

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 21, Page 869-878, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.101984 ISSN: 2320-7035

Character Association and Path Coefficient Analysis Among Inbred Lines of Pearl Millet (Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. BR.)

I. Praveen Kumar^{a++*}, M. Shanthi Priya^{a#}, L. Madhavilatha^{b†} and P. Latha ct

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, S. V. Agricultural College, Tirupati - 517 502, A.P., India. ^b Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Agricultural Research Station, Perumallapalle - 517 505, A.P., India.

^c Department of Crop Physiology, Institute of Frontier Technology, Tirupati - 517 502, A.P., India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i214056

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101984

Original Research Article

Received: 12/05/2023 Accepted: 18/07/2023 Published: 04/11/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment with 70 pearl millet inbred lines was carried out for analysis of character association and path coefficient for 21 metric traits. A perusal of character association indicated highly significant positive association of panicle weight, green fodder yield plant⁻¹, dry fodder yield plant¹, number of productive tillers plant¹, spike girth, plant height, leaf blade length, number of

⁺⁺ P.G. Scholar;

[#] Professor;

[†] Senior Scientist;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: praveeninukollu138@gmail.com;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 21, pp. 869-878, 2023

nodes plant⁻¹, spike length, harvest index, threshing percentage, leaf blade width and specific leaf area at 45 DAS with grain yield plant⁻¹ as well as among themselves at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. Path coefficient analysis indicated that kernel yield plant⁻¹ exhibited high positive direct effect on panicle weight followed by threshing percentage and green fodder yield plant⁻¹. Critical analysis of results obtained from character association and path analysis indicated that grain yield plant⁻¹ had strong positive association with panicle weight which also had high magnitude of positive direct effect. This reveals the importance of this component trait in selection of superior genotypes for higher yield in genotypes of pearl millet.

Keywords: Character association; path coefficient analysis; phenotypic; residual effect.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br., 2n = 14) is an annual, C_4 cereal crop with high photosynthetic efficiency and belongs to the family Gramineae. Pearl millet is commonly known as a poor man's food and important among nutritious cereals. The composition of grains (per 100g) reveals carbohydrates (67.5g), protein (11.6g), fat (5g), fiber (1.2g), mineral matter (2.3g), calcium (42mg) and phosphorus (296mg). The grain consists high amount of vitamins (thiamine, riboflavin and niacin) and minerals (P, K, Mg, Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn). It being rich source of energy is comparable to rice, wheat, maize and sorghum. Protein content of pearl millet is higher than barley (11.5%), maize (11.1%), sorghum (10.4%) and rice (7.2%). It has a low glycemic index (GI), which helps in weight loss.

This crop grows well in harsh environments where other crops fail. Improvements in crop production, availability, storage, utilisation, and consumption will significantly contribute to the food and nutrition security of the people who live in these areas. Though pearl millet is the staple cereal for many millions of poor people in semiarid regions, the crop has received little attention. So, a strong emphasis is placed on selection for yield and nutritive characters in the pearl millet breeding programme. Before putting a strong emphasis on breeding for traits, understanding the relationship between yield and yield attributes, as well as the interrelationship between yield and nutritional quality traits, will allow the breeder to improve yield while also improving nutritional quality traits. The correlation coefficient may aid in identifying characters with little or no significance in the selection programme. The presence of correlation can be attributed to the presence of linkage, pleiotropic effect of genes, physiological and developmental environmental relationship, effect, or а combination of all of these factors. On the other hand, correlation coefficients, might occasionally

mislead the selection and must be divided into direct and indirect effects. Then path coefficient analysis is used to calculate the direct and indirect impacts of each variable as well as their contribution to yield. Phenotypic and genotypic correlations were computed for 21 characters in 70 pearl millet inbred lines to know the nature of association existing among the characters.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

70 pearl millet inbred lines (S_6 generation) were evaluated during *Rabi*, 2021 in a Randomized Block Design (RBD), replicated twice at Agricultural Research Station, Perumallapalle, Tirupati. Each genotype was represented by three row plot of 3 m length with inter and intrarow spacing of 60 cm and 15 cm respectively. Standard crop husbandry practices and plant protection measures suggested for this crop were followed to raise a healthy crop. Data were recorded on five randomly selected competitive plants of each genotype replication⁻¹ for 21 metric traits.

Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were calculated using the method given by Johnson et al. [1] to determine the degree of association of the characters with yield and also among the yield components. Path coefficient analysis was carried out by the procedure originally proposed by Wright [2] which was subsequently elaborated by Dewey and Lu [3] to estimate the direct and indirect effects of the individual characters on yield.

Statistical analysis: The recorded mean data was analysed for assessing correlation by using the software - Indostat 9.2 version.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phenotypic and genotypic correlations were computed for 21 characters in 70 pearl millet genotypes to know the nature of association existing among the characters.

3.1 Correlation of Physiological, Yield and Quality Components with Grain Yield Plant⁻¹

The phenotypic (r_p) and genotypic (r_g) correlation coefficients obtained for all the characters are furnished in Table 1.

Grain yield plant⁻¹ had highly significant positive correlation with panicle weight ($r_p=0.890^{**}$; $r_g=0.906^{**}$), followed by green fodder yield plant⁻¹ ($r_p=0.718^{**}$; $r_g=0.770^{**}$), dry fodder yield plant⁻¹ ($r_p=0.712^{**}$; $r_g=0.777^{**}$), number of productive tillers plant⁻¹ ($r_p=0.559^{**}$; $r_g=0.581^{**}$), spike girth ($r_p=0.446^{**}$; $r_g=0.536^{**}$), plant height ($r_p=0.446^{**}$; $r_g=0.446^{**}$; $r_g=0.345^{**}$; $r_g=0.428^{**}$), number of nodes plant⁻¹ ($r_p=0.345^{**}$; $r_g=0.381^{**}$), 1000 grain weight ($r_p=0.316^{**}$; $r_g=0.372^{**}$), spike length ($r_p=0.313^{**}$; $r_g=0.341^{**}$), harvest index ($r_p=0.307^{**}$; $r_g=0.223^{**}$), threshing percentage ($r_p=0.238^{**}$; $r_g=0.250^{**}$) and specific leaf area at 45DAS ($r_p=0.217^{*}$; $r_g=0.331^{*}$) at both phenotypic and genotypic levels.

Non-significant positive correlation of grain yield plant⁻¹ was observed with specific leaf area at 65 DAS (r_p = 0.134; r_g = 0.152), days to 50% flowering (r_p = 0.027; r_g = 0.019), days to maturity (r_p = 0.025; r_g = 0.013), SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at 65 DAS (r_p = 0.009; r_g = 0.005) and SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at 45 DAS (r_p = 0.008; r_q = -0.038).

Similar kind of highly significant positive association of grain yield plant⁻¹ with panicle weight was reported by Dehinwal et al. [4]; with dry fodder yield plant⁻¹ by Vinodhana et al. [5], Dehinwal et al. [4], Patil et al. [6] and Yadav et al. [7]; with 1000 grain weight by Naveen et al. [8], Talawar et al. [9], Singh et al. [10] and Patil et al. [6]; with harvest index by Dapke et al. [11], Kumar et al. [12], Singh et al. [10], Patil et al. [6] and Goswami et al. [13]. Similarly, positive association of grain yield plant ¹ with plant height, number of productive tillers plant⁻¹, panicle length and panicle girth was reported earlier by Bhasker et al. [14], Anuradha et al. [15], Patil et al. [6], Shasibhusan et al. [16] and Yadav et al. [7].

From the present investigation on character association it is displayed that significant and positive correlation of grain yield plant⁻¹ with panicle weight followed by green fodder yield plant⁻¹, dry fodder yield plant⁻¹, number of productive tillers plant⁻¹, spike girth, plant height,

leaf blade length, number of nodes plant⁻¹, spike length, harvest index, threshing percentage, leaf blade width and specific leaf area at 45 DAS at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. This indicated possibility of simultaneous selection of all these characters for yield improvement.

3.2 Path Co-efficient Analysis

Path coefficient analysis was conducted using grain yield plant-1 as dependent variable and all other characters which showed significant correlations with grain yield plant⁻¹ as independent variables. Various direct and indirect effects of component traits on grain yield were discussed here under and the results were presented in Tables 2 and 3.

Among the yield components, panicle weight (P = 1.011; G = -0.297) had very high positive direct effect on grain yield plant⁻¹. Threshing percentage (P = 0.53; G = -0.571) exhibited a high positive direct effect on single plant grain yield. Hence direct selection based on these characters would be rewarded in increasing the grain yield plant⁻¹. Green fodder yield plant⁻¹ (P=0.139; G = -3.382) exhibited a low positive direct effect on single plant grain yield. The characters viz., number of productive tillers plant-¹ (P=0.056, G=0.54), leaf blade length (P=0.041, G=0.132), number of nodes $plant^{-1}$ (P=0.039, G=0.503), spike length (P=0.032, G=0.794), SPAD Chlorophyll Meter Reading at 45 DAS (P=0.031, G=-0.288), spike girth (P=0.018, G=0.626) exerted negligible positive direct effect on grain yield plant⁻¹ indicating direct selection for improving these characters might be ineffective. Similar positive direct effects of component traits observed with grain yield plant⁻¹ were reported by Dapke et al. [11] and Nehra et al. [17] for leaf blade length; Dapke et al. [11], Kumar et al. [18] and Naveen et al. [8] for spike length; Dapke et al. [11] and Naveen et al. [8] for panicle weight and Nehra et al. [17] for green fodder yield plant⁻¹.

On contrary, the traits *viz.*, 1000 grain weight (P=-0.002, G=-0.352), plant height (P=-0.017, G=-0.335), leaf blade width (P=-0.034, G=0.253), harvest index (P=-0.136, G=1.729), dry fodder yield plant⁻¹(P=-0.139, G=3.82) depicted negligible and negative direct effect on grain yield plant⁻¹. Similar results were revealed by Dehinwal et al. [4] and Nehra et al. [17] for leaf blade width; Dapke et al. [11] and Goswami et al. [13] for plant height and Yadav et al. [7] for 1000 grain weight.

Trait		DF	DM	SCMR 45	SCMR 65	SLA 45	SLA 65	LSL	LBL	LBW	NN	SL
DF	rp	1.000	0.989**	-0.220**	-0.042	0.178*	0.133	0.215*	0.340**	0.305**	0.088	0.464**
	r _g	1.000	0.996**	-0.327**	-0.056	0.239**	0.135	0.372**	0.457**	0.494**	0.142	0.572**
DM	rp		1.000	-0.218**	-0.035	0.200*	0.128	0.215*	0.341**	0.294**	0.082	0.481**
	rg		1.000	-0.345**	-0.044	0.247**	0.109	0.354**	0.440**	0.459**	0.155	0.589**
SCMR 45	rp			1.000	0.185*	-0.107	0.008	0.102	-0.011	0.079	0.139	-0.101
	rg			1.000	0.163	-0.313**	-0.184*	-0.119	-0.125	-0.074	0.355**	-0.238**
SCMR 65	rp				1.000	-0.162	-0.039	0.151	0.089	0.107	-0.127	0.056
	rg				1.000	-0.389**	-0.078	-0.088	0.009	0.046	-0.301**	0.074
SLA 45	rp					1.000	0.511 **	0.161	0.142	-0.058	0.021	0.177 *
	rg					1.000	0.727**	0.345**	0.255**	0.198*	-0.046	0.291**
SLA 65	r _p						1.000	0.120	0.083	0.017	0.018	0.091
02/100	r _g						1.000	0.337**	0.126	0.015	0.208*	0.060
LSL	r _p						1.000	1.000	0.519**	0.277**	0.147	0.237*
								1.000	0.761**	0.205*	0.417**	0.441**
LBL	r _g r.							1.000	1.000	0.476**	0.288**	0.495**
LDL	r _p r								1.000	0.524**	0.200	0.699**
LBW	r _g								1.000	1.000	0.175*	0.381**
	r _p									1.000	0.325**	0.501**
NN	r _g									1.000		0.301
NIN	r _p										1.000	
	r _g										1.000	0.293**
SL	Гp											1.000
	r _g			* Signifia								1.000

Table 1. Phenotypic (r_p) and genotypic (r_g) correlation coefficients among grain yield and its components in 70 inbred lines of pearl millet

* Significant at 5% level (r=0.166); ** Significant at 1 % level (r=0.217)

Kumar et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 21, pp. 869-878, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.101984

Table 1. (Co	ontd)
--------------	-------

Trait		SG	NPT	PH	1000 GW	PW	GFY	DFY	TH	HI	GYP
DF	rp	0.330**	-0.170*	0.507**	-0.022	0.247**	0.358**	0.354**	-0.429**	-0.439**	0.027
	rg	0.411**	-0.221**	0.571**	-0.025	0.251**	0.401**	0.407**	-0.505**	-0.558**	0.019
DM	rp	0.334**	-0.171*	0.508**	-0.024	0.253**	0.359**	0.354**	-0.453**	-0.453**	0.025
	r _g	0.410**	-0.227**	0.563**	-0.029	0.252**	0.398**	0.403**	-0.526**	-0.573**	0.013
SCMR 45	r _ρ	0.118	0.094	0.088	0.143	0.059	0.033	0.040	0.317**	0.302**	0.217*
	rg	0.247**	0.019	0.119	0.217**	0.135	0.034**	0.022	0.448**	0.526**	0.331**
SCMR 65	r _ρ	0.265**	0.074	0.023	0.181*	0.124	0.064	0.067	-0.061	-0.013	0.134
	rg	0.495**	0.014	-0.046	0.465**	0.094	-0.094	-0.100	0.035	0.280**	0.152
SLA 45	rp	-0.020	-0.007	0.206*	-0.040	0.075	0.184*	0.176*	-0.190*	-0.237**	0.008
	rg	-0.013	-0.064	0.276**	-0.053	0.041	0.249**	0.260**	-0.236**	-0.400**	-0.038
SLA 65	rp	-0.053	0.022	0.090	0.019	0.010	0.093	0.098	-0.031	-0.088	0.009
	ra	-0.043	-0.023	0.157	-0.036	-0.026	0.117	0.109	0.081	-0.027	0.005
LSL	rp	0.115	-0.063	0.362**	0.125	0.170*	0.190*	0.182*	-0.140	-0.146	0.121
	rg	0.237**	-0.040	0.746**	0.308**	0.317**	0.494**	0.513**	-0.321**	-0.424**	0.213*
LBL	rp	0.331**	-0.027	0.547**	0.180*	0.390**	0.413**	0.413**	-0.129	-0.131	0.345**
	rg	0.509**	-0.103	0.700**	0.241**	0.464**	0.555**	0.555**	-0.146	-0.196*	0.428**
LBW	rp	0.353**	-0.034	0.344**	0.043	0.326**	0.359**	0.362**	-0.174*	-0.180*	0.228**
	rg	0.516**	-0.070	0.473**	-0.011	0.426**	0.547**	0.544**	-0.360**	-0.394**	0.250**
NN	rp	0.107	0.013	0.502**	0.115	0.264**	0.307**	0.299**	0.106	0.040	0.334**
	rg	0.099	-0.073	0.659**	0.202*	0.313**	0.407**	0.419**	0.124	-0.032	0.381**
SL	r _p	0.424**	-0.102	0.610**	-0.015	0.470**	0.511**	0.511**	-0.347**	-0.322**	0.313**
-	ra	0.430**	-0.150	0.696**	-0.047	0.543**	0.620**	0.620**	-0.497**	-0.498**	0.341**

* Significant at 5% level; ** Significant at 1 % level

Trait		SG	NPT	PH	1000 GW	PW	GFY	DFY	TH	HI	GYP
SG	rp	1.000	-0.055	0.467**	0.330**	0.496**	0.461**	0.461**	-0.069	-0.017	0.446**
	r _g	1.000	-0.052	0.483**	0.374**	0.611**	0.573**	0.575**	-0.113	-0.034	0.536**
NPT	rp		1.000	-0.056	0.070	0.549**	0.406**	0.405**	0.001	0.073	0.559**
	rg		1.000	-0.128	0.116	0.565**	0.408**	0.410**	0.044	0.110	0.581**
PH	rp			1.000	0.247**	0.513**	0.636**	0.637**	-0.164	-0.246**	0.436**
	rg			1.000	0.289**	0.560**	0.701**	0.701**	-0.199*	-0.301**	0.477**
1000 GW	rp				1.000	0.227**	0.152	0.150	0.228 **	0.266**	0.316**
	rg				1.000	0.251**	0.176*	0.178*	0.325**	0.382**	0.372**
PW	r _p					1.000	0.851**	0.843**	-0.198 *	-0.082	0.890**
	rg					1.000	0.909**	0.919**	-0.199*	-0.137	0.906**
GFY	r _p						1.000	0.996**	-0.255**	-0.335**	0.718**
	rg						1.000	1.006**	-0.301**	-0.342**	0.770**
DFY	r _p							1.000	-0.249**	-0.342**	0.712**
	rg							1.000	-0.310**	-0.331**	0.777**
тн	r _p								1.000	0.903**	0.238**
	rg								1.000	0.973**	0.209*
ні	r _p									1.000	0.307**
	rg									1.000	0.253**
GYP	r _p										1.000
•	ra										1.000
	·y			* S	Significant at 5%	6 level; ** Sigi	nificant at 1 % le	vel			
05	-	6 500(a .						(000 0)//	4000 5 5	
DF DM		ys to 50% f				eaf blade len			1000 GW : PW :	1000 grain weig	
SCMR 45		ys to matur		ading at 45 DAS					GFY :	Panicle weight (Green fodder yi	
SCMR 65				ading at 65 DAS		: No of nodes plant ⁻¹ : Spike length (cm)			DFY :	Dry fodder yield	
SLA 45			area at 45 DAS			Spike length (cm)			TH :	Threshing (%)	plant (g)
SLA 65			irea at 65 DAS				oductive tillers pl	ant ⁻¹	HI :	Harvest index (%)
LSL		af sheath le		····· 3 /		Plant height (d			GYP :	Grain yield plan	

Table 1. (Contd..)

Trait	SCMR 45	LSL	LBL	LBW	NN	SL	SG	NPT	PH	TSW	PW	GFY	DFY	тн	ні	Correlation with GYP
SCMR 45	-0.288	-0.111	0.127	-0.019	0.178	-0.189	0.154	0.010	-0.040	-0.076	-0.040	-0.114	0.084	-0.256	0.909	0.331**
LSL	0.034	0.926	-0.775	0.052	0.210	0.350	0.149	-0.021	-0.250	-0.108	-0.094	-1.670	1.961	0.184	-0.733	0.213*
LBL	0.036	0.704	-1.019	0.132	0.225	0.555	0.318	-0.056	-0.235	-0.085	-0.138	-1.876	2.121	0.083	-0.338	0.428**
LBW	0.021	0.190	0.534	0.253	0.163	0.402	0.323	-0.038	-0.159	0.004	-0.126	-1.850	2.076	0.205	-0.682	0.250**
NN	-0.102	0.386	0.456	0.082	0.503	0.233	0.062	-0.039	-0.221	-0.071	-0.093	-1.377	1.601	-0.071	-0.056	0.381**
SL	0.069	0.408	-0.712	0.128	0.148	0.794	0.269	-0.081	-0.233	0.017	-0.161	-2.096	2.369	0.284	-0.861	0.341**
SG	-0.071	0.220	-0.519	0.130	0.050	0.342	0.626	-0.028	-0.162	-0.132	-0.181	-1.939	2.195	0.064	-0.059	0.536**
NPT	-0.006	-0.037	-0.105	-0.018	-0.037	-0.119	-0.033	0.540	0.043	-0.041	-0.168	-1.381	1.566	-0.025	0.190	0.581**
PH	-0.034	0.690	-0.714	0.119	0.331	0.552	0.302	-0.069	-0.335	-0.102	-0.166	-2.370	2.678	0.114	-0.520	0.477**
TSW	-0.063	0.285	-0.245	-0.003	0.102	-0.037	0.234	0.063	-0.097	-0.352	-0.074	-0.595	0.681	-0.186	0.660	0.372**
PW	-0.039	0.294	-0.473	0.108	0.157	0.431	0.383	0.305	-0.188	-0.088	-0.297	-3.075	3.512	0.114	-0.237	0.906**
GFY	-0.010	0.457	-0.566	0.138	0.205	0.492	0.359	0.220	-0.235	-0.062	-0.270	-3.382	3.841	0.172	-0.591	0.770**
DFY	-0.006	0.475	-0.566	0.137	0.211	0.492	0.360	0.221	-0.235	-0.063	-0.273	-3.400	3.820	0.177	-0.573	0.777**
тн	-0.129	-0.298	0.149	-0.091	0.062	-0.395	-0.071	0.024	0.067	-0.114	0.059	1.019	-1.184	-0.571	1.682	0.209**
HI	-0.151	-0.393	0.199	-0.100	-0.016	-0.395	-0.021	0.059	0.101	-0.134	0.041	1.156	-1.266	-0.556	1.729	0.253**

Table 2. Estimates of genotypic path coefficients among grain yield and its components in 70 inbred lines of pearl millet

* Significant at 5% level (r=0.166); ** Significant at 1 % level (r=0.217). Genotypic residual effect: 0.191

SCMR 45	:	SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at	SG	:	Spike girth (cm)	GFY	:	Green fodder yield plant ¹ (g)
LSL		45 DAS Leaf sheath length (cm)	NPT		Number of productive tillers plant ¹	DFY		Dry fodder yield plant ⁻¹ (g)
LBL		Leaf blade length (cm)	PH		Plant height (cm)	TH	:	Threshing (%)
LBW	÷	Leaf blade width (cm)	1000 GW	÷	1000 grain weight (g)	HI	÷	Harvest index (%)
NN	:	No of nodes plant ¹	PW	:	Panicle weight (g)	GYP	:	Grain yield plant ¹ (g)
SL	:	Spike length (cm)						

Trait	SCMR 45	LBL	LBW	NN	SL	SG	NPT	PH	TSW	PW	GFY	DFY	тн	HI	Correlation with GYP
SCMR 45	0.031	0.000	-0.003	0.005	-0.003	0.002	0.005	-0.002	0.000	0.060	0.005	-0.010	0.168	-0.041	0.217*
LBL	0.000	0.041	-0.016	0.011	0.016	0.006	-0.002	-0.009	0.000	0.394	0.057	-0.102	-0.068	0.018	0.345**
LBW	0.002	0.019	-0.034	0.007	0.012	0.006	-0.002	-0.006	0.000	0.330	0.050	-0.089	-0.092	0.025	0.228**
NN	0.004	0.012	-0.006	0.039	0.005	0.002	0.001	-0.009	0.000	0.267	0.043	-0.074	0.056	-0.005	0.334**
SL	-0.003	0.020	-0.013	0.006	0.032	0.008	-0.006	-0.011	0.000	0.475	0.071	-0.126	-0.184	0.044	0.313**
SG	0.004	0.014	-0.012	0.004	0.013	0.018	-0.003	-0.008	-0.001	0.501	0.064	-0.114	-0.036	0.002	0.446**
NPT	0.003	-0.001	0.001	0.001	-0.003	-0.001	0.056	0.001	0.000	0.555	0.057	-0.100	0.001	-0.010	0.559**
PH	0.003	0.022	-0.012	0.020	0.019	0.008	-0.003	-0.017	-0.001	0.519	0.089	-0.157	-0.087	0.033	0.436**
TSW	0.004	0.007	-0.002	0.005	-0.001	0.006	0.004	-0.004	-0.002	0.230	0.021	-0.037	0.121	-0.036	0.316**
PW	0.002	0.016	-0.011	0.010	0.015	0.009	0.031	-0.009	-0.001	1.011	0.118	-0.208	-0.105	0.011	0.890**
GFY	0.001	0.017	-0.012	0.012	0.016	0.008	0.023	-0.011	0.000	0.861	0.139	-0.246	-0.135	0.046	0.718**
DFY	0.001	0.017	-0.012	0.012	0.016	0.008	0.023	-0.011	0.000	0.853	0.139	-0.247	-0.132	0.047	0.712**
тн	0.010	-0.005	0.006	0.004	-0.011	-0.001	0.000	0.003	-0.001	-0.200	-0.036	0.062	0.530	-0.123	0.238**
HI	0.009	-0.005	0.006	0.002	-0.010	0.000	0.004	0.004	-0.001	-0.083	-0.047	0.085	0.478	-0.136	0.307**

Table 3. Estimates of phenotypic path coefficients among grain yield and its components in 70 inbred lines of pearl millet

* Significant at 5% level (r=0.166); ** Significant at 1 % level (r=0.217). Phenotypic residual effect: 0.158

SCMR 45	:	SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at 45 DAS	SG	:	Spike girth (cm)	GFY	:	Green fodder yield plant ¹ (g)
LBL LBW NN SL	: : : :	Leaf blade length (cm) Leaf blade width (cm) No of nodes plant ¹ Spike length (cm)	NPT PH 1000 GW PW	: : :	Number of productive tillers plant ⁻¹ Plant height (cm) 1000 grain weight (g) Panicle weight (g)	DFY TH HI GYP	:	Dry fodder yield plant¹(g) Threshing (%) Harvest index (%) Grain yield plant¹(g)

Path coefficient analysis reflected that panicle weight had very high positive direct effect on grain yield plant⁻¹ and most of the characters exerted high positive indirect effect through panicle weight. While, threshing percentage exhibited a high direct and positive effect on grain yield plant⁻¹. In the present study, phenotypic (0.158) and genotypic (0.191) residual effects were of low magnitude, indicating the appropriateness of characters chosen and insignificant effect of other characters not included in the present study.

4. CONCLUSION

A perusal of character association indicated highly significant positive association of panicle weight, green fodder yield plant⁻¹, dry fodder vield plant⁻¹, number of productive tillers plant⁻¹, spike girth, plant height, leaf blade length, number of nodes plant¹, spike length, harvest index, threshing percentage, leaf blade width and specific leaf area at 45 DAS with grain yield plant⁻¹ as well as among themselves at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. Hence, these characters could be used in the further selection programme for improvement of grain yield plant⁻¹. Path coefficient analysis indicated that grain yield plant⁻¹ was mainly a product of direct and indirect effects of panicle weight, threshing percentage and green fodder yield plant⁻¹. Hence, major emphasis should be on these characters in given selection programme to isolate superior parental lines genetic potential higher for with grain yield.Critical analysis of results obtained from character association and path analysis indicated that grain yield plant¹ had strong positive association with panicle weight which also had high magnitude of positive direct effect. This reveals the importance of this component trait in selection of superior genotypes for higher yield in pearl millet.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Lam, Guntur for granting financial assistance and support in the conduct of experiment at ARS, Perumallapalle, Tirupati, A.P. This study is a part of M.Sc. student thesis work of first author.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation in soybean and their implications in selection. Agronomy Journal. 1955;47:477-483.
- 2. Wright S. Correlation and causation. Journal of Agricultural Research. 1921; 20: 557-585.
- 3. Dewey JR, Lu KH. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agronomy Journal. 1959;51:515-518.
- Dehinwal AK, Yadav YP, Sivia SS, Kumar A. correlation and path coefficient analysis for different biometrical and harvest plus traits in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br]. Research in Environment and Life Sciences. 2017;10(5):407-410.
- Vinodhana KN, Sumathi P, Sathya M. Genetic variability and inter-relationship among morpho-economic traits of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] and their implications in selection. International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences. 2013;3(2):145-149.
- Patil SH, Wadikar PB, Dhutraj DN, Sargar PR. Correlation analysis for grain yield and its components in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.]. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2021;108:1.
- Yadav MK, Sanadya SK, Kumar A, Kumar A, Kumar R, Gupta PC. Genetic variability parameters and inter-relationship among yield and its attributes in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) hybrids. Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2022; 14(2):662-666.
- Naveen R, Sumathi P, Manivannan N. Trait association among beta carotene content and yield attributes in recombinant inbred lines of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.]. Agricultural Science Digest. 2016;36(3):202-206.
- Talawar AM, Girish G, Channabasavanna AS, Kitturmath MS. Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L). R. Br.] germplasm lines. Agricultural Science Digest. 2017;37(1):75-77.
- Singh OV, Gowthami R, Singh K, Shekhawat N. Assessment of intercharacter associations in the germplasm of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] over five years in hot arid climate of

Rajasthan, India. International journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(1):3133-3149.

- Dapke JS, Shah DS, Pawar GN, Dhembre VM, Kumar M. Genetic variability and character association over environment in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] under dryland conditions of Gujarat. An International Quaterly Journal of Life Sciences. 2014;9(2):863-867.
- Kumar M, Gupta PC, Shekawat VS. Correlation studies among pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br] hybrids. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2016; 7(3):727-729.
- 13. Goswami PA, Patel HS, Patel PR, Donga AR. Study of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and its component traits in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.]. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;77: 23-41.
- Bhasker K, Shashibushan D, Krishna KM, Bhave MHV. Correlation and path analysis for grain yield and its components in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.].

Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences. 2017;6(1):104-106.

- Anuradha N, Tara SC, Bharadwaj C, Sankar M, Lakshmi Pathy T. Association of agronomic traits and micronutrients in pearl millet. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(1):181-184.
- Shashibhushan D, Kumar CS, Kondi RKR. Genetic parameters and association studies for yield and yield contributing traits in pearl millet cultivars. Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2021;14(1): 416-421.
- Nehra M, Kumar M, Kaushik J, Vart D, Sharma RK, Punia MS. Genetic divergence, character association and path coefficient analysis for yield attributing traits in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br] inbreds. Chemical Science Review and Letters. 2017;6(21):538-543.
- Kumar R, Harish S, Dalal MS, Malik V, Devvart LK, Chugh P, Garg, Raj K. Studies on variability, correlation and path analysis in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] genotypes. Forage Research. 2014; 40(3):163-167.

© 2023 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101984