

Advances in Research

12(1): 1-11, 2017; Article no.AIR.35605 ISSN: 2348-0394, NLM ID: 101666096

An Analysis of Socio-personal Characteristics of Apple Growers and Their Attitude towards Apple Cultivation in District Shopian of J&K

Zahoor Ahmad Shah^{1*}, Rekhi Singh², Mushtaq Ahmad Dar¹, Jehangir Muzaffar Matoo¹ and Rufaida Mir¹

¹Division of Agricultural Extension and Communication, SKUAST-K, India. ²Krishi Vigyan Kendra Srinagar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author ZAS designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author RS was the guide during the whole study, designed and performed all the statistical analysis and their interpretation. Author MAD finalized the design, protocol and checked the draft report. Author JMM managed the analyses of the study. Author RM managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AIR/2017/35605

Editor(s):

(1) Magdalena Valsikova, Horticulture and Landscape Engineering, Slovak University of Agriculture, Nitra, Slovakia.

Reviewers:

(1) Jagjeet Singh Gill, University Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Chandigarh University, India. (2) George Nanos, University of Thessaly, Greece.

(3) Stephen Fox, VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Tampere University of Technology, Finland. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/21222

Original Research Article

Received 20th July 2017 Accepted 27th September 2017 Published 3rd October 2017

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted in district Shopian of Jammu and Kashmir in 2014 with sample size of 180 respondents. The district Shopian was purposively selected, because of the potential for the development of horticulture, mainly because 90% of the district was under apple cultivation. The data were collected from three different altitudes viz- low, medium and high altitudes. Different socio-personal characteristics viz- age, experience, education, family education, family type, family size, innovative proneness were studied from different altitudes. Attitude of the apple growers was also studied and it has been revealed that most of the apple growers from all three altitudes were having neutral attitude towards apple cultivation.

Keywords: Altitude; apple cultivation; attitude; socio-personal characteristics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Agricultural as well as horticultural sector is considered as one of the effective factors in economic development of India. Achieving food and nutritional security is possible only by making use of new technologies in farm land. Today in most parts of the world, due to limited land and water resources, increase in production and quality food is hardly possible unless needbased effective techniques in the production systems are adopted by the farmers. In the state of Jammu and Kashmir, Kashmir valley is endowed with congenial agro-climatic conditions for a wide range of horticultural crops. The growth in area and production of horticultural crops like peach, pear, plum, and apple, is quite impressive. Jammu and Kashmir is rightly known as an apple state of India, contributing Rs 4,200 million to the state GDP [1].

Apple is one of the most widely cultivated tree fruits. The apple is the fourth widely produced fruit in the world after banana, orange and grapes. India is ranked as the sixth largest world's apple producing country and second largest country in area [2]. As far as apple production is considered, it accounts for 51% of total area of 2.72 million hectares under all temperate fruits grown in this state. The annual apple production in the state is 13.73 lakh metric tonnes [3]. Average yield of apple cultivars per unit area of state is highest in the country ranging between 10-12 tonnes/ha, still the yield is poor as compared to 20-30 tonnes/ha grown in horticulturally advanced countries of the world. Climate and other agro-ecological factors of Kashmir are ideally suited to the cultivation of many varieties. However, it has been found that the socio-economic characters of the farmers greatly affect the farming community and hence production and productivity.

A study conducted in Nagpur district of Maharashtra indicated that more than half of the orange growers (53.33%) were middle aged, followed by old (30.00%) and young age (16.67%) group. It indicates that most of the orange growers were of middle and old aged and less number of young people are involved in orange cultivation, same results were found in this study (Table 1) [4]. A study on technological gap and constraints in adoption of recommended practices of mango growers reported that nearly half (49.00%) of the respondents had medium experience in mango cultivation while remaining 26.00% and 25.00% of the respondents had low

and high experience in the mango cultivation respectively, as the study indicates that less number of respondents have high level of experience, which indicates that most of the growers follow traditional system rather than recommended system [5]. It has been found in one of the studies, that 70.00% of the farmers had medium level extension agency contact and 30.00% of the farmers had high level extension agency contact, it is evident from the study that most of the farmers are not up-to-date about recommended cultivation practices [6]. In has been found in the study on impact of cashew demonstrators on knowledge, adoption and yield levels of farmers in Dakshina district revealed that 50% of the cashew growers had medium social participation, 35% of the cashew growers had high social participation and only 15% of cashew growers had low social participation [7]. In the study conducted on arecanut growers in Shimoga district reported that 32.5% of the arecanut growers had high social participation followed by 40% of the growers having medium level and only 27.5 per cent of the growers had low social participation level [8]. A study conducted on farmer attitude and adoption for bio-fertilizers observed that the majority of the farmers (84.00%) belonged to moderate level of knowledge about different kinds of bio-fertilizers and their associated practices, about one tenth of them were adequately equipped with the knowledge about bio-fertilizers and appeared in high knowledge category [9]. In the study on the management of areca gardens and marketing pattern preferred by the arecanut farmers of Shimoga district in Karnataka revealed that equal per cent (28.66%) of the arecanut growers are large and small arecanut farmers, 24% of the respondents are medium land holding farmers and 18.66% of the farmers are marginal land holders [10]. It has been found that considerable percentage of Thompson Seedless grape growers (46.00%) belonged to medium innovative proneness category, while, a little more than 50.00 per cent of Bangalore Blue grape growers (52.00%) belonged to high innovative proneness category [11]. It has also been reported that the actual yield of fruit produced at the farmers' fields is considerably less than that of potential fruit yield. One of the major factors causing this huge yield gap was the lack of knowledge, skill and attitude of fruit growers regarding the modern production technology. This deficiency on the part of the fruit growers can be overcome by comprehensive training and extension programmes for farmers concerning modern fruit production techniques

[12]. It has been stated that training needs of farmers as skill, knowledge and attitude an individual requires in order to overcome the problems as well as to avoid creating problem situation. It is clear that training of the farmers is an essential resource, which will direct knowledge and skill towards crop production [13].

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study was conducted in 2014 in the state of Jammu and Kashmir comprising extreme sector of Himalaya's and occupies a central geographical location in the Asian continent. A multistage sampling procedure was adopted for the selection of districts, tehsils, villages and sample respondents. Kashmir valley consists of 10 districts namely Anantnag, Kulgam, Pulwama, Shopian, Srinagar, Bandipora, Baramulla, Budgam, Ganderbal and Kupwara. District Shopian was purposively selected because of the potential for the development of horticulture. mainly because 90% of the district cultivated land surface was under apple plantation and prevailing agro climatic situations were very good for cultivation of horticultural crops especially fruit crops and apple, in particular. The study was conducted in three altitudes viz. high altitude, mid altitude and low altitude in the form of strata, which were purposively selected. Each strata consisted of three villages which were randomly selected. Accordingly a sample size of twenty farmers from each village was selected randomly, thus making a sample size of sixty respondents from each strata. A sample size of 180 respondents from all the three strata was included in the study based on the total respondents engaged with apple cultivation. The mean and standard deviation of all the respondent's were computed for classifying them in different categories. The socio-personal characters were measured by using different scales:

2.1 Age

It refers to the chronological age of the respondent at the time of investigation. The age of the respondents was recorded as mentioned by them in completed years. It was measured by direct questioning of the respondents.

2.2 Education

It refers to the qualifications of the respondent which have been acquired through formal schooling. It was measured using socioeconomic scale (SES) developed by Trevedi (1963) and the scoring pattern followed by him to measure the education was used [14].

2.3 Family Education

It is operationally defined for the present study as the formal education received by the members of the respondent's family (above 6 years of age). It was measured by the scale developed by Singh and Narwal, [15].

The scores of individual family members were added up, to obtain the total educational score of the family and the same divided by the number of family members in order to arrive at family education scores (FES), which is shown as under:

$$FES = \frac{\text{Total Education Score of Family}}{\text{No. of family members above 6 yrs of age}}$$

2.4 Family Type

It refers to the type of family farmers belongs to nuclear, joint or extended.

2.5 Family Size

Refers to the total number of family members of the farmer:

2.6 Land Holding

It refers to the number of acres of land used for cultivation by the respondents at the time of interview. The socio-economic scale (SES) rural scale developed by Trevedi (1963) was used to measure the size of holdings.

2.7 Social Participation

Social Participation refers to collective activities that individuals may be involved in, as part of their everyday lives. The socio-economic scale (SES) developed by Trevedi (1963) was used to measure the social participation.

2.8 Media Exposure

This variable is operationalized as the exposure of an individual respondent to different mass media channels such as Newspaper, Farm magazine, Radio, Television and his degree of utilization with them. The procedure suggested by Singh (1983) was followed for measuring media exposure of the respondents [16].

2.9 Innovative Proneness

It refers to the behaviour pattern of an individual who has interest and desire to seek changes in farming techniques and ready to introduce such changes into his operations when practical and feasible. The innovative proneness measured by using the self-rating scale developed by Moulik and Rao [17]. The scale consisted of three items and each item has three parts with varying degree of innovative proneness. The responses were checked by simply reading of the statements on whether least like or most like, particular statement of change proneness. The most like statements were awarded a score of 2 and the least like as 1. In this way, most like scores were multiplied by their corresponding scale values and least like statements by their corresponding scale values. Innovative proneness for each individual was calculated by using the formula:

Innovative proneness =

Sum of ratios of most like statements
Sum of ratios of least like statements

2.10 Extension Contact

It refers to the frequency of contact of a respondent with any personnel of the various extension agencies to get information. It was measured by the procedure suggested by Singh (1983).

2.11 Experience in Horticulture

It refers to the number of years the respondent is engaged in apple cultivation at the time of investigation. The experience in apple cultivation of the respondents was recorded as mentioned by them in completed years. It was measured by direct questioning to the respondents.

2.12 Attitude towards Apple Cultivation

Degree of positive and negative effect of respondent associated with apple cultivation. Attitude is a person's perspective towards a specified target and way of saying and doing things. It is a tendency that is expressed by evaluating a particular entity with some degree of favour or disfavour. Attitude of farmers was measured on three point continuum.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data presented in the Table 1 reveals that in low altitude, 35% of the apple growers were

middle aged in the age group of 29-56 years, followed by 33.44%, who were old (above 56 years) and 31.56% of the apple growers were young, who belonged to the age group of 18 to 28 years. It indicates that in the lower altitude, majority of the apple growers (35%) were middle aged, in the age group of 29-56 years. While in mid altitude, 41.66% of apple growers were middle aged, in the age group of 29-56, followed by young (30%) belonging to the age group of 18-28 years and 28.34% of the old aged apple growers (above 56 years). It indicates that in the mid altitude, majority of the apple growers (41.66%) were middle aged in the age group of 29-56. In case of high altitude, the data reveals that 50% of the apple growers were middle aged in the age group of 29-56 years, followed by 26.6%, who were old aged above 56 years and 23.34% of the apple growers were young in the age group of 18 to 28 years. So it is evident that majority of the apple growers (50%) were middle aged in the age group of 29-56 years. It also reveals that in low altitude, the majority 43.44% of the apple growers were having low experience upto 10 years regarding apple cultivation, followed by 31.56%, who had high experience greater than 31 years and 25% of the apple growers were having medium experience in the range of 11-30 years. It indicates that in the low altitude, the majority of the apple growers (43.44%) were having low experience regarding apple cultivation. While in case of mid altitude 40% of the apple growers were having low experience upto 10 years of apple cultivation, followed by 35%, who had medium level of experience in the range of 11-30 years and 25% of the apple growers, were having high experience more than 31 years. It indicates that in the mid altitude, majority of the apple growers (40%) were having low experience regarding apple cultivation. In high altitude 43.33% of the apple growers were having low experience upto 10 years regarding apple cultivation, followed by 38.34%, who had medium experience in the range of 11-30 years and 18.33 per cent of the apple growers were having high experience more than 31 years in apple cultivation. It indicates that in all the three altitudes, the majority of the apple growers were having low experience regarding apple cultivation. The data presented also reveals that in low altitude the majority of the apple growers 21.66% were illiterate, followed by 16.66% of apple growers, who had their education up to matric and graduate, 15% of apple growers, had their education up to twelfth, 13.33% of apple growers, had their education up to primary, 10% of the apple growers, had their

education up to middle, and 6.65% of the apple growers were above graduate. In mid altitude majority of the apple growers 31.66% were illiterate, followed by 16.66% of the apple growers, had their education up to middle, 13.33% of apple growers, had their education up to twelfth and graduate 11.66% of apple growers, had their education up to primary and matric, and 1.65% of the apple growers were above graduate. In case of high altitude majority of the apple growers 40% were illiterate, followed by 20% of the apple growers, who had their education up to middle, 16.66% of apple growers, had their education up to matric, 15% of apple growers, had their education up to twelfth, 08.34% of apple growers, who had their education up to primary, however none of the apple growers was graduate.

So it has been observed from the study that maximum respondents in all the three altitudes i.e. 21 in low altitude, 25 in mid altitude and 30 in high altitude out of 60 respondents in each altitude area belonged to middle age group in the range of 29-56 years. Most of the respondents in our study were middle aged; it might be because of the reason that farmers of middle age are enthusiastic having more responsibility and are more efficient than the younger and older ones. Further, apple growers between 29 to 56 years of age group have more physical vigour and also more responsibility towards family than the vounger ones. This might be the important reasons to find that majority of the apple growers in the age group of 29 to 56 years were active in cultivation of apple fruits. The results were in line with the research findings reported by Vedamurthy [18]. It is obvious that most of the farmers had low experience (upto 10 years) in all the three altitudes. The possible reason for this low experience could be as farming experience mainly depends upon age of the farmer. Since a majority of apple growers belonged to middle age category, so majority of respondents had low farming experience. The above results could also be attributed to the relatively higher initial investment and practice of apple cultivation recently under taken by the farmers. As it is a recent and growing enterprise the number of people practising apple cultivation is low. The above findings are in line with the findings of Raghavendra [19]. Education level of most of the farmers was illiterate in all the three altitudes. The possible reason for this could be the nonrealization of the influence of formal education in one's life, illiteracy of the parents might have come in the way of getting them better education

to their children. Another contributing reason could be, the rural social environment might not have encouraged parents to provide education to their children. As the rural people have still traditional base they generally do not prefer to send their children to school rather they want them to assist in farm and household activities. The distance of higher study centers from the villages and need for more investment also might have prevented the parents from providing higher education to their children. The above findings get support from the studies conducted by Thippeswamy [20].

It is evident from the data presented in the Table 2 that in low altitude majority of the apple growers 40% were having high level of family education, followed by 31.66% of apple growers, who were having medium level of family education and 28.34% of the apple growers were having low level of family education. Where as in case of mid altitude, majority of the apple growers 41.66% were having medium level of family education, followed by 33.43% of apple growers, who were having low level of family education and 25% of the apple growers were having high level of family education. In high altitude majority 40% of the apple growers were having low level of family education, followed by 36.66% of apple growers, who were having medium level of family education and 23.34% of the apple growers were having high level of family education. It also reveals that in low altitude, the most 61.66% of the apple growers belonged to nuclear family, followed by 28.34% of the apple growers, who belonged to joint family and minimum of 10% of the apple growers belonged to extended family. While as in case of mid altitude, 41.66% of the apple growers belonged to nuclear family, followed by 40% of the apple growers, who belonged to joint family and 11% of the apple growers belonged to extended family. In case of high altitude, 50% of the apple growers belonged to joint family, followed by 26.66% of the apple growers, who belonged to extended family and least 23.34% of the apple growers belonged to nuclear family. It is evident from the data presented in the Table 2 that in low altitude, the most 60% of the apple growers were having small family size, upto 5 members, followed by 30% of the apple growers. who were having medium family size of five to ten members and minimum of 10% of the apple growers were having large family size, of more than ten members. In mid altitude, the most 38.44% of the apple growers were having small family size, upto 5 members, followed by 35% of

the apple growers, who were having medium family size, of five to ten members and minimum of 26.56% of the apple growers were having large family size, with family members above ten. In contrast to high altitude, the most 63.44% of the apple growers were having medium family size, of 5-10 members, followed by 21.56% of the apple growers, who were having small family size, upto five members, and minimum of 15% of the apple growers were having large family size. of more than ten members. The data presented also indicated that in low altitude, 36.66% of the apple growers were marginal farmers having their land holdings below one hectare, followed by 33.34% of the apple growers, who were in small category, having their land holdings above one hectare but less than two hectares, while as 30% of the apple growers belonged to medium category, having their land holdings above two hectares but less than four hectares. In case of mid altitude, 45% of the apple growers belonged to marginal category having their land holdings below one hectare, followed by 36.66% of the apple growers, who belonged to small category, having their land holdings above one hectare but less than two hectares, while as minimum of 18.34% of the apple growers belonged to medium category, having their land holdings above two hectares but less than four hectares. While as in case of high altitude, 56,66% of the apple growers were of marginal category having their land holdings below one hectare, followed by 28.34% of the apple growers, who belonged to small family, having their land holdings above one hectare but less than two hectares, while a minimum of 15% of the apple growers belonged to medium family, having their land holdings above two hectares but less than four hectares.

It is evident from the study that family education level of most of the farmers in low altitude areas was high, medium in mid altitude and low in high altitude areas, the possible reason for this could be due to more exposure to the education facilities, close distance of study institutes to low altitude areas, the other reason could also be that the children and grandchildren of farmers in low and mid altitude areas are educated, thus raising the relative education level of the whole family etc. The families of most of the farmers in low and mid altitude areas were of nuclear type. while as in high altitude areas most of the farmers were of joint type, this might be because in high altitude areas people prefer to live in joint family, it is obvious that the members of the family of most of the farmers in low and mid altitude areas were upto five and more or above

five of farmers in high altitude areas. Most of the farmers in our study were marginal farmers having land holdings of less than one hectare, the reason may be that in Kashmir valley majority of the farmers belong to marginal category. These findings are in line with Vasanthakumar [21].

The data presented in the Table 3 reveal that in low altitude, 81.66% of the apple growers were members of no organization (social as well as cooperative), followed by 18.34% of the apple growers, who were member of one organization only. In case of mid altitude, 86.66% of the apple growers were members of no organization, followed by 13.34% of the apple growers, who were member of one organization. In case of high altitude, maximum of 96.66% of the apple growers were members of no organization, followed by 3.34% of the apple growers, who were member of one organization. It also reveals that in low altitude, 60% of the apple growers were having high level of media exposure, followed by 26.66% of the apple growers, who were having medium level of media exposure, and 13.34% of the apple growers, and were having low level of media exposure. In case of mid altitude, 36.66% of the apple growers were having medium level of media exposure, followed by 33.34% of the apple growers, who were having low level of media exposure, and 30% of the apple growers, and were having high level of media exposure. In case of high altitude, 41.66% of the apple growers were having low level of media exposure, followed by 35% of the apple growers, who were having medium level of media exposure, and 23.34% of the apple growers, and were having high level of media exposure. It is evident from the data presented in the Table 3 that in low altitude, 38.33% of the apple growers were having medium level of innovation proneness, followed by 33.33% of the apple growers, who were having low level of innovation proneness and 28.34% of the apple growers were having high level of innovation proneness. While in mid altitude, 40% of the apple growers were having low level of innovation proneness, followed by 38.34% of the apple growers, who were having medium level of innovation proneness and 21.56% of the apple growers were having high level of innovation proneness. In case of high altitude, 65% of the apple growers were having low level of innovation proneness, followed by 28.44% of the apple growers, who were having medium level of innovation proneness and 6.56% of the apple growers were having high level of innovation

proneness. The data reveals that in low altitude, 60% of the apple growers were having low extension contact, followed by 25% of the apple growers, who were having high extension contact and 15% of the apple growers were having medium extension contact. Where in case of mid altitude, 68.44% of the apple growers were having low extension contact, followed by 16.56% of the apple growers, who were having medium extension contact and 15% of the apple growers were having high extension contact. In case of high altitude, 75% of the apple growers were having low extension contact, followed by 18.44% of the apple growers, who were having medium extension contact and 6.56% of the apple growers were having high extension contact. It indicates that in all three altitude areas farmers were having low level of extension contact which is indicative of big extension gap (no extension). The data presented in Table 3 reveals that in lower altitude 50% of apple growers had neutral attitude towards apple cultivation, followed by 35% of the apple growers, who had favourable attitude towards apple cultivation and 15% had less favourable attitude towards apple cultivation In case of middle altitude 41.66% of apple growers had neutral attitude towards apple cultivation, followed by 30% of the apple growers, who had less favourable attitude towards apple cultivation and 28.34% had favourable attitude towards apple cultivation In case of upper altitude 40% of apple growers had neutral attitude towards apple cultivation, followed by 33.44% of the apple growers, who had less favourable (unfavourable) attitude towards apple cultivation and 26.56% had favourable attitude towards apple cultivation. It indicates that in all three altitudes, the majority of the farmers were having neutral attitude towards apple cultivation.

So the present data indicates that most of the respondents in all the three altitudes 49 farmers in low, 52 in mid and 58 in high altitude areas out of 60 from each altitude responded as being member of no organization. It indicates that in all the three altitudes maximum numbers of apple growers were not participating in different organizations meant for their upliftment and development in agriculture. In most parts of the Kashmir valley, no organization related to agriculture exists. Consequently most of the respondents in all the three altitudes responded as being member of no organization. These

findings are in line with Chandrashekhar [22]. Majority of the farmers in low altitude areas were having high level of media exposure, followed by farmers of mid altitude areas having medium level of media exposure and low level of media exposure of most of the farmers of high altitude areas. This indicates that in low altitude areas electronic media like television, radio and print media such as newspaper, farm magazine and leaf lets were utilized by a majority of the apple growers. It might be due to more educational level, sound economic status and standard of living of apple growers. Regarding innovation proneness the overall inference can be drawn that majority of farmers 23 (38.33%) out of 60 were having medium level of innovation proneness in lower altitude, while the majority of them was less prone to innovations in mid and high altitude areas. The medium level of innovation proneness in low altitude areas of apple growers might be due to their higher educational status, better knowledge level, and extension participation as compared to mid and high altitude areas. The finding was in conformity with the findings of Kumar [23]. Low innovation proneness in mid and high altitude areas were due to low educational level, low knowledge level, and less extension participation. The finding was in conformity with the findings of Hiremath [24]. Majority of the farmers in all the three altitude areas were having low level of extension contact which is indicative of big extension gap. The possible reasons could be that the concerned departments (Deportment of horticulture, KVK's, private agencies) may conduct such activities which are either less frequent or have less popularity in the concerned area. The lack of initiation or interest on the part of the respondents could also be the reason for the present finding. The above findings were in accordance with the findings of study conducted by Angadi [25].

Attitude of majority of the farmers in all the three altitudes i.e. low, mid and high altitudes were having neutral attitude towards apple cultivation. The possible reason of neutral attitude could be the risk associated with the apple cultivation-damage by pests and diseases, traditional methods of farming adopted by farmers, lack of subsidy packages for agricultural inputs such as fertilizers, insecticides and pesticides, adultered spray chemicals etc. These findings were in accordance with Malek and Uddin [26].

Table 1. Distribution of apple growers according to their Socio-economic profile, (N=180)

Personal	Category	Altitude							
characteristic		Low		Mid		High			
		n_1 =60		n_2 =60		n_3 =60			
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Age	Young(18-28)	19	31.66	18	30	14	23.44		
	Middle(29-56)	21	35	25	41.66	30	50		
	Old(>56)	20	33.34	17	28.34	16	26.56		
	Mean ± S.D	42.49 ± 13.90		44.81 ± 16.08		48.08 ± 15.98			
	Observed range	18-72		22-75		18-90			
Experience	Low (Upto 10 years)	26	43.44	24	40	26	43.44		
	Medium (11-30 years)	15	25	21	35	23	38.33		
	High(>30)	19	31.56	15	25	11	18.23		
	Mean ± S.D	20.1 ± 10.13		21.30 ± 11.07		22.68 ± 10.79			
	Observed range	07-40		05-44		04-50			
Education	Illiterate	13	21.66	19	31.66	24	40		
	Primary	80	13.33	07	11.66	05	8.34		
	Middle	06	10	10	16.66	12	20		
	Matric	10	16.66	07	11.66	10	16.66		
	10+2	09	15	80	13.33	09	15		
	Graduate	10	16.66	80	13.33	00	00		
	Above graduate	04	6.66	01	1.66	00	00		

(Mean=Mean of scores of all the respondents) (S.D= Standard deviation)

Table 2. Distribution of apple growers according to their socio-economic profile, (N=180)

Personal	Category	Altitude						
characteristic		Low n ₁ =60		Mid n ₂ =60		High n ₃ =60		
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Family	Low	17	28.44	20	33.44	24	40	
education	Medium	19	31.56	25	41.56	22	36.66	
	High	24	40	15	25	14	23.34	
	Mean ± S.D	2.52± 1.02		2.25 ± 0.87		1.95±0.94		
	Observed range	0.42-5.28		0.4-3.62		0.2-3.85		
Family type	Nuclear	37	61.66	25	41.66	14	23.44	
	Joint	17	28.44	24	40	30	50	
	Extended	06	10	11	18.34	16	26.56	
Family size	Small (Upto 5 members)	36	60	23	38.44	13	21.66	
	Medium (5-10 members)	18	30	21	35	38	63.34	
	Large (More than 10 Members)	06	10	16	26.56	09	15	
Land holding	Marginal (Less than 1 ha)	22	36.66	27	45	34	56.66	
	Small (1-2 ha)	20	33.34	22	36.66	17	28.33	
	Medium (2-4 ha)	18	30	11	18.34	09	15	

(Mean=Mean of scores of all the respondents)

^{(%=} Percentage)

⁽S.D= Standard deviation)

^{(%=} Percentage)

Table 3. Distribution of apple growers according to their socio-economic profile, (N=180)

Personal	Category	Altitude						
characteristics		Low n ₁ =60		Mid n ₂ =60		High n ₃ =60		
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Social	Member of no organization	49	81.66	52	86.66	58	96.66	
Participation	Member of one organization	11	18.34	80	13.34	02	3.34	
	Member of more than one organization	00	00	00	00	00	00	
	Organization office bearer	00	00	00	00	00	00	
	Wide Public Leader	00	00	00	00	00	00	
Media exposure	Low	8	13.44	20	33.44	25	41.66	
	Medium	16	26.56	22	36.56	21	35	
	High	36	60.00	18	30	14	23.34	
	Mean ± S.D	8.36±3.04 01-12		6.91±3.62		6.13±3.04		
	Observed range			0-12		0-12		
Innovative	Low	20	33.33	24	40	39	65	
Proneness	Medium	23	38.33	23	38.44	17	28.44	
	High	17	28.34	13	21.56	04	6.56	
	Mean ± S.D	8.06±4.76 0.4-16		7.48±4.27		4.56±4.01		
	Observed range			0.6-16		0.4-16		
Extension	Low	36	60.00	41	68.44	45	75	
contact	Medium	09	15.00	10	16.56	11	18.44	
	High	15	25.00	09	15.00	04	6.56	
	Mean ± S.D	7.11±5.08 0-16		6.41±5.51		5.15±4.86		
	Observed range			0-16		0-16		
Attitude	Favorable	21	35	17	28.33	16	26.66	
	Neutral	30	50	25	41.56	24	40	
	Less favorable	09	15	18	30	20	33.34	
	Mean±S.D	39.85±21.76		39.41±19.55		38.36±17.36		

(Mean=Mean of scores of all the respondents)

(S.D= Standard deviation)

(%= Percentage)

4. CONCLUSION

Apple production is considered the principle fruit crop of Jammu and Kashmir which also provides supplementary source of income, as some of the farmers are associated with different establishments such as Government employees, business men etc. It is the backbone of the district economy and state as well. As most of the apple growers are middle aged with low experience in apple cultivation and educational background, so most of them are willing to take up improved practices if properly guided according to the improved packages of practices. The main purpose of this study, therefore, was to analyse the various sociopersonal variables like age, experience, education level, family education status, family type, family size, land holding and sociopsychological variables like social participation, media exposure, innovation proneness and attitude of farmers towards apple cultivation. It was seen that the majority of the apple growers were having neutral, followed by favourable and unfavourable attitude towards apple cultivation, as some of the farmers are concerned with other ventures, and pay little attention towards apple cultivation. The neutral attitude of the apple growers was because of the fact that there is huge extension gap (no extension) that results in low returns from apple cultivation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

 Anonymous, Ministry of Agriculture Government of Jammu and Kashmir. Greater Kashmir -The local English Daily; 2013.

- 2. Deodhar SY, Landes M, Krissoff B. Prospects for Indian emerging apple market. USA Development of Agriculture. 2006;3:12.
- Anonymous. Temperate fruits: Package of practices. Shalimar Offset Press. 2009;1.
- 4. Raut PN. Production constraints of orange cultivation in Nagpur district of Maharashtra. Asian Journal Extension Education. 2006;25(1&2):1-4.
- Kiran ST. A study on technological gap in constraints adoption practices recommended of mango M.Sc. (Agriculture) thesis growers. submitted to Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth. Dapoli, Maharashtra (India); 2003.
- Ramanna KN, Chandrakandan K, Karthikeyan C. Motivation factors and constraints of hybrid sunflower seed growers. Journal of Extension Education: 2000;11(3):2840–2844.
- Lakshmisha. Impact of cashew demonstration on knowledge and adoption and yield levels of farmers in Dakshina Kannada district. M.Sc. (Agriculture) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore (India); 2000.
- Babanna T. Information source consultancy and training needs of farmers in arecanut cultivation under Tungabhadra command area in Shimoga district. M.Sc. (Agriculture) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Science, Bangalore (India); 2002.
- 9. Bhople RS, Borker RD. Biofertilizers farmer attitude and adoption. Agricultural Extension Reviews. 2002;14:21-22.
- Vedamurthy HJ. A study on the management of areca gardens and marketing pattern preferred by the arecanut farmers of Shimoga district in Karnataka. M.Sc. (Agriculture) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad (India); 2002a.
- Govindagowda V, Narayanagowda K. Profile of thompson seedless and Bangalore blue grape growers. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2006; 40(3):424-429.
- 12. Saleem AT, Ahmad M. Identification and prioritization of competencies possessed by mango growers in district Faisalabad. Pakistan Journal Agricultural Science. 2010;47(4):421-424.
- Ejolle EE, Benedict CP, Claude BJ. Assessment of training needs of rubber

- farmers in the South-west region of Cameroon. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2010;5(17):2326-2331.
- Trevedi, G. Measurement and analysis of socio-economic status of rural families. A study in CD block. Kanjhawala, Delhi State. Ph.D. thesis submitted to IARI, New Delhi; 1963.
- Singh YP, Narwal RS. Audience analysis for using written words community development and Panchayati Raj, NICD, Hyderabad Digest. 1974;6(1):22-28.
- Singh SR. A study of technological gap in adoption of plant protection practices. Ph.D. thesis submitted to Department of Extension Education, Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar (India); 1983.
- Moulik TK, Rao CSS. Self-rating scale for farmers. In: Measurement in Extension Research Instrument, New Delhi (India); 1965.
- Vedamurthy HJ. A study on the management of areca gardens and marketing pattern preferred by the arecanut farmers of Shimoga district in Karnataka. M. Sc. (Agriculture) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; 2002b.
- 19. Raghavendra BN. A study on management practices of pineapple growers in Karnataka. M. Sc. (Agriculture) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, (India); 2007.
- Thippeswamy R. A study on knowledge and adoption of plant protection measures in coconut cultivation by farmers of Chitradurg district, M.Sc. (Agriculture) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, (India); 2007.
- Vasanthakumar MP. A study on knowledge, adoption and economic performance of coffee growers in Virajpettaluk of Coorg district. M. Sc. (Agriculture) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharvad; 2000.
- 22. Chandrashekhar SK. Analysis of onion production and marketing behaviour of farmers in Dadag district, Karnataka. M. Sc (Agriculture) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Science, Dharwad; 2007.
- Kumar HS. A study on knowledge, adoption and economic performance of banana growers in Bangalore rural district.
 M. Sc. (Agriculture) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, (India); 1998.

- 24. Hiremath S. Knowledge and adoption pattern of biofertilizers by the farmers of Tungabhadra command area. M. Sc. thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; 2011.
- 25. Angadi SC. A Study on knowledge, adoption and marketing pattern of Pomegranate growers in Bagalkot district.
- M. Sc. (Agriculture) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad (India); 1999.
- 26. Malek MA, Uddin ME. Attitude of coastal farmers towards fruit cultivation. Journal of Agroforestry Environment. 2009;3(1):37-40.

© 2017 Shah et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/21222