



Growth of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as Influenced by Genotypes and Sulphur Levels

Monika ^a, A. K. Dhaka ^b, Bhagat Singh ^c, Kamal ^{a*},
Aarzo ^a and Kanika ^a

^a Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004, Haryana, India.

^b RDS Seed Farm, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004, Haryana, India.

^c Wheat Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004, Haryana, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81242>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121114>

Original Research Article

Received: 02/06/2024

Accepted: 05/08/2024

Published: 08/08/2024

ABSTRACT

Groundnut is an important oilseed crop and belongs to the family Leguminosae. However, the productivity of groundnut in India is less as compared to average productivity of the world. The type of variety and nutrient, especially sulphur is crucial for the physiological growth and yield of crops like groundnut. Because groundnut is a legume-oilseed crop, it has a high phosphorus, calcium and sulphur demand. Therefore, this field experiment was conducted during the *Kharif* season of 2023 at crop physiology field lab, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with four genotypes (G₁-MH 4, G₂-HNG 10, G₃-HNG

*Corresponding author: E-mail: kamalkhroad@gmail.com;

Cite as: Monika, A. K. Dhaka, Bhagat Singh, Kamal, Aarzo, and Kanika. 2024. "Growth of Groundnut (*Arachis Hypogaea* L.) As Influenced by Genotypes and Sulphur Levels". *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology* 27 (8):1190-96. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81242>.

69, G₄- GNH 804) in main plots and four sulphur levels (S₁-Control, S₂-20 kg S/ha, S₃-40 kg S/ha, S₄-60 kg S/ha) in sub-plots with three replications. Among the groundnut genotypes, GNH 804 found best in respect to the studied growth parameters followed by HNG 69. Among the sulphur levels, application of 60 kg S/ha recorded significantly higher variation for most of the studied parameters closely followed by sulphur levels of 40 kg/ha.

Keywords: Genotypes; groundnut; growth; nodules, sulphur.

1. INTRODUCTION

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is one of the best-known oilseed crops and belongs to the family Leguminosae and sub-family Papilionaceae. It is believed to have originated in South America [1,2]. The major groundnut producing states in India are Gujarat, Rajasthan and Tamil Nadu. Groundnut accounts for 31.7% of India total oilseed production and about 28.3 % of the cultivated area of total oilseeds [3,4]. There is less productivity of groundnut in India as compared to average productivity of world due to uncertainty in monsoon rainfall as well as different biotic-stresses such as diseases, insect pests and weeds. Imbalance and insufficient usage of nutrients are also responsible for less production of groundnut as is a legume oilseed crop it has high phosphorus, calcium, and sulphur demand [5].

Variety and sulphur are crucial for the physiological growth and yield of crops like groundnut. Variety is a key factor that affects the growth, productivity, and quality of groundnut. Selecting the appropriate variety is crucial for groundnut production. The adoption of high-yielding varieties has surged in recent years, bringing the country close to self-sufficiency in groundnut. Certain groundnut varieties have demonstrated that a poor source-to-sink relationship leads to the formation of more unfilled pods and a lower seed yield [6].

Sulphur plays a crucial role in several metabolic enzyme processes in plants, it affects productivity both quantitatively and qualitatively [7]. Sulphur is essential in the process of synthesis of amino acids that contain sulphur, such as methionine and cysteine and it plays an important role in the synthesis of proteins, chlorophyll and oil [8]. Based on the above reasons, a study was conducted to investigate how genotypes and varying sulphur levels influence growth parameters of groundnut.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during the *Kharif* season of 2023 at crop physiology field

lab, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar.

Geographically, Hisar is situated at 29°10' N latitude and 75°46' E longitude at an elevation of 215 m above mean sea level. Weekly maximum and minimum temperatures remained under a suitable range for different crop growth stages. Average temperature on sowing date for crop season was 35.2°C, while average temperature at harvesting was 24.9°C. On the other hand, mean weekly maximum and minimum temperatures ranged between 30.5-39.1°C and 15.6-28.3°C, respectively during crop season. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with four genotypes (G₁-MH 4, G₂-HNG 10, G₃-HNG 69, G₄- GNH 804) in main plots and four sulphur levels (S₁-Control, S₂-20 kg S/ha, S₃-40 kg S/ha, S₄-60 kg S/ha) in sub-plots with three replications. The soil of the field was sandy in texture, slightly alkaline in pH (8.1), EC (0.15 ds/m), low in organic carbon (0.12%), low in available N (130.8 kg/ha), medium in available P (17.9 kg/ha), medium in available K (138.8 kg/ha) and low in available S (21.4 kg/ha). Standard cultural practices were followed for all treatments which were recommended in groundnut crop. Plant height and root length were recorded at 30, 60, 90 days after sowing (DAS) and at maturity. Three plants in each plot were selected randomly as true representative of the whole plot and were labeled. The height of the main shoot was measured from ground level to top of the shoot of the plant with the help of meter rod (cm) to determine plant height. Three plants from each replication were taken out with roots after thorough washing of the sand by gentle water jet. The root length of three plants was measured (cm) with metre rod and their average was determined for each treatment and expressed in cm. After that nodules of all the three plants were counted. Average of three plants was worked out and expressed as nodules per plant. After counting the nodules, they were removed from the roots and after sun drying, nodules were oven dried at 80 °C for 72 hours and their dry weight was recorded. The average dry weight of the nodules per plant was worked out and expressed in mg per plant. The Root Shoot ratio

was calculated at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at maturity. Root shoot ratio on length basis is calculated by dividing root length to shoot length. Root shoot ratio on weight basis is calculated by dividing dry weight of below ground plant parts (root, nodule) to dry weight of above ground plant parts (stem, leaves, pods). All the data recorded were analyzed with the help of analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique [9] for split plot design. At a 5% level of significance, the effect of treatments was examined using the least significant test.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

(I) Effect of Genotypes:

The data pertaining to plant height of groundnut crop at different stages of crop growth are summarized in Table 1. A perusal of data in Table 1 depicted that irrespective of genotypes and sulphur levels plant height was continuously increased up to maturity but maximum increase was recorded between 30-60 DAS stage followed by marginal increase between 60 DAS to maturity. Plant height was significantly affected by genotypes at all the stages of observations. Among the genotypes significantly higher plant height at 30 DAS (14.06 cm), 60 DAS (37.06 cm), 90 DAS (51.90 cm) and at maturity (53.70 cm) was recorded with GNH 804. A delve to data given in Table 2 presented that root length increased rhythmically from sowing to 90 DAS and decreased thereafter up to maturity. Among the genotypes the maximum root length was obtained with the GNH 804 which was significantly higher over MH 4 and was at par with HNG 69 and HNG 10 at 30 and 60 DAS. At maturity no significant increase in root length was observed. Root length of the GNH 804 was 15.09, 10.98, 9.70 and 4.81 per cent higher over MH 4 at 30, 60, 90 DAS and maturity, respectively. A disquisition to data given in Table 3 exhibited that irrespective of genotypes, number of root nodules per plant were continuously increased up to 90 DAS and then reduced at maturity but maximum increase was recorded between 30-60 DAS stage followed by marginal increase between 60 DAS to 90 DAS and then reduced at maturity. Number of root nodules per plant were significantly affected by genotypes at all the stages of observations. Non-significant variations regarding number of root nodules per plant were observed between GNH 804 and HNG 69. Among the genotypes significantly higher number of root nodules per plant at 30 DAS (13.75), 60 DAS (60.83), 90

DAS (80.25) and maturity (58.08) were recorded with GNH 804, which was 28.98, 32.72, 17.58 and 14.44 percent higher over MH 4, respectively. A delve to data exhibited in Table 4 revealed that irrespective of genotypes and sulphur levels dry weight of root nodules per plant was continuously increased up to 90 DAS and then reduced at maturity but maximum increase was recorded between 30-60 DAS stage followed by marginal increase between 60 DAS to 90 DAS and then reduced at maturity. Weight of root nodules per plant was significantly affected by genotypes at all the stages of observations. Among genotypes significant increase in dry weight of root nodules per plant was recorded at all the stages of observations. Non-significant variation regarding dry weight of root nodules per plant were observed between GNH 804 and HNG 69 at 90 DAS and at maturity and at 30 DAS non-significant variation was recorded among HNG 10, HNG 69 and GNH 804. Among genotypes significantly higher weight of root nodules per plant at 30 DAS (38.33 mg), 60 DAS (202.75 mg), 90 DAS (209.50 mg) and maturity (178.35 mg) was recorded in GNH 804 which was 13.67, 9.26, 9.54 and 9.71 percent higher over MH 4, respectively. A critical examination of data on root: shoot (length basis) of groundnut (Table 5) as influenced by different genotypes and sulphur levels indicated that the root: shoot (length basis) was maximum at 30 DAS which decreased with the advancement of crop growth up to maturity of crop. No marked differences in root: shoot (length basis) was observed at 30, 60 and 90 DAS between different genotypes. Root: shoot (length basis) was recorded significantly higher in MH 4 as compared to other genotypes, where it gave at par results with the genotype HNG 69. The lower root: shoot (length basis) at all stages was recorded in the groundnut GNH 804 genotype crop. The higher root: shoot (length basis) at maturity in order of 7.6, 8.5, 8.8 percent was recorded, in MH 4 compared to HNG 10, HNG 69, GNH 804 genotypes, respectively. A perusal of data in Table 6 depicted that no marked differences in root: shoot (weight basis) were observed at 30 and 60 DAS between different genotypes. Root: shoot (weight basis) of groundnut was maximum at 30 DAS which decreased with the advancement of crop growth up to the maturity. The preponderant effect of genotypic variation on various growth parameters (plant height, root length and number of root nodules) of groundnut due to increased utilization of carbohydrates for protein synthesis and physiological capacity to translocate them to

Table 1. Effect of genotypes and sulphur levels on plant height (cm) of groundnut

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Maturity
Genotypes				
MH 4	9.40	29.90	46.94	47.07
HNG 10	12.77	34.21	50.09	52.05
HNG 69	13.66	36.31	51.19	53.18
GNH 804	14.06	37.06	51.90	53.70
SEm ±	0.08	0.23	0.25	0.28
CD at 5%	0.29	0.83	0.88	0.98
Sulphur levels (kg S /ha)				
Control	10.62	33.03	48.02	49.22
20	12.24	34.06	49.76	51.34
40	13.41	34.99	50.99	52.53
60	13.62	35.41	51.35	52.91
SEm ±	0.59	0.28	1.11	0.39
CD at 5%	0.19	0.83	0.38	1.15

Table 2. Effect of genotypes and sulphur levels on root length (cm) of groundnut

Treatments	Root length (cm)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Maturity
Genotypes				
MH 4	50.75	73.74	86.58	70.75
HNG 10	55.42	78.39	91.00	72.83
HNG 69	57.25	80.84	94.00	73.75
GNH 804	58.41	81.84	94.98	74.16
SEm ±	1.09	0.90	0.76	0.72
CD at 5%	3.84	3.17	2.69	NS
Sulphur levels (kg S /ha)				
Control	53.16	74.71	88.75	71.66
20	55.25	78.38	91.68	72.83
40	56.41	80.46	92.75	73.42
60	57.00	81.25	93.33	73.58
SEm ±	0.58	0.727	0.86	0.707
CD at 5%	1.70	2.13	2.53	NS

Table 3. Effect of genotypes and sulphur levels on number of root nodules per plant of groundnut

Treatments	Number of root nodules per plant			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Maturity
Genotypes				
MH 4	10.66	45.83	68.25	50.75
HNG 10	12.41	53.41	73.50	54.33
HNG 69	13.58	58.08	78.00	57.41
GNH 804	13.75	60.83	80.25	58.08
SEm ±	0.20	1.22	0.90	0.74
CD at 5%	0.71	4.33	3.20	2.62
Sulphur levels (kg S /ha)				
Control	11.75	50.25	70.41	51.83
20	12.83	53.66	74.25	54.58
40	12.91	56.50	77.08	56.83
60	12.91	57.75	78.25	57.33
SEm ±	0.31	0.85	0.89	0.74
CD at 5%	0.93	2.51	2.62	2.19

Table 4. Effect of genotypes and sulphur levels on dry weight of root nodules (mg/plant) of groundnut

Treatments	Dry weight of root nodules (mg/plant)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Maturity
Genotypes				
MH 4	33.72	185.56	191.24	162.56
HNG 10	36.60	193.25	200.10	173.24
HNG 69	37.50	198.29	206.87	175.86
GNH 804	38.33	202.75	209.50	178.35
SEm ±	0.50	1.37	1.71	3.83
CD at 5%	1.93	4.82	6.06	2.50
Sulphur levels (kg S /ha)				
Control	35.68	188.51	196.85	167.17
20	36.40	193.34	201.50	172.43
40	36.75	198.00	204.12	174.67
60	37.33	200.00	205.25	175.68
SEm ±	0.41	1.35	1.46	1.30
CD at 5%	NS	3.98	4.28	3.83

Table 5. Effect of genotypes and sulphur levels on root: shoot (length basis) of groundnut

Treatments	Root: Shoot (length basis)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Maturity
Genotypes				
MH 4	5.596	2.468	1.830	1.506
HNG 10	4.355	2.293	1.807	1.399
HNG 69	4.213	2.227	1.837	1.388
GNH 804	4.169	2.210	1.803	1.383
SEm ±	0.089	0.029	0.016	0.02
CD at 5%	0.312	0.102	NS	0.069
Sulphur levels (kg S /ha)				
Control	5.167	2.273	1.85	1.463
20	4.696	2.310	1.842	1.421
40	4.241	2.311	1.820	1.400
60	4.230	2.304	1.819	1.392
SEm ±	0.144	0.028	0.024	0.019
CD at 5%	0.422	NS	NS	NS

Table 6. Effect of genotypes and sulphur levels on root: shoot (weight basis) of groundnut

Treatments	Root: Shoot (weight basis)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Maturity
Genotypes				
MH 4	0.416	0.160	0.100	0.020
HNG 10	0.352	0.161	0.091	0.030
HNG 69	0.335	0.161	0.091	0.034
GNH 804	0.357	0.158	0.093	0.034
SEm ±	0.037	0.002	0.001	0.000
CD at 5%	NS	NS	0.002	0.002
Sulphur levels (kg S /ha)				
Control	0.401	0.178	0.097	0.026
20	0.368	0.159	0.093	0.030
40	0.338	0.149	0.092	0.031
60	0.354	0.154	0.093	0.031
SEm ±	0.039	0.002	0.001	0.001
CD at 5%	NS	0.006	0.002	0.002

organ of vegetative growth, resulting in increased plant growth and growth characteristics. Similar results have been reported by [10,11].

(II) Effect of sulphur levels:

An inquisition to data showed in Table 1 struted that sulphur levels have also affected plant height significantly at all the stages of observations. Plant height was significantly increased with increasing levels of sulphur from 0 to 60 kg/ha at all the stages of observations but non-significant variation was observed between 40 and 60 kg/ha sulphur levels. Sulphur level of 60 kg/ha recorded significantly higher plant height at 30 DAS (13.62 cm), 60 DAS (35.41 cm), 90 DAS (51.35 cm) and maturity (52.91 cm) which were 28.24, 7.20, 6.93, 7.49 percent higher over control, respectively. The data pertaining to Table 2 showed that with the increasing levels of sulphur root length increased at all stages and the maximum root length i.e. 57.00 cm, 81.25 cm, 93.33 cm and 73.58 cm at 30, 60, 90 DAS and maturity, respectively were observed in the plot receiving treatment of 60 kg S/ha closely followed by 40 kg S/ha. A probe to data presented in Table 3 unveiled that sulphur levels also affected number of root nodules per plant significantly at all the stages of observations. Number of root nodules per plant were significantly increased with increasing levels of sulphur from 0 to 60 kg/ha at all the stages of observations but non-significant variation was observed between 40 and 60 kg/ha sulphur levels and at 30 DAS non-significant variation was recorded between 20-40 kg/ha also. Sulphur level of 60 kg/ha recorded significantly higher number of root nodules per plant at 30 DAS (12.91), 60 DAS (57.75), 90 DAS (78.25) and maturity (57.33) which were 9.87, 14.92, 11.13, 10.6 percent higher over control, respectively. An inquisition to data showed in Table 4 struted that sulphur levels also affected dry weight of root nodules per plant significantly at all the stages of observations. Dry weight of root nodules per plant were significantly increased with increasing levels of sulphur from 0 to 60 kg/ha at all the stages of observations but non-significant variation was observed between 20-40 kg/ha and 40-60 kg/ha sulphur levels at 90 DAS and at maturity. Non-significant variation was recorded among all the sulphur levels at 30 DAS. Sulphur level of 60 kg/ha recorded significantly higher number of root nodules per plant at 60 DAS (200.00 mg), 90 DAS (205.25 mg) and maturity (175.68 mg), which were 6.09, 4.26 and 5.09 Percent higher over control,

respectively. A delve to data exhibited in Table 5 showed that significant differences for root: shoot (length basis) among sulphur levels were recorded at 30 DAS, while non significant difference was recorded at 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at maturity. The higher root: shoot (length basis) was attained by control at 30 DAS (5.167), 60 DAS (1.85) 90 DAS (1.85) and at maturity (1.463). A delve to data given in Table 6 presented that no marked differences in root: shoot (weight basis) were observed at 30 DAS between sulphur levels. The higher root: shoot (weight basis) at 30 DAS (0.401), 60 DAS (0.178), 90 DAS (0.097) was recorded in control, while at maturity (0.031) recorded at 60 kg S/ha, compared to other sulphur levels. The increased growth may be related to ability of sulphur promote more easily formed roots, which in turn encouraged greater uptake of sulphur and other essential nutrients from the soil and work on metabolic movement within the plant, which may be the reason for the increase in plant height and root length. A decrease in shoot growth and an increase in the allocation of carbon from shoots to roots under control are the main causes of the rise in the root/shoot ratio. The maximum number of nodules and dry weight of root nodules increase with successive increase in sulphur levels might be attributed to sulphur, which is a secondary essential plant nutrient required for growth and development. Sulphur plays a crucial role in many physiological and biochemical processes that are essential for plant development, its application to deficient soil can promote overall growth. Sulphur is linked to the enhancement of amino acids and vitamins that contain sulphur and has a direct impact on the growth and nodulation of roots. Stronger apical development and an extension of the photosynthetic surface appear to be the results of increased metabolic activity in plants, which could explain the significant impact of sulphur fertilizer on the number of nodules. The observed association closely matches the results of [3,8].

4. CONCLUSIONS

Among the groundnut genotypes, GNH 804 found best in respect to the studied growth parameters followed by HNG 69. Among the sulphur levels, application of 60 kg S/ha recorded significantly higher variation for most of the studied parameters closely followed by sulphur levels of 40 kg/ha. So, based on the observed findings, it could be concluded that genotype GNH 804 fertilized with 40 kg S/ha, was most

suitable for obtaining the better growth of groundnut.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Kamal Kamboj E, Sharma A, Ravi Dhaka BK, Preeti. Effect of phosphorus application on groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.): A review. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023; 35(18):1536-1544. DOI:10.9734/ijpss/2023/v35i183423.
2. Hussainy SAH, Brindavathy R, Vaidyanathan R. Influence of irrigation regimes on the performance of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) under intercropping situation. Legume Res. 2023;46(4): 496-501. DOI:10.18805/LR-4389.
3. Kamal, Dhaka, AK, Singh B, Kamboj, E, Preeti, Sharma A. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur levels on biomass partitioning in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Research on Crops. 2024a;25(1):57-64. DOI:10.31830/2348-7542.2024.ROC-1031
4. Ali MA, Pal AK, Baidya, A, Gunri SK. Variation in dry matter production, partitioning, yield and its correlation in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) genotypes. Legume Research- An International Journal. 2021;44(6):706-11.
5. Kamal, Dhaka, AK, Prakash R, Sharma A, Dhaka BK. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur levels on nutrient content and uptake of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Biological Forum–An International Journal. 2023a;15(2):1023-1026. DOI:10.13140/RG.2.2.19270.24641
6. Chandrasekaran R, Somasundaram E, Amanullah K, Thirukumaran K, Sathyamoorthi K. Influence of varieties and plant spacing on the growth and yield of confectionery groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences. 2007;3(5):525-528.
7. Sheoran P, Sardana V, Singh S, Sheoran OP, Raj D. Optimizing sulphur application in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) under irrigated semi-arid tropical conditions. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013; 58(3):384-90. DOI:10.59797/ija.v58i3.4204
8. Kamal Dhaka AK, Kamboj E, Sharma A, Ravi Preeti. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur levels on yield attributes, yield and quality of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Agricultural Science Digest; 2024. DOI: 10.18805/ag.D-5947
9. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research, IRR: A Wiley Pub., New York. 1984;199-201.
10. Kalaiyarasan C, Gandhi G, Vaiyapuri V, Sriramachandrasekharan MV, Jawahar S, Suseendran K, Ramesh S, Elankavi S, Kanagaraj R. Growth and yield of sunflower genotypes to sulphur fertilization grown under Veeranam Ayacut regions. Plant Archives. 2019;19(2):2527-2530.
11. Nurezannat Sarkar, Md. AR, Uddin Md. R, Sarker UK, Kaysar Md. S, Saha PK. Effect of variety and sulphur on yield and yield components of groundnut. Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University. 2019; 17(1):1-8.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121114>