equation which was chosen based on theoretical and statistical criteria as discussed earlier in the methodology. The adjusted R^2 , which measured the ability of the explanatory variables to explain all the variation in the dependent variable for the equation, is 0.805 which shows that the combined effects of the independent variables accounted for 80.5% of the variations in CUI. The F – ratio provided an overall test of significance of the whole function of the regression line. This test shows that F – ratio is statistically significant at 5 percent level of significance.

The result of the regression analysis as presented in Table 2 shows that increase in farmers' education ($P < 0.05$), access to credit ($P < 0.01$), farm income ($P < 0.01$), cooperative participation ($P < 0.05$), perceived efficacy of compost ($P < 0.05$), number of quality contacts with extension agents ($P < 0.01$), enterprise combination by keeping poultry birds ($P < 0.01$) and male farmers ($P < 0.01$) will increase CUI in the study area and invariable reduce climate change of unused and indiscriminately disposed poultry waste. The descriptive result however showed low levels of education and income, poor cooperative participation and low enterprise combination with poultry business (Table 2).

Table 2. Farmers' personal characteristics

Characteristics	Mean (\pm SD)	Freq n=180	(%)
Gender: Male		131	72.8
Household size	6.68 (\pm 2.51)		
Age (years)	45 (\pm 9.99)		
Educ. level:			
Below secondary		102	56.7
Secondary & above		78	43.3
Farming experience	10.5 (\pm 6.10)		
farm size	2.68 (\pm 1.44)		
Belong to Cooperative		88	48.9
Perceived threat from degradation		94	52.2
Extension access rating:			
Adequate		110	61.1
Poor		70	38.9
Form of poultry waste used:			
Untreated only (n =180)		73	40.6
Composted only (n =180)		68	37.8
Both (n =180)		140	77.8
Main source of compost:			
Own poultry farm		46	25.6
Nearby poultry farm		43	23.9
Far away poultry farm		91	50.6
Access to credit		92	51.1
Major income:			
*GFI/ season	97,815 (\pm 45,700)		
Farming as major occupation		106	58.9

*GFI (Gross Farm Income) in naira, ₦1- \$ 0.005; Source: Computed from Field survey (2014)

Table 3. Regression result for determinants of compost use intensity

Variables	Beta coeff.	t-value
Constant	4.394**	7.458
Gender	0.626*	2.165
Age	-0.059	-0.128
Household size	-0.878**	-4.456
Education	0.158*	2.380
Credit access	3.077**	3.579
Farm size	-0.797**	-3.517
Scale of production	5.062	0.822
Farm income	0.504*	2.024
Access to fertilizer subsidy	-0.273*	-2.697
Cooperative participation	0.44**	2.778
Perceived efficacy of compost	4.230*	2.912
Contact with extension agent	0.065**	0.027
Keeping of poultry farm	5.530**	2.846
Distance to compost source	-1.738**	0.726

**sig at 1 %, * sig at 5 %. Mean dependent variable = 118.4kg/ha; R²= 0.805, F- ratio= 14.528*

Source: Computed from field survey (2014)

Furthermore, result showed that increasing household size ($P < 0.01$), farm size ($P < 0.01$), access to fertilizer subsidy, especially for inorganic fertilizers ($P < 0.05$) and distance to the source of compost ($P < 0.01$) will decrease CUI. This result is consistent with Vide [20]; Abdoulaye and Sanders [6]. The descriptive result showed that farmers have large family size and lack of proximity to compost source (Table 2). The *a priori* expectation is that farmers with large farm holding, who are likely to be commercial farmers will use more of compost but it appears that larger scale farmers would likely prefer to use inorganic fertilizers. This result corroborates the need to improve farmers' perception of use of organic fertilizers and its implication for soil management and climate change.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study has been able to describe farmers' pattern of use of composted poultry manure while identifying its importance in waste management, public health promotion, organic agriculture promotion, sustainable management

of degradation and climate change. The result from this research forms a useful addition to the body of knowledge on climate change economics. The factors influencing composted poultry manure use intensity were identified and discussed. Based on the finding of this study, the following recommendations have been made for policy actions:

1. More efforts should be put into educating the farmers on the benefits of organic fertilizers, especially well treated ones particularly for climate protection.
2. While encouraging farmers to participate more in the cooperative organizations, periodic workshop and training should be organized in collaboration with the cooperative societies to teach farmers, who may not be able to afford commercially sold organic fertilizer, the procedure for composting poultry waste, especially faecal waste on their farms.
3. Fertilizer subsidy policy should include organically produced fertilizer. Finally,
4. Environmental education should be promoted both through extension services and the media.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Babalola DA, Tayo GO, Olarinmoye OA, Omonona BT and Oni OA. Agriculture finance and fertilizer utilization intensity among Nigerian arable farmers: A survey of ogun state. *Babcock Journal of Economics, Banking and Finance*. 2011; 1:43-52. ISSN 2141-8659
2. Akinwumi A. Achieving Africa's green revolution: Lessons for transforming Nigeria's agriculture. Speech delivered at international conference on food security on global food crisis: Nigeria Economic Opportunity; 2008.
3. Osuntade A. Influence of selected chicken management practices on climate change in the rainforest of Southwest Nigeria. Unpublished PhD thesis, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria; 2015.
4. Akinnifesi F, Kwesinga F. Can agroforestry make a difference as an existing strategy for the starter pack scheme in Malawi? *Malawi Journal of Agricultural science* 2002;4(2):35-46
5. Adeoye PA, Hasfalina CM, M. Amin SM, Thamer AM, Akinbile CO. Environmental implication of poultry waste generation and management techniques in Minna, Semi-arid region of Nigeria *Annual Research and Review in Biology*. 2014;4(10):1669-1681 SCIENCEDOMAIN international Available:www.sciencedomain.org
6. Abdoulaye T and Sanders H. Stages and determinants of fertilizer use in semi-arid African agriculture: the Niger experience. *Agricultural Economics*. 2005;32:67-83.
7. International Fertilizer Development Center (IFDC). *African Fertilizer Situation*, IFDC, Muscle Shoals, Alabama; 2005.
8. Adeyinka MA, Bankole PO, Alaye S. *Environmental Statistics: Situation in Federal Republic of Nigeria*. Country report at workshop held in Dakar Senegal 28th February to 3rd march; 2005
9. Babalola D. Akinola. Determinants of Farmers' Adoption of Agricultural Insurance: The Case of Poultry Farmers in Abeokuta Metropolis of Ogun State, Nigeria. *British Journal of Poultry Sciences*. 2014;3(2):36-41. ISSN 1995-901X, IDOSI Publications,
10. Garnett T. *Cooking up a Storm: Food, greenhouse gas emissions and our changing climate*. Food Climate Research Network, Centre for Environmental Strategy; 2008.
11. Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen Z, Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. 2007;996.
12. Babalola DA, Tayo GO. Determinants of access to credit among poultry farmers in Nigeria: Evidence from Ogun State. *Proc. 35th conf. Nig. Soc. for Animal Prod. Univ of Ibadan*. ISSN 1596-5570. 2010;643-646.
13. Maiangwa MG, Ogungbile AO, Olukosi JO, Atala TK. Adoption of chemical fertilizer for land management in the North-West Zone of Nigeria. *Tropical Agricultural Research & Extension*. 2007;33-46.
14. Olayide OE, Arega D, Ikpi A. Determinants of fertilizer use in Northern Nigeria.

- Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences. 2009; 6(2):91-98.
15. Martey E, Nimo AW, Etwire PM, Mathias FS, Buah SJ, Bidzakin J, Benjamin DK, Ahiabor, Kusi F. Fertilizer adoption and use Intensity among smallholder farmers in Northern Ghana: A case study of the AGRA Soil health project. Sustainable Agriculture Research. 2014;3(1):24-30.
 16. Akpan S, Edet B, Udoh J and Nkanta VS. Factors influencing fertilizer use intensity among small holder crop farmers in Abak Agricultural Zone in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare. 2012;2(1):54-64.
Available:www.iiste.org
 17. Olayemi JK. Elements of applied econometrics. Ibadan University Press, Nigeria; 1998.
 18. Babalola DA. Sustainable Land management practices and profit efficiency of Maize and Cassava in Nigeria: Evidence from Ogun State. African Journal of Education, Science and Technology. 2014; 2(1):1-10. ISSN: 2309-9240.
Available:<http://ajest.uoeld.ac.ke>
 19. Fawole OP, Fasina AO. Factors predisposing farmers to organic fertilizer use in Oyo state, Nigeria Journal of Rural Economics and Development. 2005; 14(2):81-89.
 20. Vide A. Poultry waste management techniques in urban agriculture and its implications: A case of metropolitan Lagos, Nigeria. Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2012;4(4):258-263.

© 2016 Babalola et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/11526>