



GPS Based Soil Profile Study in Respect to Morphological and Nutrient Analysis in Pedon of Ganjam District

Rahul Adhikary^{1*} and Rohita Garnayak¹

¹*Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Centurion University of Technology and Management, Odisha 761211, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author RA designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, wrote the first draft of the manuscript and managed the analyses of the study. Author RG managed the literature searches. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ASRJ/2020/v4i330092

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Alessandro Buccolieri, Università del Salento, Italy.

Reviewers:

(1) Nagham Jasim Al-Ameri, University of Baghdad, Iraq.

(2) Peter Omoniyi, University of Ilorin, Nigeria, University of Johannesburg, South Africa.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/63161>

Original Research Article

Received 18 September 2020
Accepted 23 November 2020
Published 09 December 2020

ABSTRACT

GPS based two pedons- one each from up and medium land during dry season, studied in the field & analysis done in the laboratory. The difference in properties between two pedons was mostly due to lateritic and basaltic parent material in pedons. The morphology of mineral soils explains the evolution that occurs in the soil body during the process of soil formation, which is predominantly influenced by the parent material and climate. Determination of epipedon and endopedon of diagnostic horizons through description and interpretation of soil profile properties is the basis of land classification, as the knowledge of soil properties, capabilities, and utilization. The results of the study found that, based on its morphological and chemical characteristics, the soil in pedon 1 had brighter colors, higher micronutrient availability, and a thicker horizon compared to the soil in pedon 2. Meanwhile, the pedon 1 is light texture, crumb structure and pedon 2 is heavy texture and sub angular blocky structure. Both the form of density in two pedons was increasing downwards & the value were of a typical mineral soils, but the pore space showed a reverse trend on confirming the compactness and aging of soils towards subsurface horizons. Same results tendency found in micronutrients analysis where pedon 1 rich in micronutrients as compare to pedon 2.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: rahul.adhikary@cutm.ac.in;

Keywords: Pedon; texture; pore space; micronutrients.

1. INTRODUCTION

The natural fertility of mineral soils is reliant on the mineral composition of the parent material or soil nutrient supply. The higher the soil nutrient holds, the higher the degree of soil richness. Nutrients in the soil are exceptionally reliant on the sythesis, amount, and sort of minerals. Negligible soils from sedimentary rocks had low mineral stores or nutrient supply. Negligible soils in Ganjam areas are portrayed by different soil surfaces from sand to clay because of acidic sedimentary rocks shaped from two kinds of soil parent material, to be specific coarse-finished sandstone and fine-finished claystone or siltstone [1]. The attributes of acidic sedimentary rocks fluctuate because of their arrangement cycle, which relies upon the idea of the segment material, the cycle or model of the statement, and the natural states of the deposition area.

Agriculture plays a pivotal role in socio-economic development of the people. Production and productivity of any field crop depends on soil

health [2]. In India effective nutrient management played a major role in accomplishing food grain production. Sulphur, Calcium and Magnesium requirement are highest for oilseed crops followed by pulses and cereals. Sulphur influences the productivity of these crops next to primery nutrients. Oilseeds and pulses are also sensitive to micronutrients [3]. Applications of micronutrients increase enzymatic activity, nodulation, chlorophyll formation, seed setting, and seed quality [4].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research work on “Survey and GIS based fertility mapping of soils of Ganjam district” was conducting in Ganjam district of Odisha state (Fig. 1). The detail location of soil samples collected and cultivated crop type and the methods followed for analysis were put in the following paragraphs [5]. Total cropping pattern and area wise land distribution and percentage contribution of crops in Odisha state also presented in Table 1.




Fig. 1. Community development blocks of Ganjam district,Odisha

Table 1. Cropping area of Ganjam district and Odisha

Crop	Ganajam 000'ha	Odisha in 000'ha	% of contribution
Rice	275.9	4180.0	6.60
Total cereals	282.8	4371.0	6.46
Total pulses	40.0	474.0	8.43
Total oilseeds	24.2	140.0	17.28
Total vegetables	56.5	690.07	8.18
Total fibers	50	134.31	3.72
Total spices	2.9	154.94	1.87
Sugar cane	2.5	38.73	6.45

Reference: <https://ganjam.nic.in/agriculture>

2.1 Method of Analysis

2.1.1 Processing of sample

Soil samples were taken at each horizon from the two soil pedon to analyze the soil chemical and physical properties. Collected samples were brought to the laboratory spread out on paper. Leaves, Coarse concretions, stones, pieces of roots, and other un-decomposed organic residues were removed. Large lumps of moist soil were broken by hand. Samples were air dried at 20-25°C and 20% to 60% relative humidity inside the laboratory under shade. Samples were mixed during drying to expose bottom layer to top. Soil samples were dried and sieved with a 2 mm diameter sieve. The material larger than 2 mm was discarded. They were stored with proper labeling in polythene bottles for analysis of physical, chemical & other properties.

2.1.2 Morphological properties

These properties are very common characteristics of soil which can be observed by naked eye. They give lot of information about soil formation and other properties. These properties were estimated by eye observation and use of Munsell colour chart. In the laboratory the samples were divided into two parts, One part kept as such with clods undisturbed another part put under processing like previously described surface soil samples. The morphological characteristics of the two soil profiles are presented below.

2.1.3 Soil colour

The colour of the soil samples in clod form air dried condition were determined by matching the colour with Munsell soil colour chart. The colour of soil was expressed both in symbolic notation

and common name. Pedon 1 tended to be dark reddish-brown, (10R 4/6). In moist conditions, the colour of the soil from the lower horizon was dark-brown (10R 3/2) in pedon 2 which gradually turned into darker in depth of soil.

2.1.4 Physical properties

2.1.4.1 Soil texture

Soil physical and chemical properties analysis method were presented in Table 2. The texture of the soil was dominated by sand in top soil although there was an increase in clay on the next horizon; it did not indicate the presence of argillic horizon, due to did not meet the requirement of an argillic horizon. [1] stated that rough soil texture (sand dominance) leads to the low ability of the soil to retain water and nutrients, and the soil becomes prone to drought and sensitive to erosion. Percentage of sand, silt and clay were determined with the help of the formula [6] and the textural classes were determined by the help of textural triangle (International system).

2.1.5 Chemical properties

The soil samples were analysed for the chemical properties i.e organic carbon, and available secondary and micronutrients (Ca, Mg, S, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn and B) are mentioned in Table 2.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to "Survey and GIS based fertility mapping of soils of Ganjam district" both profile and surface soil sample were collected using GPS during 2017-18. The samples were studied in the field and noted in the format prescribed by NBSS & LUP Nagpur, India. Both pedon and surface soil samples were processed and analysed in laboratory, analysed, results were recorded and presented in the following sections

and subsections for understanding, interpretation and use (Mishra 2016).

Location - Centre for Pulse Research, Berhampur located at village Ankushpur of Kukudakhandi block where one pedon was exposed at upland situation presented chart 1.

3.1 Physical and Morphological Properties of Pedon Soil

Morphological and physical properties of soil indicate the appearance and physical behaviour of soil in relation to plant growth. Some of the properties like depth, horizon were recorded in the Table 3. Here it shows that BD and PD both are varies in pedon 1 and pedon 2. Similarly Soil colour changes with the depth of soil from light grey to greyish brown. The texture quality also changes according to pedon. In pedon 1 texture started from loamy sand where as in pedon 2 texture in sandy laom. The profile thickness of pedon 1 was more than 112 cm. In this depth the parent material was found. The thickness of Pedon 2 was more than 90 cm, and the water table was found at this depth. There was no organic horizon in both profiles.

3.2 Distribution of Secondary Nutrient in Pedon Soils

Chemical analysis of the secondary nutrient content of pedon soils were analysed and presented in Table 4. The exchangeable Ca^{2+} content in pedon 1 varied from 4.40 to 5.60 $cmolp+kg^{-1}$ but that of in pedon2 varied from 14.4 to 22.52 $cmolp+kg^{-1}$ whereas the exchangeable Mg^{2+} ($cmolp+kg^{-1}$) content in pedon 1 varied from 2.18 to 2.98 $cmolp+kg^{-1}$ but that of in pedon 2 varied from 4.32 to 9.40 $cmolp+kg^{-1}$. The sulphur content varied from 3.10 to 8.20 mg/kg in pedon1 soils but that of pedon 2 it was ranged from 6.99

to 11.29 mg/ kg. Similar result was found in [11,12,13,14].

3.3 Micronutrient Content in Pedon Soils

The available micronutrients were varied in these two sites from low to very low with depth. This was the common situation, where the micronutrients content in the upper layer was higher than in the lower layer [1]. Through increased use of soil testing and plant analyses, micronutrient deficiencies have been verified in many soils. Some reasons limiting the incidental additions of micronutrients include: High-yield crop demands remove micronutrients from the soil, increased use of high-analysis NPK fertilizers containing lower quantities of micronutrient contaminants and advances in fertilizer technology reduce the residual addition of micronutrients. These factors contribute to the significant increase in usage of and need for micronutrients in order to achieve full balanced nutrition.

In order to know the profile distribution of micronutrient soil horizon sample were analysed micro-nutrients content of pedon soils were analysed through DTPA extract [15] and presented in Table 5. The exchangeable Fe^{2+} content in pedon1 varied from 54.56 to 85.96 mg/kg but that of in pedon2 varied from 30.12 to 25.04 mg/kg whereas the exchangeable Mn^{2+} (mg/kg) content in pedon1 varied from 5.60 to 21.72 mg/kg but that of in pedon 2 varied from 6.40 to 12.04 $mg\ kg^{-1}$. The copper and zinc content varied from 0.33 to 0.86 mg/kg and 0.36 to 0.52 in pedon1 soils but that of pedon 2 it was ranged from 0.24 to 0.66 mg/kg and 0.29 to 0.77 mg/kg. The results also show that available boron content varies from 0.10 to 0.41 mg/kg in pedon 1 and 0.32 to 0.67 mg/kg in pedon 2. Similar findings were found in [16,17,18].

Table 2. Methods adopted for soil analysis

Physical/ Chemical parameters	Methods	Reference
Texture	Mechanical analysis method (Bouyoucos Hydrometer method)	[7]
Available- S	Turbidimetric method	[8]
Micronutrients- Fe, Mn, Cu & Zn	DTPA Extractant	[9]
Organic carbon (g/kg)	Walkley and Black,(1934)	[10]
Available Boron	Hot water soluble method	[8]
Exchangeable Ca & Mg $cmol(p+)/kg$	Neutral normal Ammonium Acetate	[9]

Chart 1. CPR Berhampur upland

Location	CPR, OUAT farm, Ganjam, latitude 19.5743°N and longitude 84. 3542° E "
Land form	Upland
Slope	5%, S-N
Surface condition:	Cultivated upland (pulse crops,)
Parent material:	Laterite
Ground water table	12-15 m
Erosion:	e ₁
Surface drainage	Well drained
Land use	Pulse-Pulse (as inter crop)
Natural vegetation	Mango, Neem, Banyan

Table 3. Physical and morphological properties of pedon soil

Pedon 1										
Genetic Horizons	Depth (cm)	Structure	BD PD		pore space (%)	Colour	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Texture
			Mg/m ³							
Ap	0-22	Crumb	1.48	2.30	36	Light grey	80.4	8.8	10.80	Loamy sand
Bt ₁	22-54	Angular blocky	1.56	2.36	34.50	Brownish yellow	78.4	7.6	14	Sandy loam
Bt ₂	54-82+	Angular blocky	1.63	2.48	34.20	Strong brown	69.4	4.6	26	Sandy clay loam
Pedon 2										
Ap	0-16	Subangular blocky	1.35	2.30	41.30	Greyish brown	67.4	21.6	11	Sandy loam
Bw ₁	18-48	Angular blocky	1.40	2.32	39.65	Light grey	56.6	19.6	23.80	loam
Bw ₂	48-102	Angular blocky	1.58	2.46	35.77	Greyish brown	46.4	18.6	35	Clay loam

Table 4. Distribution of Secondary nutrients in pedon soils

Pedon No	Genetic Horizons	Depth (cm)	Ca cmol(p+)/kg	Mg cmol(p+)/kg	S (mg/kg)
Pedon -1	Ap	0-12	4.40	2.18	8.20
	Bt ₁	12-42	4.50	2.80	6.20
	Bt ₂	42-82	5.60	2.98	3.10
Pedon -2	Ap	0-16	14.4	4.32	11.29
	Bw ₁	18-48	17.88	7.70	9.97
	Bw ₂	48-102	22.52	9.40	6.99

Table 5. Micronutrient content of pedon soils

Pedon	Genetic Horizons	Depth (cm)	Fe (mg/kg)	Mn (mg/kg)	Cu (mg/kg)	Zn (mg/kg)	B (mg/kg)
1	Ap	0-12	85.96	21.72	0.86	0.52	0.41
	Bt ₁	12-42	73.76	8.24	0.54	0.44	0.36
	Bt ₂	42-82	54.56	5.60	0.33	0.36	0.10
2	Ap	0-16	30.12	12.04	0.66	0.77	0.67
	Bt ₁	18-48	25.04	11.16	0.54	0.31	0.54
	Bt ₂	48-102	27.6	6.40	0.24	0.29	0.32

4. CONCLUSION

The results of the present study these lead to suggest that two pedons representing up & medium land situation. Both site & soil characteristics were studied. With the combination, examination and analysis of these pedons, we have identified important characteristics along with providing a consistent and improved means of estimating physical and chemical properties. The upland soils are shallow and medium land soils are deeper in depth. The colour of upland soils was reddish brown, whereas medium land soils are black in colour with thicker horizons. The former soils were light in texture whereas the latter soils were heavier in texture containing high clay which gives a better understanding of layer pedons. The BD of pedon1 varied from 1.48 to 1.63 Mg/m^3 that of pedon2 varied from 1.35 to 1.58 Mg/m^3 . The PD ranged between 2.30 to 2.48 Mg/m^3 & 2.30 to 2.46 Mg/m^3 in pedon1 & pedon2 respectively. Both the form of density in two pedons was increasing downwards & the value were of a typical mineral soils, but the pore space showed a reverse trend on confirming the compactness and aging of soils towards subsurface horizons. The pore space varied from 34.2 to 36 & 3 5.77 to 41.30 percentages in pedon1 & pedon2 respectively. Pedon1 was light texture soil whereas pedon2 was a heavy texture soil. The sand percentage in pedon1 varied from 69.4% in the surface to 80.4% in subsurface horizon. In pedon2 sand percentage varied from 46.4% in the surface to 67.5% in subsurface horizon showing decreasing trend in below layers. Reverse quantity of clay was found from the surface to below the layers. It clearly indicates the difference in parent material & soil forming processes. The profile distribution of micro nutrient showed that DTPA- Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, and B [19,15] content varied from 54.56 to 85.96 mg/kg, 5.60 to 21.72mg/kg, 0.33 to 0.86mg/kg, 0.36 to 0.52 mg/kg, 0.10 to 0.41 mg/kg respectively & those nutrient in pedon2 were varied from 25.04 to 30.12mg/kg, 6.4 to 12.04mg/kg, 0.24 to 0.66mg/kg, 0.29 to 0.77mg/kg, 0.32 to 0.67 mg/kg respectively. The DTPA –Fe, Mn, Cu were found to be sufficient in both up & medium land soil, except Zn & B [19]. In upland soil these content was decreasing towards below layers, whereas medium land soil were shown to decreasing trend with some deviation in second horizon. Similar micronutrients found in [20]. Therefore, amelioration technology and fertilization technology can be carried out as an effort to

improve chemical properties and low soil fertility of the soil.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Suharta N. Characteristics and problems of marginal soil from acid sedimentary rocks in Kalimantan. *Journal of Agricultural Research and Development*. 2010;29(4):139- 146
2. Bassiran N, Abolhassan M, Galavi M. Distribution of available micronutrients as related to the soil characteristics of Hissar; Haryana (India). *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2011;6(18):4239-4242.
3. Arvind KS, Pankaj K Tiwariand, Chandra Prakash. Micronutrients deficiencies vis-a-vis food and nutritional security of India . *countrydian J Fert*. 2014;10(12):94-112.
4. Bell RW, Dell B. Micronutrients for sustainable food, feed, fibre and bioenergy production. First edition, IFA, Paris, France; 2008.
5. Mishra A, Das D, Saren S, Dey P. GPS and GIS based soil fertility maps of Nayagarh district, Odisha *annals of plant and soil research*. 2016;18(1):23-28.
6. Tendon HLS. Secondary and micronutrients in agriculture guide book cum directory. Fertilizer development and consultation, New Delhi; 1986.
7. Piper C. Soil and plant analysis. Adelaide, Australia: The University of Adelaide; 1950.
8. Jackson. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 1973;48-302.
9. Page AL, RH Miller, DR Keeney. Methods of soil analysis, American Soc. of Agronomy; 1982.
10. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*. 1934;37:29-38.
11. Meena HB, Sharma RP, Rawat US. Status of macro and micronutrients in some soils of tonk district of Rajasthan. *Journal of the Indian society of soil science*. 2008;54:508-512.
12. Sood A, Patel LB, Sharma PKNPK. Status of sub mountainous tract of Punjab,

- Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2003;19(2):33- 40.
13. Sood A, Sharma PK, Tur NS, Nayyar VK. Micronutrient status and their spatial variability in soils of muktsar District of Punjab – A GIS Approach Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2009;57(3):300-306.
 14. Verma VK, Patel LB, Toor GS, Sharma PK. Spatial distribution of macronutrients in soils of arid tract of Punjab, India. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2005; 7(2):370-372.
 15. Dhane SS, Shukla LM. Distribution of DTPA-extract-able Zn, Cu, Mn and Fe in some soil series of Maharashtra and their relationship with some soil properties. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 1995;43(4):597-600.
 16. Arora S, Chahal DS. Boron desorption kinetics in Inceptisols representing Benchmark Soils of Punjab. J Indian Soc Soil Sci. 2009;57(1):145-153.
 17. Sharm JC, Chaudhary SK. Vertical distribution of micronutrient cations in relation to soil characteristics in lower Shiwaliks of Solan District in North – West Himalayas. Journal of the Indian society of soil science. 2007;55(1):40-44.
 18. Singh D, Denge RS, Dixit RG. Copper status of medium black soils (Indore district). JNKVV Research Journal. 1971;5:14-17.
 19. Mani PK, Haldar M. Effect of dolomite on boron transformation in acid soil in relation to nutrition of greengram. Journal of the Indian society of soil science. 1996;44(3):458-461.
 20. Adhikary R, Pal A. Influences of kitchen waste product to restore soil fertility and impact on pulse crop. Indian Journal of Natural Sciences. 2020;10(60):19781-19785.

© 2020 Adhikary and Garnayak; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/63161>*