



Antifeedant Activity of Crude Extracts and Fractions Isolated from *Cymodocea serrulate* (R.Br.) Leaf against Tobacco Caterpillar *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) Lepidoptera: Noctuidae

M. Pavunraj ^{a++*}, S. Rajeshkumar ^b and S. Ignacimuthu ^c

^a Department of Zoology, Vivekananda College, Affiliated to Madurai Kamaraj University (MKU), Tiruvedakam West, Madurai District – 625234, India.

^b Nanobiomedicine Lab, Centre for Global Health Research, Saveetha Medical College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai – 602 105, India.

^c Xavier Research Foundation, St. Xavier's College, Palayamkottai – 627 002, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i124100>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3535>

Original Research Article

Received: 12/03/2024

Accepted: 14/05/2024

Published: 17/05/2024

ABSTRACT

Different organic solvent extracts of *Cymodocea serrulate* leaves exhibited antifeedant activity against *Spodoptera litura* larvae. Maximum antifeedant activity (88.95%) was observed in ethyl acetate extract at a 5 percent concentration. Five fractions were isolated from ethyl acetate extract

⁺⁺Post Graduate & Research;

*Corresponding author: Email: mpavunraj@gmail.com;

Cite as: Pavunraj, M., Rajeshkumar, S., & Ignacimuthu, S. (2024). Antifeedant Activity of Crude Extracts and Fractions Isolated from *Cymodocea serrulate* (R.Br.) Leaf against Tobacco Caterpillar *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) Lepidoptera: Noctuidae. UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY, 45(12), 25–30. <https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i124100>

by column chromatography and tested individually at four different concentrations, viz., 125, 250, 500, and 1000 ppm, for antifeedant activity. Among the five fractions, fraction I showed promising antifeedant activity (91.12%) at a 1000 ppm concentration. Deformities in larvae, pupae, and adults were also recorded. The preliminary phytochemical analysis showed the presence of alkaloids, terpenoids, flavonoids, tannins, phenolic compounds, glycosides, and steroids.

Keywords: Antifeedant activity; *Cymodocea serrulata*; *Spodoptera litura*; plant extracts; phytochemical screening.

1. INTRODUCTION

“The application of chemical pesticides plays a significant role in increasing agricultural production by controlling insect pests. However, the chronic effects of chemical pesticides on living organisms and the environment prompt us to restrict the use of many pesticides” [1,2,3,4,5]. “The need for an alternative method of crop protection that is environmentally safe is urgent due to the threats posed by chemical pesticides. The secondary metabolites of several plants have been found to be effective alternatives to synthetic pesticides” [6]. “The mode of action of these secondary metabolites has been studied in detail by many investigators” [7].

“Developing new natural insecticides such as antifeedants, ovipositional deterrents, ovicidal, and insecticidal from some unexplored plant species would definitely be an effective alternative to synthetic chemicals” [8]. “Phyto pesticides can reduce the use of chemical insecticides by avoiding the unnecessary application of synthetic chemicals. Though more than 3000 plants have been reported to have insecticidal properties and to contain biologically active principles with multiracial effects against insect species, only a few plants have been used in pest management programs” [8]. It would be very beneficial to develop novel natural insecticides from some undiscovered plant species, such as insecticidal, ovicidal, antifeedants, and ovipositional deterrents, as an effective substitute for synthetic pesticides. The action of plant-derived compounds on pest insects is exerted in many ways, such as an antifeedant [1]; a larvicidal [9]; an ovicidal [10]; oviposition deterrent activity [10]; and a repellent [11].

The marine flora offers an opportunity for the discovery of a number of bioactive compounds [12]. The present study aims to find out the antifeedant effect of the sea grass *C. serrulata* on *Spodoptera litura*. So far, there is no detailed report on biological activity, especially the

antifeedant activity of *C. serrulata* against insect pests.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sea grass, *C. serrulata*, was collected from Adirampattinam, Pudukkottai District, Tamil Nadu, India, air-dried, and powdered by a Wiley grinder. The powder (35g) was soaked in 500 ml of hexane for 24 hours with constant shaking. The sample was then filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The solvent in the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure by a vacuum rotary evaporator. The residues were subsequently soaked with ethyl acetate and methanol separately for 24 hours for each solvent, and the extract was prepared as mentioned above. The most effective crude extract (ethyl acetate extract) was subjected to column chromatography over silica gel (100–200 mesh, Merck) using a gradient of hexane–ethyl acetate combinations. The fractions were analyzed by TLC and UV.

2.1 Insect Culture

S. litura larvae were collected from agroecosystems in and around Chennai. They were maintained on castor leaves in the laboratory at 28±1°C, 11±1 hr photoperiod, and 65-70% R.H. Adults were released into oviposition chambers for egg laying and provided with a 10% honey solution. Castor leaves were kept inside the cages to facilitate egg-laying. Eggs were collected and kept separately, and newly hatched larvae were maintained on castor leaves. Fourth-instar larvae were used for the experiments.

2.2 Antifeedant Activity Test

“The antifeedant activity of crude extracts and fractions was studied using the leaf disc no-choice method” [13]. “The stock concentration of crude extracts (5%) and fractions (1000 ppm) was prepared by dissolving in acetone and mixing with dechlorinated water. Polysorbate 20 (Tween 20) at 0.05% was used as an emulsifier”

[14,15]. The necessary concentrations were made from the stock and examined against *S. litura*. A cork borer was used to puncture 3-cm-diameter fresh castor leaf (*Ricinus communis*) discs, which were then individually dipped in 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, and 5.0% concentrations of crude extracts and 125, 250, 500, and 1000 ppm concentrations of fractions. The discs were then allowed to air dry for five minutes. Following air drying, treated leaf discs were placed individually in 1.5 cm by 9 cm petridishes, and one single *S. litura* fourth instar larvae was placed on top of each leaf disc two hours before it was starved. Acetone-treated leaf discs were used as a negative control. NeemAzal, a company product (reference for comparison), was tested at 125, 250, 500, and 1000 ppm as a negative control. Ten replications were maintained for each concentration and control. Progressive consumption of leaf area by the larvae in a 24-hour period was recorded for control and treatment using a leaf area meter (Delta-T Devices, Serial No. 15736 F 96 UK). The leaf area consumed in the plant extract treatment was corrected from the control. The percentage of antifeedant index was calculated using the formula of Ben Jannet et al. [16]:

Antifeedant index

$$= \frac{\text{Consumption in control (C)} - \text{Consumption in treatment (T)}}{C + T} \times 100$$

2.3 Phytochemical Screening

Phytochemical analysis of *S. acmella* leaf extracts was done using the Harborne [17] methods.

2.4 Data Analysis

The data were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to find out the significance among treatments, and the effective treatments were separated by the least significant difference (LSD) ($P < 0.05$).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Preliminary Phytochemical Analysis of *C. serrulata* leaf Extracts

Preliminary phytochemical screening of *C. serrulata* leaves revealed the presence of various bioactive compounds. Ethyl acetate extract showed maximum antifeedant activity against *S. litura*, due to the presence of alkaloids, terpenoids, flavonoids, tannins, phenolic

compounds, and steroids (Table 1). The present findings coincide with the findings of Das et al. [18], who reported that different phytochemicals in *C. serrulata* showed antimicrobial activity against five potential pathogenic bacteria (*Bacillus subtilis*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*, *Vibrio alginolyticus*, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, *Vibrio harveyi*).

3.2 Antifeedant Activity of *C. serrulata* leaf Extracts against *S. litura*

The antifeedant activity of hexane, ethyl acetate, and methanol crude extracts and the fractions of ethyl acetate crude extract from *C. serrulata* were studied at different concentrations, and the results are presented in Tables 2 and 3. A higher antifeedant index normally indicates a decreased rate of feeding. Among the different crude extracts, maximum antifeedant activity was observed at 5% of ethyl acetate extract (88.95%), followed by hexane (86.87%) and methanol (83.77%) extracts.

The effect among the different solvent extracts at 5 percent concentration was not statistically significant by LSD at the 5% level. Even at 2.5 percent concentration, ethyl acetate (85.81%) and methanol (80.38%) extracts showed more than 80 percent antifeedant activity. NeemAzal showed higher activity (95.59%) than the fractions tested. Among the fractions, the percent antifeedant activity was at its maximum in fraction I (91.12%), followed by fraction III (89.78%) at a 1000 ppm concentration. However, statistical analysis revealed that the effect of NeemAzal and Fraction-I, II, and III was the same at the 5 percent level. At 250 ppm, more than 80 percent antifeedant activity was recorded in fraction-I (84.70%) and fraction-II (80.73%). Deformities in the larval, pupal, and adult stages were also observed.

4. DISCUSSION

Because more people are aware of the need for a safe environment and the damaging effects of chemicals on the environment, the use of plant products and their derivatives in insect pest management is growing daily. The present study indicates that all three solvent extracts of *C. serrulata* exert feeding deterrent activity on *S. litura*.

“The rate of feeding varied significantly depending on the concentration of the plant extract. This suggests that the active principles

Table 1. Preliminary phytochemical analysis of different solvent leaf extracts of *C. serrulata*

| S. No. | Test | Test applied | Extracts | | |
|--------|--------------------|---|----------|---------------|----------|
| | | | Hexane | Ethyl acetate | Methanol |
| 1 | Alkaloids | Mayer's test | - | + | - |
| 2 | Terpenoids | Salkowski test | + | + | - |
| 3 | Flavonoids | Alkaline reagent test | - | + | - |
| 4 | Tannins | Iron iii trichloride (FeCl ₃) | - | + | + |
| 5 | Phenolic compounds | Gelatin Test | + | + | + |
| 6 | Glycosides | Keller Killiani test | + | - | + |
| 7 | Steroids | Salkowski test | + | + | + |

(Note): (-) Absents; (+) Present

Table 2. Antifeedant activity of different crude extracts of *C. serrulata* against 4th instar larvae of *S. litura*

| Extracts | Concentrations (%) | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| | 0.625 | 1.25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| Hexane | 60.34±5.34 ^a | 67.07±4.09 ^b | 80.38±2.17 ^b | 86.87±3.70 ^b |
| Ethyl acetate | 66.72±2.14 ^b | 72.40±3.41 ^b | 85.81±3.44 ^{bc} | 88.95±4.50 ^b |
| Methanol | 67.91±4.69 ^b | 67.71±4.10 ^b | 75.59±2.67 ^b | 83.77±3.44 ^b |
| Negative (acetone) control | 55.26±6.71 ^a | | | |

Values are represented by the mean ± (n = 10),
In each column, figures marked by the same alphabets do not significantly differ (p = 0.005)

Table 3. Antifeedant activity of different ethyl acetate fractions of *C. serrulata* against 4th instar larvae of *S. litura*

| Fractions | Concentrations (ppm) | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 |
| I | 69.37±3.26 ^b | 84.70±3.83 ^c | 87.44±3.14 ^b | 91.12±2.85 ^b |
| II | 77.03±2.62 ^c | 80.73±3.53 ^c | 88.52±5.89 ^b | 87.94±3.74 ^b |
| III | 57.14±4.61 ^a | 70.45±5.31 ^b | 82.60±3.77 ^b | 89.78±3.0 ^b |
| IV | 67.59±2.13 ^{ab} | 71.93±3.73 ^b | 79.81±1.93 ^a | 83.01±2.14 ^a |
| V | 66.08±1.38 ^b | 67.88±4.21 ^a | 74.45±2.05 ^a | 77.66±4.47 ^a |
| Reference for comparison (NeemAzal) | 69.30±4.28 ^b | 71.56±1.70 ^b | 88.02±3.81 ^b | 95.59±4.98 ^b |
| Negative (acetone) control | 55.26±6.71 ^a | | | |

Values are represented by the mean ± (n = 10),
In each column, figures marked by the same alphabets do not significantly differ (p = 0.005)

present in the plant inhibit larval feeding behavior, make the food unpalatable, or that the substances directly act on the chemosensilla of the larva, resulting in feeding deterrence. Several authors have reported that plant extracts possess a similar type of antifeedant activity against *S. litura* [1,3,4,6,8,9].

Plant products are toxic to field pests and kill nearly 80% of pests in laboratory conditions [4,18,19, 20,21,22]. Antifeedant chemicals play a major role in the defensive mechanisms of non-host plants as food for insects. This is the first

report of the sea grass *C. serrulata* having antifeedant activity against *S. litura*.

5. CONCLUSION

The present findings on various solvent extracts prepared from the leaves of *C. serrulata* showed potential antifeedant activity. The ethyl acetate extract of *C. serrulata* exhibited maximum antifeedant activity against *S. litura*. Among the fractions, the percent antifeedant activity was at its maximum in fraction-I due to the presence of active phytochemicals. In further studies, the most effective fraction-I will be purified and a

natural herbal formulation prepared for the control of lepidopteran pests.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Pavunraj M, Ignacimuthu S, Janarthanan S, Duraipandiyan V, Vimalraj S, Muthu C, Raja N. Antifeedant Activity of a Novel 2-(4, 7-Dihydroxy - heptyl) quinone from the Leaves of the Milkweed, *Pergularia daemia* on the Cotton Bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* and Tobacco Armyworm, *Spodoptera litura*. *Phytoparasitica*. 2011;39(2):145-150.
- Pavunraj M, Baskar K, Ignacimuthu S. Efficacy of *Melochia corchorifolia* L. (Sterculiaceae) on feeding behaviour of four Lepidopteran pests. *Int. J. Agric. Res.* 2012;7(2):58-68.
- Pavunraj M, Baskar K, Janarthanan S, Arumugam M. Bio-efficacy of crude leaf extracts of *Acalypha fruticosa* Forssk. against some agriculturally important insect pests. *Asian Pac J Trop Dis.* 2014;4(Suppl 2):S890-S894.
- Pavunraj M, Baskar K, Paulkumar K, Janarthanan S, Rajendran S. Antifeedant activity of crude extracts and fractions isolated from *Catharanthus roseus* leaf against spotted bollworm, *Earias vittella*. *Phytoparasitica*. 2016; 44:419–422.
- Benelli G, Canale A, Toniolo C, Higuchi A, Murugan K, Pavela R, Nicoletti M. Neem (*Azadirachta indica*): Towards the ideal insecticide? *Nat. Prod. Res.* 2016; 31:369–386. DOI: 10.1080/14786419.2016.1214834.
- Pavunraj M, Baskar K, Arokiyaraj S, Rajapandiya K, Abdulaziz A. Alqarawie, Elsayed Fathi Abd_ Allahe. Silver nanoparticles containing stearic acid isolated from *Catharanthus roseus*: Ovicidal and oviposition-deterrent activities on *Earias vittella* and ecotoxicological studies. *Pestic Biochem Phys.* 2020; 168:104640.
- Afroz M, Rahman M, Amin R. Insect Plant Interaction with Reference to Secondary Metabolites: A Review. *Agric. Rev.* 2021;42:427–433.
- Pavunraj M, Gaganpreet KB, Murali P. Antifeedant Efficacy of Some Medicinal Plant Extracts Against *Leucinodes orbonalis*. *Worldwide J. Multidiscip. Res.* 2018;4(1):361-36.
- Baskar K, Maheswaran R, Paunvraj M, Maria Packiam S, Ignacimuthu S, Duraipandiyan V, Benelli. Toxicity and antifeedant activity of *Caesalpinia bonduc*(L.) Roxb.(Caesalpiniaceae) extracts and fractions against the cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* Hub.(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology.* 2018; 101: 69-74.
- Jeyasankar A, Elumalai K, Raja N, Ignacimuthu S. Effect plant chemicals on oviposition deterrent and ovicidal activities against female moth, *Spodoptera litura*(Fab.)Fab.) (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae). *Int. J. Agric. Sci.* 2013;2(6):206-213.
- Henagamage AP, Ranaweera MN, Peries CM, Premetilake MMSN. Repellent, antifeedant and toxic effects of plants-extracts against *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae (fall armyworm). *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology.* 2023;48: 102636.
- Akash K, Abey J, Baiju GN. Promising bioactive compounds from the marine environment and their potential effects on various diseases. *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology.* 2022; 20:14, Available:https://doi.org/10.1186/s43141-021-00290-4.
- Baskar K, Kingsley S, Ezhil Vendan S, Gabriel Paulraj M, Duraipandiyan V, Ignacimuthu S. Antifeedant, larvicidal and pupicidal activities of *Atalantia monophylla* (L.) Correa against *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Chemosphere.* 2009; 75:355-359.
- Saxena SC, Yadav RS. A new plant extract to suppress the population of yellow fever and dengue vector *Aedes aegyptii* L. (Diptera: Culicidae). *Curr. Sci.* 1983; 52:713-715.
- Thangam TS, Kathiresan K. Toxic effect of mangrove plant extracts on mosquito larvae *Anopheles stephensi* L. *Curr. Sci.* 1988; 57:914-915.
- Ben Jannet H, Skhiri H, Mighri Z, Simmonds M, Blaney W. Responses of *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae to Tunisian plant extracts and to neo-clerodane diterpenoids isolated from *Ajuga pseudoiva* leaves. *Fitoterapia.* 2000; 71:105– 112.

17. Harborne JB. Phytochemical methods: A guide to modern techniques of plant analysis. 3rd ed. London: Chapman & Hall; 1998.
18. Pavunraj M, Baskar K, Duraipandiyan V, Naif Abdullah Al-Dhabi, Rajendran V, Giovanni Benelli. Toxicity of Ag nanoparticles synthesized using stearic acid from *Catharanthus roseus* leaf extract against *Eariasvittella* and mosquito vectors (*Culex quinquefasciatus* and *Aedes aegypti*). J. Clust. Sci; 2017. DOI 10.1007/s10876-017-1235-8
19. Das D, Arulkumar A, Paramasivam S, Lopez-Santamarina A, del Carmen Mondragon A, Miranda Lopez JM. Phytochemical constituents, antimicrobial properties and bioactivity of marine red seaweed (*Kappaphycusalvarezii*) and seagrass (*Cymodoceaserrulata*). Foods. 2023;12:2811. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12142811>.
20. Akhtar Y, Isman MB, Niehaus LA, Lee CH, Lee HS. Antifeedant and toxic effects of naturally occurring and synthetic quinones to the cabbage looper, *Trichoplusia ni*. Crop Protection. 2012; 31 (8):14.
21. Pavunraj M, Baskar K et al. Karyomorphological effects of two new oil formulations on *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 2021;28:1514–1518.
22. Datta R, Kaur A, Saraf I, Singh I.P, Chadha P, Kaur S. Assessment of genotoxic and biochemical effects of purified compounds of *Alpinia galanga* on a polyphagous lepidopteran pest *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius). Phytoparasitica. 2020; 48:501–511. DOI: 10.1007/s12600-020-00813-8

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3535>